Bul.St.Univ.Baia Mare Seria B, Matematica-Informatica, Vol.VII, Nr.1-2, 15-20

DISTINGUISHED GYSTEM OF COSET REPRESENTATIVES AND
NORMAL COMPLEMENT OF A SUBGROUP OF FINITE GROUP

A out many bearing and of g. VTRAC

1. Introduction

Suppose that H is a subgroup of the finite group G and that N is a normal subgroup of H / in symbols N d H S G /.

A normal subgroup K of G is a normal complement of H in G over N if G = HK , HAK = N.

A system R of /right/ coset representatives of H in G is distinguished over N if h^{-1} NRh = NR for every $h \in H_{\bullet}$ / It is evident that the condition h^{-1} NRh = 1: 10 equivalent with h^{-1} Rh \subseteq NR for every $h \in H_{\bullet}$ /

In particular case a normal complement of H in G over {1} is called simply normal complement of H in G and a system of coset representatives of H in G which is distinguished over {1} is a distinguished system of coset representatives of H in G.

Assume that K is a normal complement of H in G over N and that $Nx_i / 1 \le i \le n / i$ the set of all right cosets of N in K. Then $Hx_1 / 1 \le i \le n / i$ the set of all right cosets of H in G and the system $R = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of right coset representatives of H in G has the property that KR = K. Hence $h^{-1}KRh = KR$ for every $h \in H$. Thus R is distinguished over N. This proves the following fact:

The existence of normal complement of H in G over N implies the existence of system of coset representatives of H in G which is tistinguished over N.

In the particular case N = {1} there are many results which

essert that the existence of distinguished system R of cose representatives of H in Counder various conditions on H and R implies the existence of normal complement of H in G.I mention here the results of papers of R.kochendörifer [1] .M. Suzuki [2] and G. Zappa [3].

The sim of this paper is to study, in the general case No H \leq G, the existence of normal complement of H in G over N under the condition of existence of system of coset representatives of H in G which is distinguished over H and under various conditions on H,N and on system.

2. Preliminary results

LEMMA 1. Let R be a system of right coset representatives of the subgroup H of G and

D(H,R) = {N & H | B is distinguished over N .

D(H,R) is a filter in the lattice of normal subgroup of H / i.e. if $N \in D(H,R)$ and $N \subseteq N_1 \subseteq H$, then $N_1 \in D(H,R)$; if $N_1,N_2 \in D(H,R)$ then $N_1 \cap N_2 \in D(H,R)$./

<u>Proof.</u> The statements follows directly from the definition of the system of coset representatives of H in G, which is distinguished over a normal subgroup of H.

LEVEA 2. Let HxH be a double coset of the subgroup H of G and let S be a system of right coset representatives of HAx -1 Hx in H.

Then HxH = U Hs 1xs /disjoint/

Proof. If $Hs_1^{-1}xs_1 = Hs_2^{-1}xs_2$, $s_1, s_2 \in S$, then $xs_1s_2^{-1}x^{-1} \in H$. Hence $s_1s_2^{-1} \in H \cap x^{-1}Hx$. Therefore $s_1 = s_2$. It follows that the number of right cosets of H in $\bigcup_{s \in S} Hs^{-1}xs$ is $|H:H \cap x^{-1}Hx|$. This implies that

HxH = U Hs-1xe /disjoint/.

(I) There exists a system R of coset representatives of H in C which is distinguished over N.

(II) There exists a system T of double coset representatives of a in G, such that $H \cap x^{-1}Hx \subseteq N_G(Nx)$ for every $x \in T$. $/N_G(Nx)$ is the normalizer of Nx in G/

(III) There exists a system T of double coset representatives of H on G, such that $H \cap x^{-1}Hx = N_H(Nx)$ for every $x \in T_*$

<u>Proof.</u> Assume that R is a system of coset representatives of H in G which is distinguished over N.Suppose that $T\subseteq R$ is a system of double coset representatives of H in G.If $x \in T$ and $h \in H \cap x^{-1}Hx$, then $h = x^{-1}kx$, $k \in H$. Hence $h^{-1}xh = h^{-1}kx \in Hx$. It follows by (I) that $h^{-1}xh \in h^{-1}Rh \cap NR$. Therefore $h^{-1}xh \in NR \cap Hx$. Thus $h^{-1}xh \in Nx$. This implies that $Nx = Nh^{-1}xh = h^{-1}Nxh$, i.e. $h \in N_G(Nx)$. Hence (I) implies (II).

Suppose that $T = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ is a system of double cose representatives of H in G, such that $H \cap x_i^{-1} H x_i \subseteq H_G(Rx_i) / 1 \le i \le m / .$

If $h \in N_H(Nx_i)$, then $h^{-1}nx_ih = Nx_i$, i.e. $Nh^{-1}x_ih = Nx_i$. It follows that $Hh^{-1}x_ih = Hx_ih = Hx_i$. Hence $x_ihx_i^{-1} \in H$. This implies that $h \in H \cap x_i^{-1}Hx_i$. Therefore $N_H(Nx_i) \subseteq H \cap x_i^{-1}Hx_i$. The inverse inclusion follows from (II). Thus (II) implies (III).

Assume that $T = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ is daystem of double coset representatives of H in G, such that $H \cap x_1^{-1}Hx_1 = N_H(Nx_1)/1 \le i \le m/$. Let S_i be a system of right coset representatives of $H \cap x_1^{-1}Hx_1 = M_H(Nx_1)$ in H and we consider the sets $R_i = \{s_1^{-1}x_is_i \mid s_i \in S_i\}/1 \le i \le m/$. Then $h^{-1}NR_1h = NR_1$ for every $h \in H$ and it follows by Lemma 2, that $Hx_1H = HR_1 = \bigcup_{i \in S_1} Hs_1^{-1}x_is_i$ /disjoint/. Therefore $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} R_i$ is a system of right coset representatives of H in G and $h^{-1}H(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} R_i)h = M(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} R_i)$, i.e. $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} R_i$ is distinguished over N. Thus (III) implies (I).

COROLLARY 1./ Lemma 1. and Lemma 2. of [3] / If H is a subgroup of group 0, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) There exists a distinguished system of coset representatives of H in G.
- (ii) There exists a system T of double cose representatives of H in G, such that $H \cap x^{-1}Hx \subseteq C_G(x)$ for every $x \in T / C_G(x)$ is the centralizer of x in G/.

(iii) There exists a system T of double coset representatives of H in G, such that H \cap x⁻¹Hx = C_H(x) for every x \in T.

3. The case H/N abelian

THEOREM 2. Assume that NIH & G, NVN is abelian and that there exists a system R of coset representatives of H in G which is distinguished over N.

(I) If there exists a prim p, such that [H:N] is divisible by p, but [G:H] is not divisible by p, thenG has a normal subgroup K, such that [G:K] is divisible by p.

(II) If |G:H| and [H:N] are relatively prime, then there exists a normal complement of H is G over No.

<u>Proof.</u> Let $t: G \longrightarrow H/H$ be the transfer of G in H. If heH, then there exists a subset $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t\}$ of R and $n_i \in \mathbb{N} / 1 \le i \le r/$, such that

$$t(h) = N \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} x_i h^{n_i} x_i^{-1} \right) , \quad \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i = 0:H($$

and n is minimal such that

$$x_i h^{n_i} x_i^{-1} \in \mathbb{R} / 1 \le i \le r / . [4]$$

It follows that $Hx_i = Hh^{-n_i}x_ih^{n_i}$. Since R is distinguished over N, it results that $h^{-n_i}x_ih^{n_i} \in NR$. Therefore $Nh^{-n_i}x_ih^{n_i} = Nx_i$, i.e.

If $p \mid H:N \mid$ and $p \mid |G:H \mid$, then there exists a p-element $h_0 \in H-N$. It follows that $t(h_0) = Nh_0 \mid G:H \mid \neq N$. Hence the kernel K of t is a proper normal subgroup of G and $p \mid |G:K \mid$, Therefore (I) holds.

If |G:H| and H:N are relatively prime and $h \in H$, then $t(h) = \| h \|^{|G:H|} = N$ if and only if $h \in N$. It follows that if K is the kernel of t, then $H \cap K = N$ and t(G) = H/N. Hence G = HK. $H \cap K = N$, i.e. K is a normal complement of H in G over N. Therefore (II) is true.

COROLLARY 2. If $N \subseteq H \le G$, H/N is abelian, suppose that there is a system T of double coset representatives such that $H_{\bigcap} x^{-1}Hx = N_H(Nx)$ for every $x \in T$.

- (I) If p is a prime such that p | H:N| and p | G:H|, then G has a normal subgroup K such that p | |G:K|.
- (II) If |G:H| and |H:N| are relatively prime, then G has normal complement of H over N.

Proof. The statements follows directly from the Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 3. Suppose that $N \le H \le G$ (NAH) and that there exists a system of coset representatives of H in G which is distinguished over N/ or there exists a system T of double coset representatives of H in G such that $H \cap x^{-1}Hx = N_G(Nx)$ for every $x \in T$.

If H/N is solvable, |G:H| and |H:N| are relatively prime, then G has a proper normal subgroup K such that G = HK, $N \subseteq H \cap K$.

<u>Proof.</u> Since H/N is solvable (NéH), it follows that there is a proper normal subgroup N_1 of H such that $H \subseteq N_1$ and H/N_1 is abelian. It is clear that |G:H| and $|H:N_1|$ are relatively prime. Hence, by Theorem 2 / by Corollary 2/ and Lemma 1, there exists a normal complement K of H in G over N_1 , i.e. G = HK, $N \subseteq N_1 = H \cap K$.

COROLLARY 4. If H is a Sylow subgroup of G and there exists

a system of coset representatives of H in G which is distinguished over a proper normal subgroup N of H/ or there exists a system T of double coset representatives of H in G such that $H \cap x^{-1}Hx = N_H(Nx)$ for every $x \in T/$, then G has a proper normal subgroup K such that G = HK, $N \subseteq H \cap K$.

<u>Proof.</u> Since H is a Sylow subgroup of G and K is a proper normal subgroup of H, there exists a proper normal subgroup M_1 of H such that $N \subseteq N_1$ and H/N_1 is abelian. It is clear that [G:H] and [H:N] are relatively prime. Hence by Corollary 3 there exists a normal complement K of H in O over M_1 , i.e. B = HK and $N \subseteq H \cap K$.

REFERENCES TO THE TOTAL OF CHATTER

- [1] R.KOCHENDORFFER, Hallgrupen mit ausgezeichnetem Repräsentantensystem, Acta Sci, Math. Szeged, 21/1960/,
- [2] M. SUZUKI, On the existence of a Hall normal subgroup, J.Math.
- [3] G. ZAPPA, Sur les systèmes distingués de représentants et sur les compléments normaux des sous-groupes de Hall, Acta Math.Acad. Sci.Hung., 13/1962/, p. 227-230.
- [4] W.R.SCOTT, Group theory, Prentice-Hall, Inc. Englewood Eliffs, New Jersey, 1964.

Lampson a state of read one throat is there are at a copyright

A PERSON AND A SECOND OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P

UNIVERSITY OF CLUJ-NAPOCA
Paculty of Mathematics
3400 Cluj-Napoca