

ON THE CATEGORY OF n -GROUPS

Mărimioara IANCU

Summary: We study some general properties of the category of n -groups - Gr_n and a corollary on the intersection of two n -subgroups.

1. Introduction: This paper is an attempt to systematize certain facts concerning objects of the category of n -groups. n -Groups and their homomorphisms are considered not only from the external, categorical, view-point but also, when necessary, from the internal one - which means considering n -groups as sets with a certain structure and their homomorphisms as functions. Thence, the terms homomorphism and morphism will be used interchangeably, depending on the - internal or external - approach.

The symbol $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$, where A and B are objects of the same category, usually means a morphism of that category. We will use for categories the same notations as in [2], and for operations in n -groups the notations of [3].

2. The category consisting of n -groups as objects and their homomorphisms as morphisms will be denoted (as in [5] and [6]) by Gr_n . The definition of n -group given by Dörnte in [8] assumed that its carrier was nonempty. In considering the category of n -groups for $n > 2$ it is convenient to admit the empty n -group. The empty n -group is an initial object in Gr_n . The category Gr_n has final objects - the

one-element n -groups. For $n > 2$, Gr_n has no zero objects and no zero morphisms.

The class of n -groups is a variety (cf. [4], [9]); thus in Gr_n monomorphisms and injective homomorphisms coincide.

Using the forgetful functor $\Psi_S: Gr_{k+1} \rightarrow Gr_{n+1}$ ($n \leq k$) and its left adjoint $\Phi_S: Gr_{n+1} \rightarrow Gr_{k+1}$ it is shown in [6] that in Gr_n epimorphisms and surjective homomorphisms coincide. Thence the category of n -groups is a perfect category.

The fact that in an n -group the identity element is usually missing leads to the idea that Gr_n is not a connex category (cf. [3]).

3. Proposition 1. If $(G, 0) \in Gr_n$ then

1. there exists a bijective function between the n -subgroups of G and subobjects of G
2. there exists a bijective function between the quotient-groups of G and quotient objects of G .

Proof. Let $S'(G)$ be the set of n -subgroups of G , $S(G)$ - the class of subobjects of G , $C(G)$ - the set of quotient-groups of G , $Q(G)$ - the class of quotient objects of G .

1. we prove that the function $f: S'(G) \rightarrow S(G)$, $f(H) = [H, i]$ is a bijective one, where $i: H \rightarrow G$, $i(x) = x$ is the inclusion homomorphism; i is an injective homomorphism i.e. monomorphism.

If $f(H_1) = f(H_2) = [H_1, i_1] = [H_2, i_2]$ there exists an isomorphism $\bar{3}$ such that $i_1 = i_2 \circ \bar{3}$, i.e. $\bar{3}(x) = x$, for any $x \in H_1$. As $\bar{3}$ is surjective it follows that $\bar{3}$ is the identity homomorphism; thus $H_1 = H_2$. Hence f is injective.

If $[U, \mu]$ is a subobject of G then μ is a monomorphism i.e. injective homomorphism, $\bar{3}: U \rightarrow \mu(U)$, $\bar{3}(x) = \mu(x)$ is a bijective homomorphism, i.e. isomorphism, and $\mu = i \circ \bar{3}$. Thence $(\mu(U), i) \in [U, \mu]$. $\mu(U)$ is a homomorphic image of an n -group, hence

is an n -subgroup of G and therefore $[U, \mu] = f(\mu(u))$. We proved that f is also surjective.

2. We prove that the function $g: G \rightarrow Q(G)$, $g(G/\equiv) = [p, G/\equiv]$, where " $\equiv$ " is a congruence relation in G , and $p: G \rightarrow G/\equiv$, $p(a) = \langle a \rangle$ is the canonical homomorphism; p is a surjective homomorphism i.e. epimorphism.

If $g(G/\equiv_1) = g(G/\equiv_2) \Rightarrow [p_1, G/\equiv_1] = [p_2, G/\equiv_2] \Rightarrow$ there exists an isomorphism $\bar{f}: G/\equiv_2 \rightarrow G/\equiv_1$ such that $p_1 = \bar{f} \circ p_2$. As $\bar{f}$ is a bijective homomorphism it follows: $a \stackrel{1}{\sim} b \Leftrightarrow p_1(a) = p_1(b) \Leftrightarrow (\bar{f} \circ p_2)(a) = (\bar{f} \circ p_2)(b) \Leftrightarrow \bar{f}(p_2(a)) = \bar{f}(p_2(b)) \Leftrightarrow p_2(a) = p_2(b) \Leftrightarrow a \stackrel{2}{\sim} b$, thence $G/\equiv_1 = G/\equiv_2$. Hence g is injective.

If $[\mu, U]$ is a quotient object of G , then p is an epimorphism i.e. surjective homomorphism and there exists an isomorphism $\bar{f}: G/\mu \rightarrow U$ such that $\mu = \bar{f} \circ p$, where $p: (G, o) \rightarrow (G/\mu, *)$ is the canonical homomorphism ($a \stackrel{\mu}{\sim} b \Leftrightarrow \mu(a) = \mu(b)$). This yields to: $(p, G/\mu) \in [\mu, U]$ and, knowing that G/μ is a quotient - n -group of G , it follows that $g(G/\mu) = [\mu, U]$, hence g is surjective.

Corollary 1. Gr_n is a local and colocal small category.

Proposition 2. Gr_n is a category with images and coimages.

Proof. Take $G, H \in Gr_n$ and $f \in \text{Hom}(G, H)$. We prove that $\text{Im } f = [f(G), 1]$ and $\text{Coim } f = [p, G/\bar{f}]$.

We prove that $[f(G), 1] \in S(H)$ and $[f(G), 1]$ is the image of f (see [2]). 1 is an injective homomorphism i.e. monomorphism, $f: G \rightarrow H$ is a homomorphism thence $f(G) \in S'(H)$ and, by Proposition 1, it follows that $[f(G), 1] \in S(H)$.

We prove that $[f(G), 1]$ fulfills the conditions to be an image to f .

1. Obviously $f_1: G \rightarrow [f(G), 1]$, $f_1(x) = f(x)$ is a homomorphism, a surjective one, and $f = 1 \circ f_1$.

2. If $[U, \mu] \in S(H)$ and there exists $f_2: G \rightarrow U$ such that $f = \mu \circ f_2$

then, knowing that f_1 is an epimorphism (right inversible), it follows that $i = \mu \circ (f_2 \circ f_1^{-1})$ and therefore $[f(G), i] \leq [U, \mu]$. Thus $\text{Im} f = [f(G), i]$.

We prove that $[p, G/f] \in Q(G)$ and $[p, G/f]$ is the coimage of f . p is a surjective homomorphism i.e. epimorphism in Gr_n ; $(U/f, *) \in C(G)$ and, by Proposition 1, we have $[p, G/f] \in Q(G)$. We prove that $[p, G/f]$ fulfills the conditions to be a coimage to f .

1. There exists an isomorphism $\bar{f}$ (because " f " is a congruence relation on G) such that $f = (i \circ \bar{f}) \circ p$.

2. Take $\bar{f} = i \circ \bar{f}$. If $[\mu', U'] \in Q(G)$ and there exists $f_3: U' \rightarrow H$ such that $f = f_3 \circ \mu'$ then, knowing that $\bar{f}$ is a monomorphism (left inversible), it follows that $p = (\bar{f}^{-1} \circ f_3) \circ \mu'$ and therefore $[p, G/f] \leq [\mu', U']$.

Thus the proof is complete.

Proposition 3. Gr_n is a category with pullbacks.

Proof. Take $(G_1, \alpha), (G_2, *) \in \text{Gr}_n$. We prove that for any pair of morphisms $\alpha_1 \in \text{Hom}(G_1, G), \alpha_2 \in \text{Hom}(G_2, G)$ there exist (P, β_1, β_2) such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & G_1 \\ \beta_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_1 \\ G_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & G \end{array} \quad \text{is commutative.}$$

Let $(G_1 \times G_2, \bar{\alpha}) \in \text{Gr}_n$ be the direct product of G_1 and G_2 , noted $G_1^0 \oplus G_2^*$ defined by

$$((g_1, h_1), (g_2, h_2), \dots, (g_n, h_n)) \bar{\alpha} = ((g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n), \alpha_1(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n))$$

$$\text{and take } P = \{(g, h) \in G_1^0 \oplus G_2^* \mid \alpha_1(g) = \alpha_2(h)\}$$

which, it is easy to prove, is an n -subgroup of $G_1^0 \oplus G_2^*$.

We introduce $\beta_1: P \rightarrow G_1, \beta_1((g, h)) = g; \beta_2: P \rightarrow G_2, \beta_2((g, h)) = h$.

They are the restrictions to P of the canonical transformations and they are homomorphisms.

We prove that the such defined (P, β_1, β_2) is pullback of the pair α_1, α_2 .

Indeed, for any $(g, h) \in P$ we have: $(\alpha_1 \circ \beta_1)((g, h)) = \alpha_1(g) = \alpha_2(h) = (\alpha_2 \circ \beta_2)((g, h))$ thence the first condition is fulfilled.

Take $P' \in \text{Gr}_n$ and two homomorphisms $\beta'_1: P' \rightarrow G_1, \beta'_2: P' \rightarrow G_2$ such that $\alpha_1 \circ \beta'_1 = \alpha_2 \circ \beta'_2$. Then, for any $x \in P'$ we have

$\alpha_1(\beta'_1(x)) = \alpha_2(\beta'_2(x))$, whence $(\beta'_1(x), \beta'_2(x)) \in P$. Thus we are able to define the function $\hat{\tau}: P' \rightarrow P, \hat{\tau}(x) = (\beta'_1(x),$

$\beta'_2(x))$ which is a homomorphism of n -groups. We prove that $\beta'_1 = \beta_1 \circ \hat{\tau}$ and $\beta'_2 = \beta_2 \circ \hat{\tau}$. For any $x \in P'$ we have $(\beta_1 \circ \hat{\tau})(x) = \beta_1((\beta'_1(x), \beta'_2(x))) = \beta'_1(x)$ and $(\beta_2 \circ \hat{\tau})(x) = \beta_2((\beta'_1(x), \beta'_2(x))) = \beta'_2(x)$.

We still have to prove that $\hat{\tau}$ is unique.

Let $\hat{\tau}': P' \rightarrow P$ be a homomorphism such that $\beta'_1 = \beta_1 \circ \hat{\tau}'$ and $\beta'_2 = \beta_2 \circ \hat{\tau}'$. That means: for any $x \in P'$, $\beta'_1(x) = \beta_1(\hat{\tau}'(x))$ and $\beta'_2(x) = \beta_2(\hat{\tau}'(x))$. Then, if we note $\hat{\tau}'(x) = (g, h)$ we have $\beta'_1(x) = g, \beta'_2(x) = h$ and thence $\hat{\tau}(x) = (\beta'_1(x), \beta'_2(x)) = (g, h) = \hat{\tau}'(x)$. Thus $\hat{\tau} = \hat{\tau}'$, i.e. $\hat{\tau}$ is unique.

The proof is now complete: (P, β_1, β_2) is the pullback of the pair α_1, α_2 .

Corollary 2. If $\alpha_1: G_1 \rightarrow G, \alpha_2: G_2 \rightarrow G$ are injective homomorphisms of n -groups then there exists the intersection of subobjects of $G, [G_1, \alpha_1], [G_2, \alpha_2]$ and $[G_1, \alpha_1] \cap [G_2, \alpha_2] = [P, \alpha]$; where $\alpha = \alpha_1 \circ \beta_1 = \alpha_2 \circ \beta_2$.

The corollary proves that intersection of two n -subgroups G_1, G_2 of an n -group G is also an n -subgroup of G which is isomorphic to P .

Proposition 4. Gr_n is a category with generators and free objects. Gr_n is also a concrete category and the functor

$H^2 = \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}_n}(\mathbb{Z}, -)$ is a faithful functor. (see [3]).

Proposition 5. Gr_n is a category with pushouts.

The pushout of a pair of homomorphisms $\alpha_1 \in \text{Hom}(G, G_1)$,

$\alpha_2 \in \text{Hom}(G, G_2)$ is isomorphic to an n -subgroup of the free product of the n -groups G_1 and G_2 , defined as in [5].

References

- [1] L. Post, Polyadic Groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 48 (1940), 208 - 350
- [2] I. Purdea, Tratat de algebra modernă, II, Ed. Academiei R.S.R., 1982
- [3] M. S. Pop, Contribuții la teoria n -semigrupurilor, teză de doctorat, Univ. Babeș-Bolyai, Cluj-Eapoca, 1979
- [4] J. D. Monk, F. M. Sison, On the General Theory of m -Groups, Fund. Math, 72 (1971), 233 - 244
- [5] J. Michalski, Inductive and Projective Limits of n -Groups, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Sër. Sci. Math. 27 (1979), 443 - 446
- [6] J. Michalski, On Some Functors from the Category of n -Groups, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Sër. Sci. Math. 27 (1979), 437 - 441
- [7] J. Michalski, On the Category of n -Groups, Fund. Math., 122 (1984), 187 - 197
- [8] W. Dörnte, Untersuchungen über eine verallgemeinerten Gruppenbegriff, Math. Z. 29 (1929), 1 - 19.

UNIVERSITY OF BAIJA MARE
4800 Baia Mare Romania