

ON R-n-MODULES

Lăcrimioara IANCU

Summary: This paper gives some elementary results on n-modules and on the category of n-modules; two constructions of the quotient n-module are given. In R-n-modules, non-uniqueness of the representation of an element relative to a basis is remarked.

1. Introduction. N. Celakoski [1] introduced the notion of R-n-module and studied some properties of projective and injective R-n-modules.

The aim of this paper is to give some results on R-n-modules, analogous to those concerning R-modules, that would allow further systematic research.

2. Let R be an associative ring with neutral element $(1 \neq 0)$, (A, \circ) an abelian n-group with only one neutral element, denoted 0. A is said to be an R-n-module if there is defined a mapping $\varphi: R \times A \rightarrow A$, $(r, a) \rightarrow ra$ such that:

$$1a = a, (rs)a = r(sa), r(a_1, \dots, a_n)_\circ = (ra_1, \dots, ra_n)_\circ,$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i\right)a = (r_1a, \dots, r_na)_\circ, \text{ for every } r, r_i \in R, a, a_i \in A, i=1, \dots, n.$$

It is easy to see that $0 \cdot a = 0$, and $r \cdot 0 = 0$, for every $a \in A$, $r \in R$; we also have $(-1) \cdot a = (a, a, \dots, a, \bar{a}, 0, 0)_\circ$, and

$$\underbrace{[(-1) + (-1) + \dots + (-1)]}_{n-2} \cdot a = \bar{a}.$$

A subset $B \subseteq A$ is said to be an n-submodule if operation from A induce operations in B and B with these operations is an R - n -module.

Let X be a subset of A , $X \subseteq A$. A finite sum

$$X = (\dots ((r_1 x_1, \dots, r_n x_n), r_{n+1} x_{n+1}, \dots, r_{2n-1} x_{2n-1}), \dots, r_s x_s), \dots$$

where $s \equiv 1 \pmod{n-1}$, $r_k \in R$, $x_k \in X$, $k=1, 2, \dots, s$, is called a linear

combination of elements from the subset X .

The n-submodule generated by X is defined as

$$\langle X \rangle = \bigcap \{ B \in \mathcal{J}_{Rn}(A) \mid X \subseteq B \} \quad (\text{where } \mathcal{J}_{Rn}(A) \text{ denotes the set of}$$

n -submodules of A).

If $X \subseteq A$, $X \neq \emptyset$ then

$$\langle X \rangle = \{ (\dots (r_1 x_1, \dots, r_n x_n), \dots, r_s x_s), \mid r_k \in R, \\ x_k \in X, k=1, \dots, s; s \equiv 1 \pmod{n-1} \}$$

$$\langle \langle X \rangle \rangle = \langle X \rangle = \{ rx \mid r \in R \}$$

Now, one can easily prove that:

Proposition 1 a) The lattice $\mathcal{J}_{Rn}(A)$ of the n -submodules of A is a complete lattice.

b) $\mathcal{J}_{Rn}(A)$ is a complete sublattice of $\mathcal{J}_n(A)$ (which is the lattice of the n -subgroups of A).

An equivalence ρ on A is said to be a congruence on the R - n -module A if:

$$a_1 \rho b_1, a_2 \rho b_2, \dots, a_n \rho b_n \Rightarrow (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \rho (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n).$$

$$a \rho b, r \in R \Rightarrow (ra) \rho (rb)$$

We shall denote the set of all congruences on the R - n -module A by $\mathcal{C}_{Rn}(A)$. $(\mathcal{C}_{Rn}(A), \subseteq)$ is a complete lattice.

Theorem 1. The mapping $f: \mathcal{J}_{Rn}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{Rn}(A)$, $f(B) = \rho_B$ (where ρ_B is defined as follows: $a_1 \rho_B a_2 = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_2, \overline{a_2}, 0) \in B$) is an isomorphism of lattices and $f^{-1}(\rho) = \rho \langle 0 \rangle = \{ a \in A \mid 0 \rho a \}$.

Proof a) We prove that ρ_B is a congruence on A . We have $(a_1, a_1, \dots, a_1, \overline{a_1}, 0) \in B$; this shows that ρ_B is reflexive; $a_1 \rho_B a_2$

implies that $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_2, \bar{a}_2, 0)_* \in B$ and, as B is an n -submodule,

$$(-1) \cdot (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_2, \bar{a}_2, 0)_* \in B ; \text{ but}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (-1) \cdot (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_2, \bar{a}_2, 0)_* &= ((a_1, a_2, \dots, a_2, \bar{a}_2, 0)_*, \dots, (a_1, a_2, \dots, \\ &\dots, a_2, \bar{a}_2, 0)_*, (\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \dots, \bar{a}_2, \bar{a}_2, 0)_*, 0, 0)_* = (a_2, a_1, \dots, a_1, \bar{a}_1, 0)_* \end{aligned}$$

which proves that $a_2 \rho_B a_1$, i.e. ρ_B is symmetrical.

$a_1 \rho_B a_2, a_2 \rho_B a_3$ implies that $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_2, \bar{a}_2, 0)_* \in B$ and

$$(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_3, \bar{a}_3, 0)_* \in B ; \text{ then}$$

$$((a_1, a_2, \dots, a_2, \bar{a}_2, 0)_*, (a_2, a_3, \dots, a_3, \bar{a}_3, 0)_*, 0, \dots, 0)_* \in B , \quad \text{which}$$

means $(a_1, a_3, a_3, \dots, a_3, \bar{a}_3, 0)_* \in B$, i.e. ρ_B is transitive.

If $a_1 \rho_B b_1, a_2 \rho_B b_2, \dots, a_n \rho_B b_n$ then $(a_1, b_1, \dots, b_1, \bar{b}_1, 0)_* \in B$,
 $\dots, (a_n, b_n, \dots, b_n, \bar{b}_n, 0)_* \in B$ and also

$$((a_1, b_1, \dots, b_1, \bar{b}_1, 0)_*, \dots, (a_n, b_n, \dots, b_n, \bar{b}_n, 0)_*)_* \in B , \text{ which means}$$

$$((a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)_*, (b_1, \dots, b_n)_*, \dots, (b_1, \dots, b_n)_*, (\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_n)_*, 0)_* \in B ,$$

i.e. $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)_* \rho_B (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)_*$.

If $a \rho_B b$ and $r \in R$ then

$$(ra, rb, \dots, rb, \bar{r}\bar{b}, 0)_* = (ra, rb, \dots, rb, r\bar{b}, 0)_* = r(a, b, \dots, b, \bar{b}, 0)_* \in B ,$$

which proves that $(ra) \rho_B (rb)_*$.

We now know that ρ_B is a congruence on A .

b) We prove that f is an isomorphism of lattices.

Let $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{J}_{Rn}(A)$, $B_1 \neq B_2$. This means that $\exists x \in B_1 \setminus B_2$;

$$x = (x, 0, 0, \dots, 0)_* \in B_1 \neq x \rho_{B_1} 0 , \quad \text{and} \quad (x, 0, 0, \dots, 0)_* \notin B_2 \neq x \rho_{B_2} 0 .$$

This proves that $\rho_{B_1} \neq \rho_{B_2}$, i.e. $f(B_1) \neq f(B_2)$, and f is injective.

Let ρ be a congruence on A , $\rho \in \mathcal{C}_{Rn}^{\rho}(A)$, and $B = \rho \langle 0 \rangle = \{a \in A \mid a \rho 0\}$.

It is easy to prove that $B \in \mathcal{J}_{Rn}(A)$ and that $\rho = \rho_B$; therefore f is surjective.

If $B_1 \subseteq B_2$ and $a \rho_{B_1} b$, then $(a, b, b, \dots, \bar{b}, 0)_* \in B_1 \subseteq B_2$;

$$(a, b, \dots, b, \bar{b}, 0)_* \in B_2 \neq a \rho_{B_2} b . \quad \text{We proved that} \quad \rho_{B_1} \subseteq \rho_{B_2} \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$f(B_1) \subseteq f(B_2)$, which completes the demonstration.

This isomorphism allows us to identify $\rho \in \mathcal{C}_{Rn}(A)$ with $B = \rho \langle 0 \rangle \in \mathcal{J}_{Rn}(A)$, and to define $A/B = \{a+B \mid a \in A\}$, where $a+B = \{(a, b, 0, \dots, 0)_0 \mid b \in B\}$. A/B is an R - n -module with the following operations:

$$\begin{aligned} ((a_1+B), (a_2+B), \dots, (a_n+B))_0 &= (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)_0 + B \\ r(a+B) &= ra+B \end{aligned}$$

and is said to be the quotient R - n -module.

Theorem 2 The mapping $f': \mathcal{J}_{Rn}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{Rn}(A)$, $f'(B) = \rho'_B$ (where ρ'_B is defined as follows $a \rho'_B c \Leftrightarrow \exists b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \in B$ such that

$c = (a, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})_0$) is an isomorphism of lattices and

$$f'^{-1}(\rho) = \rho \langle 0 \rangle = \{a \in A \mid a \rho 0\}.$$

Proof a) We prove that ρ'_B is a congruence on A . We have $a = (a, 0, \dots, 0)_0$ and $0 \in B$; this shows that ρ'_B is reflexive.

$a_1 \rho'_B a_2$ implies that $\exists b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \in B$ such that

$a_2 = (a_1, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})_0$; solving the equation we obtain

$$a_1 = (a_2, \underbrace{b_{n-1}, \dots, b_{n-1}}_{n-3}, \overline{b_{n-1}}, \dots, b_1, \dots, b_1, \overline{b_1})_0, \text{ and making the necessary}$$

associations we obtain that $a_1 = (a_2, b'_1, \dots, b'_{n-1})_0$, i.e. $a_2 \rho'_B a_1$,

which proves that ρ'_B is symmetrical.

$a_1 \rho'_B a_2, a_2 \rho'_B a_3$ implies that $\exists b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} \in B$ such that

$a_1 = (a_2, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})_0, a_2 = (a_3, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})_0$; by replacing a_2 in the

first relation we obtain $a_1 = ((a_3, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})_0 =$

$$= (a_3, (c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, b_1)_0, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1})_0 = (a_3, b'_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1})_0, \text{ where}$$

$b'_1 \in B$, i.e. ρ'_B is transitive. If $a_1 \rho'_B c_1, a_2 \rho'_B c_2, \dots, a_n \rho'_B c_n$ then

$$\exists b_1^1, \dots, b_{n-1}^1, b_1^2, \dots, b_{n-1}^2, \dots, b_1^n, \dots, b_{n-1}^n \in B \text{ such that}$$

$$a_1 = (c_1, b_1^1, \dots, b_{n-1}^1), \dots, a_2 = (c_2, b_1^2, \dots, b_{n-1}^2), \dots, a_n = (c_n, b_1^n, \dots, b_{n-1}^n);$$

making the operation we obtain $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) =$

$$= ((c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n), \underbrace{b_1^1, \dots, b_{n-1}^1, b_1^2, \dots, b_{n-1}^2, \dots, b_1^n, \dots, b_{n-1}^n}_{n(n-1) \text{ terms}}) =$$

$$= ((c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n), b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}), \text{ i.e. } (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \rho'_B (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n).$$

If $a \rho'_B c$ and $r \in R$, then $\exists b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \in B$ such that $a = (c, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})$.

$$ra = r(c, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) = (rc, rb_1, \dots, rb_{n-1}). \text{ and, as } rb_k \in B, k=1, \dots, n-1$$

we proved that $(ra) \rho'_B (rc)$.

We now know that ρ'_B is a congruence on A .

b) We prove that f' is an isomorphism of lattices.

Let $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{J}_{Rn}(A)$, $B_1 \neq B_2$. This means that $\exists b \in B_1 \setminus B_2$. Let $c = (a, b, 0, \dots, 0)$; then $a \rho'_B c$. We shall prove that $\nexists b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \in B_2$

such that $a = (c, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})$, i.e. $a \rho'_{B_2} c$. If $\exists b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \in B_2$ such that $a = (c, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})$, then $c = ((c, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}), b, 0, \dots, 0)$ and therefore

$$b = (\underbrace{b_{n-1}, \dots, b_{n-1}}_{n-3}, \overline{b_{n-1}}, \dots, \underbrace{b_1, \dots, b_1}_{n-3}, \overline{b_1}, \underbrace{c, \dots, c}_{n-3}, \overline{c}, c, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(n-2)^2}) =$$

$$= (b_{n-1}, \dots, b_{n-1}, \overline{b_{n-1}}, \dots, b_1, \dots, b_1, \overline{b_1}, 0) \in B_2, \text{ contradiction. This}$$

proves that $\rho'_{B_1} \neq \rho'_{B_2}$ i.e. $f'(B_1) \neq f'(B_2)$ and f is injective.

Let ρ be a congruence on A , $\rho \in \mathcal{C}_{Rn}(A)$ and $B = \rho \langle 0 \rangle = \{a \in A / a \rho 0\}$. It is easy to prove that $B \in \mathcal{J}_{Rn}(A)$ and $\rho = \rho'_B$, therefore f' is surjective.

Now if $B_1 \subseteq B_2$ and $a \rho'_B c$ then $\exists b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \in B_1 \subseteq B_2$ such that

$$a = (c, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}), \text{ i.e. } a \rho'_{B_2} c \text{ so } f'(B_1) \subseteq f'(B_2).$$

We have proved that f' is an isomorphism of lattices and

$$f'^{-1}(\rho) = \rho \langle 0 \rangle.$$

The isomorphism defined in theorem 2 allows us to identify $\rho \in \mathcal{C}_{Rn}(A)$ with $B = \rho \langle 0 \rangle \in \mathcal{J}_{Rn}(A)$; then $A/B = \{a + (n-1)B \mid a \in A\}$, where

$a+(n-1)B = \{(a, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) \mid b_i \in B, i=1, \dots, n-1\}$. A/B is an R - n -module with the following operations:

$$((a_1+(n-1)B), (a_2+(n-1)B), \dots, (a_n+(n-1)B))_+ = (a_1, \dots, a_n)_+ + (n-1)B$$

$$r(a+(n-1)B) = ra+(n-1)B$$

This second construction of the quotient n -module brings us to the notion introduced by Celakoski in [1].

The isomorphism theorems for R - n -modules can be obtained from the isomorphism theorems for universal algebras by identifying the congruences of an n -module with its n -submodules.

3. We shall denote the category of R - n -modules by Mod_{Rn} . In this category $\{0\}$ is a zero object; therefore Mod_{Rn} is a category with zero morphisms $(\forall A, B \in \text{Mod}_{Rn}, 0_{AB}: A \rightarrow B, 0_{AB}(a) = 0_B, \forall a \in A)$.

Theorem 3 *The category Mod_{Rn} is with kernels and cokernels.*

Proof Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism in Mod_{Rn} , $N = \{a \in A \mid f(a) = 0\}$, $i: N \rightarrow A$, $i(a) = a$, $\forall a \in N$; $p: B \rightarrow B/f(A)$, $p(b) = b + f(A)$. Then $\ker f = [N, i]$ and $\text{Coker } f = [p, B/f(A)]$.

Proposition 2 (see [1]). *Let A, B be R - n -modules and $f: A \rightarrow B$ a homomorphism. The following sentences are equivalent:*

- a) f is an injective homomorphism
- b) f is a monomorphism in Mod_{Rn}
- c) For any R - n -module A' and any homomorphism $\alpha: A' \rightarrow A$, $f \circ \alpha = 0$ implies $\alpha = 0$
- d) $\text{Ker } f = \{0\}$

Proposition 3 (see [1]). *Let A, B be R - n -modules and $f: A \rightarrow B$ a homomorphism. The following sentences are equivalent:*

- a) f is a surjective homomorphism
- b) f is an epimorphism in Mod_{Rn}
- c) For any R - n -module B' and any homomorphism $\beta: B \rightarrow B'$, $\beta \circ f = 0$ implies $\beta = 0$
- d) $\text{Coker } f = \{0\}$

Corollary Mod_{Rn} is a perfect category.

Theorem 4 Mod_{Rn} is an exact category.

Proof a) We prove that Mod_{Rn} is a normal and conormal category. Let A, B be R - n -modules and $F: A \rightarrow B$ a monomorphism in Mod_{Rn} . Then $[A, f] = \ker p$, where $p: B \rightarrow B/f(A)$ is the homomorphism defined by $p(b) = b + f(A)$.

If $f: A \rightarrow B$ is an epimorphism in Mod_{Rn} , then $[f, B] = \text{Coker } i$, where $i: \ker f \rightarrow A$ is the homomorphism defined by $i(a) = a$.

b) Mod_{Rn} is a category with kernels and cokernels (see theorem 3).

c) Finally we prove that every morphism from Mod_{Rn} can be decomposed in a product of a monomorphism and an epimorphism.

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism in Mod_{Rn} ; then $g: A \rightarrow f(A)$, $g(a) = f(a)$ is an epimorphism, and $i: f(A) \rightarrow B$, $i(b) = b$ is a monomorphism and $f = i \circ g$.

4. Remark. If we define linear independence in R - n -modules in the same way as in usual R -modules, this brings us to the analogous notions of basis and free R - n -modules. Unfortunately, further results cannot be obtained because of the non-uniqueness of the coordinates of an element relative to the basis of the R - n -module. Though the following result holds:

Proposition 4. *A is a free R - n -module if and only if \bar{A} is a free R -module (where the binary operation in $\bar{A} = (A, \hat{+})$ is defined as in [1]: $a \hat{+} b = (a, b, 0, \dots, 0)_0$.)*

Proof. Let $B \subseteq A$ be a basis of \bar{A} . Then $\forall x \in A \exists b_1, \dots, b_k \in B$, $r_1, \dots, r_k \in R$ such that $x = r_1 b_1 \hat{+} r_2 b_2 \hat{+} \dots \hat{+} r_k b_k$; therefore

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (\dots((r_1 b_1, r_2 b_2, 0, \dots, 0)_0, r_3 b_3, 0, \dots, 0)_0, \dots)_0, r_k b_k, 0, \dots, 0)_0 = \\ &= (r_1 b_1, r_2 b_2, \dots, r_k b_k, 0, \dots, 0)_0. \end{aligned}$$

if $k \leq n$, or $x = (\dots(r_1 b_1, r_2 b_2, \dots, r_n b_n)_0, r_{n+1} b_{n+1}, \dots, r_k b_k, 0, \dots, 0)_0$ if $k > n$.

Anyway, x is a linear combination of elements from B .

If $b_1, \dots, b_s \in B$, $s \equiv 1 \pmod{n-1}$ and $(\dots(r_1 b_1, \dots, r_n b_n)_0, \dots, r_s b_s)_0 = 0$

then $r_1 b_1 + \dots + r_s b_s = 0$ which implies (as B is a free set in \bar{A}) that $r_1 = \dots = r_s = 0$. This proves that B is also free in A.

The converse assertion is proved in an analogous way.

REFERENCES

1. CELAKOSKI, N.: On n-modules, Godišen Zb. Electro-Maš.Fak.Univ. Skopje 3(1969), 15-26
2. POST, E.L.: Polyadic groups, Trans. Amer.Math.Soc., 48(1940), 208-350
3. PURDEA, I., PIC, Gh.: Tratat de algebră modernă, vol.I, Editura Academiei RSR, București, 1977
4. PURDEA, I.: Tratat de algebră modernă, vol.II, Editura Academiei RSR, București, 1982.

UNIVERSITY OF BAIA MARE
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
4800 BAIA MARE
ROMÂNIA