

ON A BERNSTEIN TYPE OPERATOR

Laszlo BALOG, Dan BĂRBOSU, Nicolae POP

Abstract. In this paper we extends to two variables a linear positive of Bernstein type introduced and investigated by Bleiman, Butzer and Hahn [3]. The corresponding operator $U_{n,n}$ defined on the space $C_b(D)$ of B-continuous functions on $D = [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty)$ is given at (2.5). We prove that if $f \in C_b(D)$ then $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} U_{m,n} f = f$, uniformly on every compact $[0, a] \times [0, b]$.

Theorem 2.4 shows an evaluation of the order of approximation of the function $f \in C_b(D)$ by $U_{n,n} f$.

1. Preliminaries

The notion of the B-continuous function is that from [1]. The analogous of the Korovkin uniform approximation theorem is expressed in:

1.1. Theorem [2]: Be $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ an compact and $(L_{m,n})_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a row of linear and positive operators which transform the functions of $C_b(D)$ in functions of \mathbb{R}^{k^2} . For each $f \in C_b(D)$ we note:

$$U_{m,n} f(x, y) := L_{m,n}[f(\cdot, y) + f(x, \cdot) - f(\cdot, \cdot); x, y]$$

If:

- i) $L_{m,n}(e; x, y) = 1 ; e(s, t) = 1$
- ii) $L_{m,n}(\varphi; x, y) = x + U_{m,n}(x, y) ; y(s, t) = s$
- iii) $L_{m,n}(\psi; x, y) = y + V_{m,n}(x, y) ; \psi(s, t) = t$
- iv) $L_{m,n}(\varphi^2 + \psi^2; x, y) = x^2 + y^2 + W_{m,n}(x, y)$

$$v) \quad \{U_{m,n}(x,y)\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{V_{m,n}(x,y)\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{W_{m,n}(x,y)\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

converge uniformly to zero.

Then the row $\{U_{m,n}f\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge to f uniform on D .

The evaluation of the approximation order of a B-continuous B-continuity module, specified in:

1.2. Definition [1]: $BCD = [0,a] \times [0,b]$ and $F: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a B-bounded function. The function $\omega_B: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by:

$$\omega_B(\delta_1, \delta_2) = \sup_{(x,y), (x',y') \in D} \{ |\Delta_2 f(x,y; x',y')| \mid |x-x'| \leq \delta_1, |y-y'| \leq \delta_2 \}$$

is named the B-continuity module which is associated to f .

Among the properties of the B-continuity module studied detailed in [1], in this work we will use that expressed in:

1.3. Theorem: If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \delta_1, \delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ we have the inequality:

$$\omega_B(\lambda_1 \delta_1, \lambda_2 \delta_2) \leq (1+\lambda_1)(1+\lambda_2) \omega_B(\delta_1, \delta_2).$$

2. The approximation of a B-continuous function by an operator of Bernstein type

In [3], B.Bleiman, P.L.Butzer and L.Hahn have introduced an linear and positive operator L_m , associated to a function f , which is continuous on $[0,+\infty)$, being defined by:

$$(2.1) \quad (L_m f)(x) = \frac{1}{(1+x)^m} \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} x^k f\left(\frac{k}{m-k+1}\right), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

A.Ciupa, in [4], has spread the 2.1 operator at two variables, associating to a function f continuous on $[0,+\infty) \times [0,+\infty)$ the linear and positive operator $L_{m,n}$ defined by:

$$(2.2) \quad (L_{m,n} f)(x,y) = \frac{1}{(1+x)^m (y)^n} \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{m}{k} \binom{n}{l} x^k y^l f\left(\frac{k}{m-k+1}, \frac{l}{n-l+1}\right).$$

In the same work [4] is proved that if $D = [0,a] \times [0,b]$ ($a > 0, b > 0$) and $f \in C(D)$, the row of general term (2.2) converge uniformly to f . Is given then an evaluation of the approximation order of the

function $f \in C(D)$ by the row $(L_{m,n}f)_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

The purpose of this work is to build an $U_{m,n}$ operators row with the property that the row $\{U_{m,n}f\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge uniformly to $f \in C_b(D)$ and to estimate the approximation order of $f \in C_b(D)$ by the row $\{U_{m,n}f\}$. We note by L_m^x, L_n^y the parametrical extensions of the 1.1 operator defined by:

$$(2.3) \quad (L_m^x f)(x, y) = \frac{1}{(1+x)^m} \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} x^k f\left(\frac{k}{m-k+1}, y\right)$$

$$(2.4) \quad (L_n^y f)(x, y) = \frac{1}{(1+y)^n} \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} y^l f\left(x, \frac{l}{n-l+1}\right)$$

By means of L_m^x, L_n^y operators we build the operator $U_{m,n} =$

$= L_m^x \oplus L_n^y = L_m^x + L_n^y - L_m^x L_n^y$ defined by:

(2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} & (U_{m,n}f)(x, y) = \\ & = \frac{1}{(1+x)^m (1+y)^n} \sum_{\substack{m,n \\ k,l \\ k+l}} \binom{m}{k} \binom{n}{l} x^k y^l \left[f\left(\frac{k}{m-k+1}, y\right) + f\left(x, \frac{l}{n-l+1}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{m-k+1}, \frac{l}{n-l+1}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Because to $L_{m,n}$'s linearity the $U_{m,n}$ operator can be represented in the equivalent form:

$$(2.6) \quad (U_{m,n}f)(x, y) = L_{m,n}[f(\cdot, y) + f(x, \cdot) - f(\cdot, \cdot); x, y]$$

In [4] are demonstrated the $L_{m,n}$'s properties expressed in:

2.1. Theorem The following affirmations are true:

$$i) \quad L_{m,n}(1; x, y) = 1$$

$$ii) \quad L_{m,n}(t; x, y) = x - x \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right)^m$$

$$iii) \quad L_{m,n}(\tau; x, y) = y - y \left(\frac{y}{1+y} \right)^n$$

iv) $L_{m,n}(t^2+r^2; x, y) \leq x^2+y^2+\frac{2x(1+x)^2}{m+2}+\frac{2y(1+y)^2}{n+2}$, for $n \geq N(x)$,
 $m \geq N(y)$ where $N(x) = 24(1+x)$, $N(y) = 24(1+y)$.

As a result of 1.1. and 2.1 theorems we obtain:

2.2. Theorem: If $f \in C_b(D)$, the $\{U_{m,n}f\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}}$ row of general term (2.5) is converge to f uniform on D .

We show from now on the evaluation of the order of the approximation of $f \in C_b(D)$ order, by the row $\{U_{m,n}f\}$.

A result used with this purpose is contained in:

2.3. Lemma [3], [4]: If $x \geq 0$, $m \geq 4x$ we have the inequality:

$$(2.7) \quad x \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right)^m \leq \frac{2x(1+x)}{m+2}$$

2.4. Theorem: If $f \in C_b(D)$ we have the assessment:

$$(2.8) \quad |f(x, y) - (U_{m,n}f)(x, y)| \leq (2+a)(2+b) \omega_B \left(\sqrt{\frac{2a(1+2a)}{m+2}}, \sqrt{\frac{2b(1+2b)}{n+2}} \right)$$

Proof: Since $U_{m,n}(1; x, y) = 1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(x, y) - (U_{m,n}f)(x, y)| = \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+x)^m(1+y)^n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{m}{k} \binom{n}{l} x^k y^l \Delta_2 f \left(x, y; \frac{k}{m-k+1}, \frac{l}{n-l+1} \right) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1+x)^m(1+y)^n} \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{m}{k} \binom{n}{l} x^k y^l \omega_B \left(\left| x - \frac{k}{m-k+1} \right|, \left| y - \frac{l}{n-l+1} \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Be $\delta_1 > 0$, $\delta_2 > 0$. We apply the 1.3 theorem with $\lambda_1 = \frac{|x - \frac{k}{m-k+1}|}{\delta_1}$,

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{|y - \frac{l}{n-l+1}|}{\delta_2} \quad \text{and we have:}$$

$$\omega_B \left(\left| x - \frac{k}{m-k+1} \right|, \left| y - \frac{l}{n-l+1} \right| \right) \leq \left(1 + \frac{\left| x - \frac{k}{m-k+1} \right|}{\delta_1} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\left| y - \frac{l}{n-l+1} \right|}{\delta_2} \right) \omega_B(\delta_1, \delta_2) .$$

Considering the precedent inequality, we obtain:

$$(2.8) \quad |f(x, y) - (U_{m,n}f)(x, y)| \leq \\ \leq \omega_B(\delta_1, \delta_2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta_1} \cdot \frac{1}{(1+x)^m} \sum_{k=0}^m \left| x - \frac{k}{m-k+1} \right| \binom{m}{k} x^k \right) \cdot \\ \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta_2} \cdot \frac{1}{(1+y)^n} \sum_{l=0}^n \left| y - \frac{l}{n-l+1} \right| \binom{n}{l} y^l \right) .$$

Using the Schwarz inequality we have:

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{1}{(1+x)^m} \sum_{k=0}^m \left| x - \frac{k}{m-k+1} \right| \binom{m}{k} x^k \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{(1+x)^m} \sum_{k=0}^m \left| x - \frac{k}{m-k+1} \right|^2 \binom{m}{k} x^k} .$$

Applying 2.1 theorem (the ii, iv affirmations) and 2.3 lemma we obtain:

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{1}{(1+x)^m} \sum_{k=0}^m \left| x - \frac{k}{m-k+1} \right|^2 \binom{m}{k} x^k \leq \frac{2x(1+x)^2(1+2x)}{m+2} .$$

Considering that $x \in [0, a]$, from (2.9) and (2.10) we have:

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{1}{(1+x)^m} \sum_{k=0}^m \left| x - \frac{k}{m-k+1} \right| \binom{m}{k} x^k \leq (a+1) \sqrt{\frac{2a(1+2a)}{m+2}}$$

By analogy we have:

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{1}{(1+y)^n} \sum_{l=0}^n \left| y - \frac{l}{n-l+1} \right| \binom{n}{l} y^l \leq (b+1) \sqrt{\frac{2b(1+2b)}{n+2}} .$$

The 2.8, 2.11, 2.12 inequalities lead to:

$$(2.13) \quad |f(x, y) - (U_{m,n}f)(x, y)| \leq \\ \leq \omega_B(\delta_1, \delta_2) \left(1 + \frac{a+1}{\delta_1} \sqrt{\frac{2a(1+2a)}{m+2}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{b+1}{\delta_2} \sqrt{\frac{2b(1+2b)}{n+2}} \right)$$

We choose:

$$\delta_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2a(1+2a)}{m+2}}, \quad \delta_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2b(1+2b)}{n+2}}$$

and we obtain:

$$(2.14) \quad |f(x, y) - (U_{m,n}f)(x, y)| < (2+a)(2+b)\omega_B \left(\sqrt{\frac{2a(1+2a)}{m+2}}, \sqrt{\frac{2b(1+2b)}{n+2}} \right)$$

REFERENCES

1. BADEA, I. - Modulul de continuitate în sens Bögel și unele aplicații în aproximarea printr-un operator Bernstein, Studia Univ. Babeș-Bolyai, fasc. 2/1973, pag. 69-78
2. BADEA, C., BADEA, I., GONSKA, H.H. - A test function theorem and approximation by pseudopolynomials, Bul. Austr. Math. Soc, 34 (1986), pag. 53-64
3. BLEIMAN, B., BUTZER, P.L., HAHN, L. - A Bernstein type operator approximating continuous functions on the semiaxis, Indagationes Mathematicae, 42 (1980), 255-262
4. CIUPA, A. - Aproximarea funcțiilor de două variabile cu ajutorul unui operator de tip Bernstein, Lucrările Seminarului "Theodor Angheluță", Cluj-Napoca, 1983, 39-44.

Received: March 9, 1994

UNIVERSITY OF BAIA MARE
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
4800 BAIA MARE
ROMANIA