

GENERALIZED CONTRACTIONS IN UNIFORM SPACES

Vasile BERINDE

1. INTRODUCTION

Banach's fixed point principle in metric spaces was generalized in [9], [10] to uniform spaces as follow (see also [12]).

THEOREM 1. (N. Gheorghiu's theorem).

Let X be a Hausdorff sequentially complete uniform space with uniformity defined by a saturated family of pseudometrics $(d_i)_{i \in I}$, I being an index set. Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ a map with the properties

1) There exists $\alpha: I \rightarrow I$, $q: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$d_i(f(x), f(y)) \leq q_i d_{\alpha(i)}(x, y), \quad \forall i \in I, \quad \forall x, y \in X;$$

2) The series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_i q_{\alpha(i)} \cdots q_{\alpha^n(i)}(x, y)$$

is convergent for each $i \in I$ and each $x, y \in X$.

Then f has a unique fixed point.

Also, the same fixed point principle was extended in [2] to generalized contraction principle.

THEOREM 2.

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a φ -contraction with φ a (c) -comparison function, i.e. a map which satisfies the following condition

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (1)$$

Then f has a unique fixed point $x^* \in X$, and $f^n(x_0) \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $x_0 \in X$ (here f^n stands for the n -th iterate of f).

Moreover

$$d(f^n(x_0), x^*) \leq s(d(x_n, x_{n+1})), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2)$$

where $s(t)$ denote the sum of the comparison series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi^k(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (3)$$

The purpose of this paper is to extend theorem 1 using the concepts involved in theorem 2.

2. COMPARISON FUNCTIONS

Referring to generalized φ -contractions we shall follow, both in terminology and notation, the monograph [12] and the papers [13], [2], [3].

DEFINITION 1. A function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is called (c)-comparison function if

(u) φ is monotone increasing;

(v) There exist two numbers $k_0, b, 0 \leq b < 1$ and a convergent series

with nonnegative real terms $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$, such that

$$\varphi^{k+1}(t) \leq b \cdot \varphi^k(t) + a_k, \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (4)$$

REMARKS.

1) If φ is a (c)-comparison function then (see [2]-[5]) the series (3) converges for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Moreover, the condition (4) is necessary and sufficient for the convergence of this series;

2) For every comparison function we have $\varphi(t) < t$, if $t > 0$, hence every φ -contraction is a continuous mapping;

3) For $\varphi(t) = at$, $0 \leq a < 1$, from theorem 2 we obtain the well known Banach mapping principle;

4) There exist nonlinear comparison functions and also, discontinuous comparison functions, see [13], [3].

Thus, the study of generalized contractions, in metric or uniform spaces, is motivated by theoretical and applicative arguments.

To obtain the main result of this paper we need the following concept.

DEFINITION 2. We say that a family $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$, $\varphi_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i \in I$, is a (c)-comparison functions family with respect to $\alpha: I \rightarrow I$ if

- (i) φ_i is monotone increasing, for each $i \in I$;
- (ii) For each $i \in I$, there exist a convergent series with

nonnegative real terms $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^{(i)}$ and a number $b \in [0, 1)$, such that for each function $t: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the following condition holds

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_i \circ \varphi_{\alpha(i)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{\alpha^{k-1}(i)}) (t_i) &\leq b \cdot (\varphi_i \circ \varphi_{\alpha(i)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{\alpha^{k-1}(i)}) (t_{\alpha(i)}) + \\ &+ a_k^{(i)}, \text{ for } k \geq N \text{ (fixed)} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

LEMA 1. If $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of (c)-comparison functions with respect to $\alpha: I \rightarrow I$, then the series

$$t_i + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\varphi_i \circ \varphi_{\alpha(i)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{\alpha^{k-1}(i)}) (t_{\alpha^k(i)}) \quad (6)$$

is convergent, for each $t: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and every $i \in I$.

PROOF. We apply theorem 2 [4].

REMARK. A family of (c)-comparison functions with respect to the identical map $\alpha=1$, is, in fact, a family of (c)-comparison functions in the usual sense.

3. φ - CONTRACTIONS IN UNIFORM SPACES

The main result of this paper is the following.

THEOREM 3.

Let X be a Hausdorff sequentially complete uniform space with uniformity defined by a saturated family of pseudometrics $(d_i)_{i \in I}$, and let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a map with the property that there exist a function $\alpha: I \rightarrow I$ and a family $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$ of (c)-comparison functions with respect to α , such that

$$d_i(f(x), f(y)) \leq \varphi_i(d_{\alpha(i)}(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \quad \forall i \in I. \quad (7)$$

Then f has a unique fixed point x^* , and $f^n(x_0) \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $x_0 \in X$.

Moreover

$$d_i(x_n, x^*) \leq s_i(d_i(x_n, x_{n+1})) , \quad i \in I , \quad (8)$$

where $s_i(t)$ denote the sum of the series (6).

PROOF.

Let $x_0 \in X$ and (x_n) , $x_n = f^n(x_0)$, the sequence of successive approximations. We have

$$d_i(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) \leq d_i(f(x_{n+1}), f(x_n)) \leq \varphi_i(d_{\alpha(i)}(x_{n+1}, x_n)) ,$$

and

$$d_i(x_{n+3}, x_{n+2}) \leq \varphi_i(d_{\alpha(i)}(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1})) \leq (\varphi_i \circ \varphi_{\alpha(i)})(d_{\alpha(i)}(x_{n+1}, x_n)) ,$$

Then, by introduction,

$$d_i(x_{n+p}, x_{n+p-1}) \leq (\varphi_i \circ \varphi_{\alpha(i)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{\alpha^{p-1}(i)})(d_{\alpha^{p-1}(i)}(x_{n+1}, x_n)) ,$$

hence, for each $i \in I$ and each $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$d_i(x_{n+p}, x_n) \leq d_i(x_{n+1}, x_n) + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} (\varphi_i \circ \varphi_{\alpha(i)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{\alpha^{k-1}(i)})(d_{\alpha^k(i)}(x_{n+1}, x_n)) . \quad (9)$$

Since $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of (c)-comparison function with respect to α it results that (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence. But X is a sequentially complete uniform space. Hence (x_n) is convergent.

By an other hand, the contraction condition implies, using Remark 2, the continuity of f . This means x^* , the limit of the sequence (x_n) , is a fixed point of f .

To prove the unicity, assume there exists $y^* \in X$, $y^* \neq x^*$ an other fixed point of f . Then

$$d_i(x^*, y^*) = d_i(f(x^*), f(y^*)) \leq \dots \leq (\varphi_i \circ \varphi_{\alpha(i)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{\alpha^n(i)})(d_{\alpha^n(i)}(x^*, y^*))$$

which yealds, on the basis of the convergence of (6),

$$(\varphi_i \circ \varphi_{\alpha(i)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{\alpha^n(i)})(d_{\alpha^n(i)}(x^*, y^*)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty .$$

Thus we obtain

$$d_i(x^*, y^*) = 0, \text{ for each } i \in I. \quad (10)$$

But $(d_i)_{i \in I}$ is a saturated family of pseudometrics, hence, for each $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, there exists $i \in I$ such that

$$d_i(x, y) \neq 0,$$

which shows that (10) is false. This contradiction implies the unicity of x^* .

To obtain (8) it suffices to take $p \rightarrow \infty$ in (9). Thus, the proof is complete.

REMARK.

1) For $\varphi_i(t) = q_i t$, where $q_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $i \in I$, from theorem 3, we obtain a result which completes the Gheorghiu's fixed point theorem [9] by the estimation (8);

2) The same idea may be applied to other fixed point theorems in uniform spaces [7], [8], [1], [11]. From these papers, only the work of Heikkilä and Seikkala gives the estimation of the convergence, but in terms of the minimal solution of the equation

$$t - \varphi(t) = d(x_0, f(x_0)),$$

where $\varphi(t) = (\varphi_i(t))_{i \in I}$, in the particular case $\alpha = 1_I$.

3) If we consider the following generalized metric (K-metric) $d: X \times X \rightarrow K$,

$$(x, y) \xrightarrow{d} (i \mapsto d_i(x, y)),$$

where $K = \mathbb{R}_+^I$ is the cone of all functions $u: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$,

then the estimation (8) may be written in the form (see [6])

$$d(x_n, x^*) \leq s(d(x_n, x_{n+1})),$$

(here t denotes $(t_i)_{i \in I}$ and $s(t)$ denotes $(s_i(t_i))_{i \in I}$).

4) The fixed point theorems in uniform spaces have applications to probabilistic metric spaces, see [11] and also may be applied in order to obtain existence - uniqueness results for functional differential equations [1].

R E F E R E N C E S

1. ANGELOV, V.G.: Fixed point theorem in uniform spaces and applications, Czechoslovak Math.Journal, 37(112) 1997, 19-32
2. BERINDE, V.: Error estimates in the approximation of the fixed points for a class of ϕ -contractions, Studia Univ. Cluj-Napoca, 35(1990), fasc.2, 86-89
3. BERINDE, V.: The stability of fixed points for a class of ϕ -contractions, Univ. Cluj-Napoca, Preprint nr.3, 1990, 13-20
4. BERINDE, V.: Une généralisation du critère de d'Alembert pour les séries positives, Bul.Şt.Univ. Baia Mare, vol.VII (1991), 21-26
5. BERINDE, V.: A convolution type proof of the generalized ratio test, Bul.Şt. Univ. Baia Mare, vol.VIII(1992), 35-40
6. BERINDE, V.: Generalized contractions and applications, (Romanian), Ph.Doctoral Thesis, Univ. of Cluj-Napoca, 1993
7. COLOJOARĂ, I.: On a fixed point theorem in uniform complete spaces (Romanian), Comunic.Acad.R.P.R., 11(1961), 281-283
8. GÂNDAC, F.: ϕ -contractions in uniform spaces (Romanian), St. Cerc.Mat., 34(1981), 163-170
9. GHEORGHIU, N.: The contraction principle in uniform spaces (Romanian), Stud.Cerc.Mat., 1(1967), 119-123

10. GHEORGHIU, N.: Fixed point theorems in uniform spaces, Ann.St. Univ. Iași, 28(1982), 17-18
11. HEIKKILA, S., SEIKKALA, S.: On fixed points in uniform spaces with applications to probabilistic metric spaces, Acta Univ. Oul., A, 73(1978), No 18, 1-18
12. RUS, A.I.: Principii și aplicații ale teoriei punctului fix, Ed. DACIA, Cluj-Napoca, 1979
13. RUS, A.I.: Generalized contractions, Univ. Cluj-Napoca, Preprint nr.3, 1983, 1-130

UNIVERSITY OF BAIIA MARE
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

4800 BAIIA MARE

ROMÂNIA