

*Dedicated to the Centenary of "Gazeta Matematică"*

A POST TYPE THEOREM FOR  $(m,n)$  RINGS WITH UNIT  
AS A SYSTEM OF  $(n-1)$  ELEMENTS

Maria S. POP and Lăcrimioara IANCU

**Abstract:** In this paper a binary reduct of an  $(m,n)$  ring is constructed, an usual ring on a covering set of an  $(m,n)$  ring is constructed and an isomorphism between these two rings is determined. As main result the analogous for  $(m,n)$  rings of the Post Coset Theorem for  $n$ -groups is given.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of  $(m,n)$  -rings has provided the subject matter for several publications.

Dörnte, [4], considered a generalized group in 1928; he studied systems with one  $m$ -ary operation subject to associativity laws and to the existence of solutions to equations.

Post, [7], called these algebras polyadic groups and examined their structure in 1940; in an important result, generally referred to as the Post Coset Theorem, he showed that an  $m$ -group is a coset of an invariant subgroup, called the associated group, in an ordinary 2-group, called the covering group, and that the corresponding factor group is cyclic of order  $m-1$ . The  $m$ -ary operation in the  $m$ -group is the operation of the cover restricted to products involving admissible numbers of terms from the coset.

Boccioni, [1], established an analogous of the Post Coset Theorem for  $(n,2)$ -rings: an  $(n,2)$  ring  $A$  is a coset of an ideal  $I$  of a ring

$R$  and  $R/I$  is isomorphic to the ring of integers modulo  $n-1$ .  
 Leeson and Butson, [5], showed that an  $(m, n)$  ring  $A$  is a coset  $z+I$   
 of an ideal  $I$  of a  $(2, n)$  ring  $R$  with  $\begin{pmatrix} (m) \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in z+I$  and  $(R/I, +)$  is  
 isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}, +)$ ; conversely any such coset is an  $(m, n)$  ring.

The  $(2, n)$  ring  $R$  was called the Post cover of  $A$  and the ideal  $I$  was  
 called the associated  $(2, n)$  ring.

Crombez, [3], as well, established a Post coset theorem for  $(n, m)$   
 rings.

## 2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

**Definition 2.1** An  $n$ -semigroup is an algebraic system  $(A, ( ))$   
 with one  $n$ -ary operation  $\circ: A^n \rightarrow A$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , so that for any set  
 of elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n-1} \in A$ , and any  $k=1, \dots, n-1$  it is true  
 that  $((a_1, \dots, a_n) \circ a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{2n-1}) \circ$

$$= (a_1, \dots, a_k, (a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{k+n}) \circ a_{k+n+1}, \dots, a_{2n-1}) \circ$$

shortly  $((a_1^n) \circ a_{2n-1}^{2n-1}) \circ (a_1^k, (a_{k+1}^{k+n}), a_{k+n+1}^{2n-1})$

**Definition 2.2** An  $n$ -group  $(A, ( ))$  is an  $n$ -semigroup  
 $(A, ( ))$  in which the equations  $(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) \circ a_i$  have  
 a unique solution in  $A$  for arbitrary  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  and for each  
 $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

An  $n$ -semigroup ( $n$ -group)  $(A, ( ))$  is called:  
**commutative** if the operation " $\circ$ " is invariant under each  
 permutation of the elements involved

semicommutative if  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n) = (a_n, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_1)$ , for any set of elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ .

entropic (or medial) if

$$((a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1n}), (a_{21}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{2n}), \dots, (a_{n1}, a_{n2}, \dots, a_{nn})) = ((a_{11}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{n1}), (a_{12}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{n2}), \dots, (a_{1n}, a_{2n}, \dots, a_{nn})),$$

for any  $a_{ij} \in A$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

An element  $a \in A$  of an  $n$ -semigroup  $(A, ( ))$  is called idempotent

if  $\binom{(n)}{a} = a$ .

In an  $n$ -group  $(A, ( ))$  the unique solution of the equation

$(a, a, \dots, a, x) = a$  is called the querelement of  $a$  and is denoted by  $\bar{a}$ .

The  $(n-1)$ -ad  $u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} \in A$  is a right unit as a system of  $(n-1)$  elements if  $(a, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) = a$ , for each  $a \in A$ . In an  $n$ -group

$\binom{(i)}{b} \binom{(n-i-2)}{b}$  is a unit for each  $b \in A$  and for each  $i=0, 1, \dots, n-2$ .

**Proposition 2.3** (Post [7] Coset Theorem for  $n$ -groups) An  $n$ -group  $A$  is a coset  $z+N$  of a normal subgroup  $N$  called the associated 2-group, in a 2-group  $G$ , which  $A$  generates, called the covering group  $G$ , with  $(G/N, +) = (\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}, +)$ . Conversely, such a coset (for some  $G, N$  and  $z$ ) is an  $n$ -group. Furthermore,  $G$  is an abelian 2-group if and only if  $A$  is an abelian  $n$ -group.

**Definition 2.4** An algebra  $(R, [ ], ( ))$  is an  $(m, n)$  ring if:

- (i)  $(R, [ ])$  is a commutative  $m$ -group
- (ii)  $(R, ( ))$  is an  $n$ -semigroup, and
- (iii) the following distributive law hold for all choices of  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in R$  and for all choices of  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ :

$$(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n], a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) =$$

$$= [(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b_1, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n),$$

$$(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b_2, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n), \dots, (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b_n, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)].$$

An element  $b \in R$  is an additive idempotent if  $[b, b, \dots, b] = b$  and a multiplicative idempotent if  $(b, b, \dots, b) = b$ . If both of these conditions are satisfied,  $b$  will be called an idempotent of  $R$ .

The element  $\bar{b}$  will denote the additive querelement of  $b$ .

It is known that the additive querelement has the following properties in an  $(m, n)$  ring:  $[\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_n] = [\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_n]$  and

$$[\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_n] = (b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, \bar{b}_i, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_n), \text{ for } i=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

If the multiplicative  $n$ -semigroup contains an  $n$ -group, the element  $b$  will denote the multiplicative querelement of  $b$  therein.

An element  $0$  in an  $(m, n)$  ring  $R$  is called a zero of  $R$  if

$$0 = (0, r_2, \dots, r_n) = \dots = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n-1}, 0), \text{ for each choice of } r_i \in R.$$

An  $(m, n)$  ring may have at most one zero.

A zero of  $R$  is an idempotent of  $R$ .

3.

Let  $(R, [ ], ( ))$  be an  $(m, n)$  ring and  $a, u, \dots, u_{n-2} \in R$  fixed elements of  $R$ .

Define two binary operations on  $R$ :

$$\oplus: R \times R \rightarrow R, \quad x \oplus y = [x, \overset{(n-2)}{a}, \bar{a}, y] \quad (1) \quad \text{and} \quad \odot: R \times R \rightarrow R,$$

$$x \odot y = [(x, u_1^{\overset{(n-2)}{n-2}}, y), (x, u_1^{\overset{(n-2)}{n-2}}, a), (x, u_1^{\overset{(n-2)}{n-2}}, \bar{a}), (a, u_1^{\overset{(n-2)}{n-2}}, y),$$

$$(\bar{a}, u_1^{\overset{(n-2)}{n-2}}, y), (a, u_1^{\overset{(n-2)}{n-2}}, a), a] \quad (2)$$

Proposition 3.1 If  $(R, [ ], \{ \})$  is an  $(m, n)$  ring, then  $(R, \oplus, \odot)$  is a ring called the binary reduced ring with respect to the elements  $a, u_1, \dots, u_{n-2} \in R$  and denoted by

$$\text{red}_a^*(\text{red}_{u_1, \dots, u_{n-2}}^*(R, [ ], \{ \})).$$

Proof. It is easily verified that  $(R, \oplus)$  is a (binary) abelian group (in which the identity element is  $a$  and  $-x = [a, x, \bar{x}, a]$ ) We shall prove the associative law and the distributive laws for the operation  $\odot$ .

$$(x \odot y) \odot z = [a, (a, u_1^{n-2}, a), (x, u_1^{n-2}, a), (x, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}),$$

$$(a, u_1^{n-2}, y), (\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, y), (x, u_1^{n-2}, y)] \odot z =$$

$$= [(x, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, z), (a, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, z), (x, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, z),$$

$$(\bar{x}, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, z), (a, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, z), (\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, z),$$

$$(a, u_1^{n-2}, z), (a, u_1^{n-2}, a), (x, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, a), a, (a, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, a),$$

$$(a, u_1^{n-2}, a), (x, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, a), (x, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, a),$$

$$(a, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, a), (\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, a), (x, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}),$$

$$(a, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}), (a, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}), (x, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}),$$

$$(x, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}), (a, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}) \cdot (a, u_1^{n-2}, z) \cdot (\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, z) = \\
& = [(x, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, z) \cdot (x, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, a) \cdot (a, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, a) \cdot \\
& (a, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, z) \cdot (x, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, z) \cdot (x, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, z) \cdot \\
& (x, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, a) \cdot (x, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}) \cdot (a, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, z) \cdot \\
& (\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, z) \cdot a \cdot (a, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, a) \cdot (\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, a)] \\
x \odot (y \odot z) & = x \odot [a \cdot (a, u_1^{n-2}, a) \cdot (y, u_1^{n-2}, a) \cdot (y, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}) \cdot \\
& (a, u_1^{n-2}, z) \cdot (\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, z) \cdot (y, u_1^{n-2}, z)] = \\
& = [(x, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, z) \cdot (x, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, a) \cdot (x, u_1^{n-2}, a) \cdot \\
& (x, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, a) \cdot (x, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}) \cdot (x, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, z) \cdot \\
& (x, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, z) \cdot (a, u_1^{n-2}, a) \cdot a \cdot (x, u_1^{n-2}, a) \cdot (x, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}) \cdot \\
& (a, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, z) \cdot (\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, z) \cdot (a, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, a) \cdot \\
& (a, u_1^{n-2}, a) \cdot (a, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, a) \cdot (a, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}) \cdot \\
& (a, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, z) \cdot (\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, z) \cdot (\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, a) \cdot \\
& (\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, a) \cdot (\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, a) \cdot (\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, y, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}) \cdot \\
& (\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, a, u_1^{n-2}, z) \cdot (\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, z)] = \text{after some calculus} \\
& = (x \odot y) \odot z
\end{aligned}$$

$$x \odot (y \oplus z) = x \odot [y, \overset{(n-1)}{a}, \bar{a}, z] = [a, (a, u_1^{n-2}, a), \overset{(n-1)}{x}, u_1^{n-2}, a],$$

$$(x, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}), \overset{(n-1)}{(a, u_1^{n-2}, y)}, \overset{(n-1)}{(a, u_1^{n-2}, a)},$$

$$\overset{(n-1)}{(a, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a})}, \overset{(n-1)}{(a, u_1^{n-2}, z)}, \overset{(n-1)}{(\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, y)}, \overset{(n-1)}{(\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, a)}, \overset{(n-1)}{(\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a})},$$

$$\overset{(n-1)}{(\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, z)}, \overset{(n-1)}{(x, u_1^{n-2}, y)}, \overset{(n-1)}{(x, u_1^{n-2}, a)}, \overset{(n-1)}{(x, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a})},$$

$$(x, u_1^{n-2}, z) = [a, (a, u_1^{n-2}, a), \overset{(n-1)}{x}, u_1^{n-2}, a], \overset{(n-1)}{(x, u_1^{n-2}, a)}, \overset{(n-1)}{(x, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a})},$$

$$\overset{(n-1)}{(a, u_1^{n-2}, y)}, \overset{(n-1)}{(\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, y)}, \overset{(n-1)}{(a, u_1^{n-2}, z)}, \overset{(n-1)}{(\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, z)},$$

$$(x, u_1^{n-2}, y), \overset{(n-1)}{(x, u_1^{n-2}, z)}]$$

$$x \odot y \oplus x \odot z = [a, (a, u_1^{n-2}, a), \overset{(n-1)}{x}, u_1^{n-2}, a], \overset{(n-1)}{(x, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a})}, \overset{(n-1)}{(a, u_1^{n-2}, y)},$$

$$\overset{(n-1)}{(\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, y)}, \overset{(n-1)}{(x, u_1^{n-2}, y)}, \overset{(n-1)}{a}, \bar{a}, a, (a, u_1^{n-2}, a),$$

$$\overset{(n-1)}{(x, u_1^{n-2}, a)}, \overset{(n-1)}{(x, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a})}, \overset{(n-1)}{(a, u_1^{n-2}, z)}, \overset{(n-1)}{(\bar{a}, u_1^{n-2}, z)}, \overset{(n-1)}{(x, u_1^{n-2}, z)}] =$$

$$= x \odot (y \oplus z)$$

Remark 3.2(i) If  $u_1^{n-2}a$  is a right unit and  $au_1^{n-2}$  is a left unit as a system of  $(n-1)$  elements in the  $(m, n)$  ring  $R$ , then the binary operations are:

$$x \oplus y = [x, \overset{(n-1)}{a}, \bar{a}, y], \quad x \odot y = [a, a, \overset{(n-1)}{x}, \bar{x}, \overset{(n-1)}{y}, \bar{y}, (x, u_1^{n-2}, y)] \quad (3)$$

(ii) If  $a$  is a zero in the  $(m, n)$  ring  $R$  then the operation  $\odot$  is given by:  $x \odot y = (x, u_1^{n-2}, y)$ . (4)

Example 3.3 (i) Let  $(\mathbb{Z}_8, [], ())$  be the (3,3) - ring of integers modulo 8, where the operations are:  $[x_1, x_2, x_3] = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$ ,

$(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 3x_1 x_2 x_3$ .  $\hat{0}$  is a zero element in this commutative (3,3) -ring and  $(\hat{1}, \hat{3})$  is a unit as a system of two elements.

$\text{red}_2^*(\text{red}_2^*(\mathbb{Z}_8, [], ()))$  is the ring  $(\mathbb{Z}_8, \oplus, \odot)$  where the operations are:

$$x \oplus y = [x, \hat{1}, y] = x + \hat{1} + y \quad \text{and} \quad x \odot y = \hat{2} + \hat{1}x + \hat{1}y + xy$$

$\hat{1}$  is a zero element in this commutative ring and  $\hat{2}$  is a neuter element for the operation  $\odot$ .

(ii) Let  $R = (a, b)$  a (3,4) commutative ring, where the operations are:

$$\begin{array}{ll} a+a+a=a & aaaa=a \\ a+a+b=b & aaab=b \\ a+b+b=a & aabb=a \\ b+b+b=b & abbb=b \\ & bbbb=a \end{array}$$

In this (3,4) ring  $a$  is an additive and multiplicative idempotent and  $b$  is an additive idempotent:  $abb$  and  $aaa$  are units as systems of three elements.

$\text{red}_2^*(\text{red}_{ab}^*(R, +, \cdot))$  is the ring  $(R, \oplus, \odot)$ , where the operations are:

$$x \oplus y = x + y + b, \quad x \odot y = x + y + xaby$$

$b$  is a zero element in this commutative ring

(iii) Let  $R = (a, b)$  a (3,4) commutative ring, where addition is:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (a, a, a)_{+} = b & \\ (a, a, b)_{+} = a & \text{and multiplication is the same as before.} \\ (a, b, b)_{+} = b & \\ (b, b, b)_{+} = a & \end{array}$$

In this (3,4) ring  $a$  is a multiplicative idempotent,  $abb$  and  $aaa$



are units as systems of three elements.

$red_b^*(red_{ab}(R, \cdot, \cdot))$  is the ring  $(R_1, \oplus, \odot)$ , where the operations are:

$x \oplus y = x + y + a$ ,  $x \odot y = b + b + xaab + aaby + xaby$ , and it is isomorphic to the reduced ring given in (ii).

4.

We shall give now a construction of a ring on a covering set of  $R$ .

Let  $(R, [], \cdot)$  be an  $(m, n)$  ring and  $M = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} M_{k, n-1}(R)$  the set of all matrices with  $n-1$  columns having elements from  $R$ . Define on the set  $M$  the binary relation " $\sim$ " as follows:

$(a_{ij})_{\substack{i=\overline{1, k} \\ j=\overline{1, n-1}}} \sim (b_{ij})_{\substack{i=\overline{1, k} \\ j=\overline{1, n-1}}}$  if and only if  $r \equiv s \pmod{m-1}$  and for

$\forall c \in R \exists d_{ij} \in R$ ,  $i = \overline{1, k}$ ,  $j = \overline{1, n-1}$ , where

$k+r \equiv 1 \pmod{m-1}$ ,  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & [(c, d_{11}^{1, n-1}), \dots, (c, d_{k1}^{k, n-1}), (c, a_{11}^{1, n-1}), \dots, (c, a_{r1}^{r, n-1})] = \\ & = [(c, d_{11}^{1, n-1}), \dots, (c, d_{k1}^{k, n-1}), (c, b_{11}^{1, n-1}), \dots, (c, b_{s1}^{s, n-1})] \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

In the expression, if needed, we understand by  $[ \dots ]$  the long sum of a number of terms congruent with 1 modulo  $m-1$ .

It is easily verified that " $\sim$ " is an equivalence relation; let

$R^* = M/\sim$  be the factor set and denote its elements by  $\sum_{j=1}^r a_{1j}^{1, n-1}$

(this is the equivalence class of the matrix  $(a_{ij})_{\substack{i=\overline{1, r} \\ j=\overline{1, n-1}}}$ ).

Remarks 4.1. (i) The equivalence relation may also be defined as follows:

$(a_{ij})_{\substack{i=\overline{1, r} \\ j=\overline{1, n-1}}} \sim (b_{ij})_{\substack{i=\overline{1, r} \\ j=\overline{1, n-1}}}$  if and only if  $r \equiv s \pmod{m-1}$  and for

$\forall c \in R \forall d_{ij} \in R$ ,  $i = \overline{1, k}$ ,  $j = \overline{1, n-1}$ , where

$k+r=1 \pmod{m-1}$ ,  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ , the relation (5) holds.

(ii) An equivalent definition for the relation " $\sim$ " is the following:

$$(a_{ij})_{\substack{i=\overline{1, \ell} \\ j=\overline{1, \ell}}} \sim (b_{ij})_{\substack{i=\overline{1, \ell} \\ j=\overline{1, \ell}}} \iff r=s \pmod{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{for } \forall c \in R \forall d, e \in R, j=\overline{1, n-1}$$

$$\text{such that } \left[ \left( c \begin{matrix} (k-1) \\ d_1^{n-1} \end{matrix} \right), \left( \bar{c}, d_1^{n-1} \right), \left( c, a_{11}^{i, n-1} \right), \dots, \left( c, a_{1\ell}^{i, n-1} \right) \right] = \\ = \left[ \left( c \begin{matrix} (k-1) \\ d_1^{n-1} \end{matrix} \right), \left( \bar{c}, d_1^{n-1} \right), \left( c, b_{11}^{i, n-1} \right), \dots, \left( c, b_{1\ell}^{i, n-1} \right) \right] \quad (6)$$

where again  $k+r=1 \pmod{m-1}$ .

The proof follows immediately from the properties of the  $m$ -ary group operation.

(iii) Any permutation of the rows leads to equivalent matrices.

(iv) The equivalence class of a matrix having only one row will be denoted by  $\langle a_i^{n-1} \rangle$  and is the set

$$\{ b_i^{n-1} \mid \forall c \in R, \exists d, e \in R, j=\overline{1, n-1} :$$

$$[(c \begin{matrix} (m-2) \\ d_1^{n-1} \end{matrix}), (\bar{c}, d_1^{n-1}), (c, a_i^{n-1})] =$$

$$= [(c \begin{matrix} (m-2) \\ d_1^{n-1} \end{matrix}), (\bar{c}, d_1^{n-1}), (c, b_i^{n-1})] \} \text{ i. e.}$$

$$\{ b_i^{n-1} \mid \forall c \in R: (c, a_i^{n-1}) = (c, b_i^{n-1}) \}. \quad (7)$$

(v) If the  $(m, n)$  ring  $(R, [], ())$  has a right unit

$u_i^{n-1}$  as a system of  $n-1$  elements, then  $(a_{ij})_{\substack{i=\overline{1, \ell} \\ j=\overline{1, n-1}}} \sim (b_{ij})_{\substack{i=\overline{1, \ell} \\ j=\overline{1, n-1}}}$ , where  $b_{ij} = u_j$ ,

for  $i=\overline{1, \ell}$ ,  $j=\overline{1, n-1}$  and  $b_{i, n-1} = (u_{n-1}, a_{i1}^{i, n-1})$ ,  $i=\overline{1, \ell}$

(vi) Moreover, for every equivalence class  $\sum_{i=1}^x a_{ii}^{1, n-1}$ , we can choose canonical representatives having  $t$  rows, where  $1 < t \leq m-1$  and  $t \equiv r \pmod{m-1}$ , because

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \dots a_{1, n-1} \\ \dots \dots \dots \\ a_{r1} \dots a_{r, n-1} \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \dots u_{n-2} & (u_{n-1}, a_{11}^{1, n-1}) \\ \dots \dots \dots & \dots \dots \dots \\ u_1 \dots u_{n-2} & (u_{n-1}, a_{t-1, 1}^{t-1, n-1}) \\ u_1 \dots u_{n-2} & [(u_{n-1}, a_{21}^{2, n-1}), \dots, (u_{n-1}, a_{r1}^{r, n-1})] \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore  $M/\sim = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m-1} M_{k, n-1}/\sim$ .

(vii) If  $u_1^{n-1}$  is a right unit in the  $(m, n)$  ring  $(R, [], (,))$  then the equivalence relation " $\sim$ " may also be defined as:

$$(a_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq m \\ j \neq n-1}} \sim (b_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq m \\ j \neq n-1}} \iff r \equiv s \pmod{m-1} \text{ and}$$

$$[u_{n-1}^{(k-1)}, \bar{u}_{n-1}, (u_{n-1}, a_{11}^{1, n-1}), \dots, (u_{n-1}, a_{r1}^{r, n-1})] \sim$$

$$= [u_{n-1}^{(k-1)}, \bar{u}_{n-1}, (u_{n-1}, b_{11}^{1, n-1}), \dots, (u_{n-1}, b_{s1}^{s, n-1})], \text{ where } k+r \equiv 1 \pmod{m-1} \quad (8)$$

(viii) If  $u_1^{n-1}$  is a right unit in the  $(m, n)$  ring  $(R, [], (,))$  we also have:

$$(a_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq m \\ j \neq n-1}} \sim (b_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq m \\ j \neq n-1}} \iff r \equiv s \pmod{m-1} \text{ and for } \forall c \in R.$$

$$[c^{(k-1)}, \bar{c}, (c, a_{11}^{1, n-1}), \dots, (c, a_{r1}^{r, n-1})] \sim$$

$$= [c^{(k-1)}, \bar{c}, (c, b_{11}^{1, n-1}), \dots, (c, b_{s1}^{s, n-1})], \text{ where } k+r \equiv 1 \pmod{m-1} \quad (9)$$

**Example 4.2.** The following matrices with  $n-1$  rows are equivalent.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \dots a \bar{a} \\ a \dots a a \\ \dots \\ a \dots a a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b \dots b \bar{b} \\ b \dots b b \\ \dots \\ b \dots b b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b \dots \dots b b \\ b \dots \bar{b} \dots b b \\ b \dots \dots b b \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with } \bar{b} \text{ an any position in the}$$

matrix.

The above matrices are also equivalent to any  $(m-1)$  rows matrix of the form

$$(10) \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \dots a_{1, m-1} \\ a_{11} \dots a_{1, m-1} \\ \dots \\ a_{11} \dots a_{1, m-1} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where again the querement can be placed on}$$

any position in the matrix.

We shall define now two binary operations on  $R^*$ , denoted by  $+$  and  $\cdot$ . The operation  $+: R^* \times R^* \rightarrow R^*$  is a simple concatenation i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^r a_{ii}^{i, n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^s b_{ii}^{i, n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{r+s} c_{ii}^{i, n-1}, \quad \text{w h e r e}$$

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{ij}, & \text{for } i \in \overline{1, r} \\ b_{i-r, j}, & \text{for } i \in \overline{r+1, r+s} \end{cases}, \quad j \in \overline{1, n-1}$$

The operation is well defined, i.e. it does not depend on the choice of representatives. Indeed, if

$$(a_{ij})_{\substack{j \in \overline{1, n-1} \\ i \in \overline{1, r}}} = (a'_{ij})_{\substack{j \in \overline{1, n-1} \\ i \in \overline{1, r}}}, \quad (\beta_{ij})_{\substack{j \in \overline{1, n-1} \\ i \in \overline{1, s}}} = (\beta'_{ij})_{\substack{j \in \overline{1, n-1} \\ i \in \overline{1, s}}} \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^p a_{ii}^{i, n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^q \beta_{ii}^{i, n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{p+q} \gamma_{ii}^{i, n-1}, \text{ then by commutativity of the } m\text{-ary}$$

operation and knowing that  $p=r, q=s, k+r=1, l+s=1 \pmod{m-1}$  we have:

$$[(c_{ii}^{i, n-1})_{i \in \overline{1, r+s}} \dots (c_{ii}^{i, n-1})_{i \in \overline{1, r+s}}] =$$

$$= [ [(c_{ii}^{i, n-1})_{i \in \overline{1, r}} \dots (c_{ii}^{i, n-1})_{i \in \overline{1, r}}] \dots [(c_{ii}^{i, n-1})_{i \in \overline{1, s}} \dots (c_{ii}^{i, n-1})_{i \in \overline{1, s}}] ],$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (c, d_1^{(2-1)}, (c, \beta_{11}^{1, n-1}), \dots, (c, \beta_{r1}^{r, n-1})) ]^{a-b} \\
& = [ [(c, d_1^{(k-1)}, (\bar{c}, d_1^{n-1}), (c, a_{11}^{1, n-1}), \dots, (c, a_{r1}^{r, n-1}))], \\
& (c, d_1^{(l-1)}, (c, \beta_{11}^{1, n-1}), \dots, (c, \beta_{r1}^{r, n-1})) ] = \\
& = [(c, d_1^{(k-1)}, (c, a_{11}^{1, n-1}), \dots, (c, a_{r1}^{r, n-1}), \\
& [(c, d_1^{(l-1)}, (\bar{c}, d_1^{n-1}), (c, \beta_{11}^{1, n-1}), \dots, (c, \beta_{r1}^{r, n-1})) ] ]^{a-b} \\
& = [(c, d_1^{(k-1)}, (c, a_{11}^{1, n-1}), \dots, (c, a_{r1}^{r, n-1}), \\
& [(c, d_1^{(l-1)}, (\bar{c}, d_1^{n-1}), (c, b_{11}^{1, n-1}), \dots, (c, b_{r1}^{r, n-1})) ] ] = \\
& = [(c, d_1^{(k+l-2)}, (\bar{c}, d_1^{n-1}), (c, a_{11}^{1, n-1}), \dots, (c, a_{r1}^{r, n-1}), (c, b_{11}^{1, n-1}), \dots, \\
& \dots, (c, b_{r1}^{r, n-1})) ] = \\
& = [(c, d_1^{(k+l-2)}, (\bar{c}, d_1^{n-1}), (c, c_{11}^{1, n-1}), \dots, (c, c_{r+q, 1}^{r+q, n-1})) ]
\end{aligned}$$

It is easily verified that  $(R^*, +)$  is an abelian group. The neuter element of this group is the class presented in example 4.2. which can be denoted by  $(m-2) \langle a \rangle + \langle \bar{a}, \bar{a} \rangle$ .

The symmetric element of  $\sum_{i=1}^r a_{ii}^{i, n-1}$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
-\sum_{i=1}^r a_{ii}^{i, n-1} &= (m-3) \langle a_{r1}^{r, n-1} \rangle + \langle a_{r1}^{r, n-1}, \bar{a}_{1, n-1} \rangle + \dots + (m-3) \langle a_{11}^{1, n-1} \rangle + \\
& + \langle a_{11}^{1, n-1}, \bar{a}_{1, n-1} \rangle. \quad \text{i.e. } a
\end{aligned}$$

representative for the class  $-\sum_{i=1}^r a_{ii}^{i, n-1}$  is the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{r1} \cdots a_{r,n-1} \\ \dots \\ a_{r1} \cdots a_{r,n-1} \\ a_{r1} \cdots \bar{a}_{r,n-1} \\ \dots \\ a_{11} \cdots a_{1,n-1} \\ \dots \\ a_{11} \cdots a_{1,n-1} \\ a_{11} \cdots \bar{a}_{1,n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

The second binary operation  $\cdot: R^* \times R^* \rightarrow R^*$  will be defined as follows:

$$\sum_{j=1}^r a_{ji}^{i,n-1} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^s b_{li}^{l,n-1} = \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{l=1}^s a_{ji}^{i,n-2} (a_{l,n-1}, b_{li}^{j,n-1}).$$

The definition does not depend on the choice of representatives; indeed, making the same notations as before and putting  $v+r s=1 \pmod{m-1}$  we have:

$$[(c^{(v-1)}, d_1^{v-1}) \dots (\bar{c}, d_1^{n-1}) \dots (c, a_{11}^{1,n-2}, (a_{1,n-1}, b_{11}^{1,n-1})) \dots,$$

$$(c, a_{11}^{1,n-2}, (a_{1,n-1}, b_{s1}^{s,n-1})) \dots, (c, a_{r1}^{r,n-2}, (a_{r,n-1}, b_{11}^{1,n-1})) \dots,$$

$$\dots, (c, a_{r1}^{r,n-2}, (a_{r,n-1}, b_{s1}^{s,n-1})) \dots] = \text{by the distributive laws,} \\ \text{querelement's properties and commutativity=}$$

$$= [(c^{(v-1)}, d_1^{v-1}) \dots (\bar{c}, d_1^{n-1}) \dots (c, a_{11}^{1,n-2}, [(a_{1,n-1}^{(i-1)}, d_1^{n-1}),$$

$$(\bar{a}_{1,n-1}, d_1^{n-1}) \dots (a_{1,n-1}, b_{11}^{1,n-1}) \dots, (a_{1,n-1}, b_{s1}^{s,n-1}) \dots]] \dots$$

$$(c, a_{11}^{(w-1),n-1}, d_1^{w-1}) \dots, (c, a_{r1}^{r,n-2}, [(a_{r,n-1}^{(l-1)}, d_1^{n-1}) \dots,$$

$$(\bar{a}_{r,n-1}, d_1^{n-1}) \dots (a_{r,n-1}, b_{11}^{1,n-1}) \dots, (a_{r,n-1}, b_{s1}^{s,n-1}) \dots]] \dots$$

$$(c, a_{r1}^{(w-1),n-1}, d_1^{w-1}) \dots]^{s-b} = [(c^{(v-1)}, d_1^{v-1}) \dots (\bar{c}, d_1^{n-1}) \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (c, a_{11}^{1,n-2}, [(a_{1,n-1}^{(1-1)}, d_1^{n-1}), \\
& (\bar{a}_{1,n-1}, d_1^{n-1}), (a_{1,n-1}, \beta_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (a_{1,n-1}, \beta_{t1}^{t,n-1}),]) , \\
& (c, a_{11}^{1,n-1}, d_1^{n-1}), \dots, (c, a_{r1}^{r,n-2}, [(a_{r,n-1}^{(1-1)}, d_1^{n-1}), (\bar{a}_{r,n-1}, d_1^{n-1}), \\
& (a_{r,n-1}, \beta_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (a_{r,n-1}, \beta_{t1}^{t,n-1}),], (c, a_{r1}^{r,n-1}, d_1^{n-1}),] =
\end{aligned}$$

again by the distributivity laws, querelement's properties and commutativity-

$$\begin{aligned}
& = [(c, d_1^{(n-1)}, d_1^{n-1}), (\bar{c}, d_1^{n-1}), (c, a_{11}^{1,n-2}, (a_{1,n-1}, \beta_{11}^{1,n-1}),), \dots, \\
& \dots, (c, a_{11}^{1,n-2}, (a_{1,n-1}, \beta_{t1}^{t,n-1}),), \dots, (c, a_{r1}^{r,n-2}, (a_{r,n-1}, \beta_{11}^{1,n-1}),), \dots, \\
& \dots, (c, a_{r1}^{r,n-2}, (a_{r,n-1}, \beta_{t1}^{t,n-1}),).] = \text{by associative and distributive} \\
& \text{laws=}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = [(c, d_1^{(n-1)}, d_1^{n-1}), (\bar{c}, d_1^{n-1}), ((c, d_1^{(k-1)}, d_1^{n-1}), (\bar{c}, d_1^{n-1}), (c, a_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, \\
& (c, a_{r1}^{r,n-1}),], \beta_{11}^{1,n-1}), (c, d_1^{n-1}, \beta_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (c, d_1^{n-1}, \beta_{t1}^{t,n-1}), \\
& ((c, d_1^{(k-1)}, d_1^{n-1}), (\bar{c}, d_1^{n-1}), (c, a_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, \\
& \dots, (c, a_{r1}^{r,n-1}),], \beta_{t1}^{t,n-1}),]^{n-k} \\
& = [(c, d_1^{(n-1)}, d_1^{n-1}), (\bar{c}, d_1^{n-1}), ((c, d_1^{(k-1)}, d_1^{n-1}), (\bar{c}, d_1^{n-1}), (c, a_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, \\
& \dots, (c, a_{r1}^{r,n-1}),], \beta_{11}^{1,n-1}), (c, d_1^{n-1}, \beta_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (c, d_1^{n-1}, \beta_{t1}^{t,n-1}), \\
& ((c, d_1^{(k-1)}, d_1^{n-1}), (\bar{c}, d_1^{n-1}), (c, a_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (c, a_{r1}^{r,n-1}),], \beta_{t1}^{t,n-1}),] = \text{by the}
\end{aligned}$$

distributive laws, querelement's properties and commutativity of the m-ary operation

$= \{ (c^{i, n-1}, d_i^{n-1}), (\bar{c}, d_i^{n-1}), (c, a_{ii}^{1, n-2}, (\alpha_{1, n-1}, \beta_{ii}^{1, n-1})) \}, \dots,$   
 $\dots, (c, a_{ii}^{1, n-2}, (\alpha_{1, n-1}, \beta_{ii}^{1, n-1})) \}, \dots, (c, a_{pi}^{p, n-2}, (\alpha_{p, n-1}, \beta_{ii}^{p, n-2})) \}, \dots,$   
 $\dots, (c, a_{pi}^{p, n-2}, (\alpha_{p, n-1}, \beta_{ii}^{p, n-2})) \}.$  which proves that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r a_{ii}^{i, n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^s b_{ii}^{i, n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^p a_{ii}^{i, n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^c \beta_{ii}^{i, n-1}.$$

The associative law for the operation " $\cdot$ " is an immediate consequence of the associative laws for the  $n$ -ary operation  $()$ .

If  $u_i^{n-1}$  is a right unit in the  $(m, n)$  ring  $(R, [], ())$  then its equivalence class  $\langle u_i^{n-1} \rangle$ , consisting of all the right units of the  $(m, n)$  ring  $(R, [], ())$ , is a right unit for the operation " $\cdot$ ".

Indeed,  $\sum_{i=1}^s a_{ii}^{i, n-1} \cdot \langle u_i^{n-1} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^r a_{ii}^{i, n-2} (a_{i, n-1} u_i^{n-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^c a_{ii}^{i, n-1}.$

If the  $(m, n)$  ring  $(R, [], ())$  is semicommutative, then for any  $2n-1$  elements of  $R$  we have:

$$((c, a_i^{n-1}), \dots, b_i^{n-1}) = ((c, b_i^{n-1}), \dots, a_i^{n-1}).$$

This equality implies the commutativity of multiplication in  $R^*$ , so we conclude that if  $(R, [], ())$  is a semicommutative  $(m, n)$  ring then  $(R^*, \cdot)$  is a commutative semigroup.

In  $R^*$  the distributive laws also hold; indeed:

$$\sum_{i=1}^r a_{ii}^{i, n-1} \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^s b_{ii}^{i, n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^t c_{ii}^{i, n-1} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^r a_{ii}^{i, n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{s+t} d_{ii}^{i, n-1} =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{s+t} \sum_{i=1}^r a_{ii}^{i, n-2} (a_{i, n-1} \cdot d_{ii}^{i, n-1}),$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{l=1}^t a_{ij}^{i,n-2} (a_{l,n-1}, d_{jl}^{j,n-1}) + \sum_{j=s+1}^{s+t} \sum_{l=1}^t a_{ij}^{i,n-2} (a_{l,n-1}, d_{jl}^{j,n-1}) = \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{l=1}^t a_{ij}^{i,n-2} (a_{l,n-1}, b_{jl}^{j,n-1}) + \sum_{j=1}^t \sum_{l=1}^t a_{ij}^{i,n-2} (a_{l,n-1}, c_{jl}^{j,n-1}) = \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij}^{i,n-1} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^s b_{ij}^{i,n-1} + \sum_{j=1}^t a_{ij}^{i,n-1} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^t c_{ij}^{i,n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

(since  $d_{ij} = b_{ij}$ , for  $i=1, s, j=1, n-1$  and  $d_{ij} = c_{i-s, j}$ , for  $i=s+1, s+t, j=1, n-1$ .)

We have proved so the following

**Proposition 4.3.**  $(R^*, +, \cdot)$  is a ring. If  $(R, [], ( ))$  is a  $(m, n)$  ring with right unit then  $(R^*, +, \cdot)$  has a right unit; if  $(R, [], ( ))$  is a semicommutative  $(m, n)$  ring then  $(R^*, +, \cdot)$  is a commutative ring.

**Proposition 4.4.** The equivalence classes having matrices of type  $(r, n-1)$ , with  $r \equiv 0 \pmod{m-1}$ , as representatives form an ideal  $I$  of  $(R^*, +, \cdot)$  and  $R^*/I \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m-1}$ .

**Proof** Let  $\sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij}^{i,n-1}, \sum_{l=1}^s b_{ij}^{i,n-1} \in I$ , i.e.  $r \equiv s \equiv 0 \pmod{m-1}$ .

Their sum has a representative consisting of  $r+s \equiv 0 \pmod{m-1}$  ordered systems of  $(n-1)$  elements i.e. it belongs to  $I$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{We have that } -\sum_{j=1}^s b_{ij}^{i,n-1} &= (m-3) \langle b_{s,i}^{s,n-1} \rangle + \langle b_{s,i}^{s,n-2}, \bar{B}_{s,n-1} \rangle + \dots + \\
&+ \dots + (m-3) \langle b_{11}^{1,n-1} \rangle + \langle b_{11}^{1,n-2}, \bar{B}_{1,n-1} \rangle;
\end{aligned}$$

this representative has  $s(m-2)$  rows - a number congruent to 0 modulo  $m-1$ . Hence  $-\sum_{j=1}^s b_{ij}^{i,n-1} \in I$ .

Finally for any  $\sum_{i=1}^r a_{ii}^{i,n-1} \in R$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^s b_{ii}^{i,n-1} \in I$  we have that their product

$\sum_{i=1}^r a_{ii}^{i,n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^s b_{ii}^{i,n-1}$  has a representative with  $rs \equiv 0 \pmod{m-1}$  rows, so it belongs to  $I$ .

**Proposition 4.5** If  $au_1^{n-2}$  is a left unit and  $u_1^{n-2}a$  is a right unit in the  $(m, n)$  ring  $(R, [], ())$  then the ideal  $I$  is isomorphic to the reduced ring  $\text{red}_a^*(\text{red}_{u_1^{n-2}}(R, [], ()))$ .

**Proof** Let  $f: I \rightarrow R$ ,  $f(\sum_{i=1}^r a_{ii}^{i,n-1}) = [a, (a, a_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (a, a_{r1}^{r,n-1})]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_{ii}^{i,n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^s b_{ii}^{i,n-1}\right) &= \\ &= [a, (a, a_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (a, a_{r1}^{r,n-1}), (a, b_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (a, b_{s1}^{s,n-1})] = \\ &= [a, (a, a_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (a, a_{r1}^{r,n-1}), \overset{(m-3)}{a}, \bar{a}, a, (a, b_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (a, b_{s1}^{s,n-1})] = \\ &= [a, (a, a_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (a, a_{r1}^{r,n-1})] \oplus \\ &\oplus [a, (a, b_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (a, b_{s1}^{s,n-1})] = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_{ii}^{i,n-1}\right) \oplus f\left(\sum_{i=1}^s b_{ii}^{i,n-1}\right) \\ f\left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_{ii}^{i,n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^s b_{ii}^{i,n-1}\right) &= [a, (a, a_{11}^{1,n-1}, b_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots \\ &\dots, (a, a_{11}^{1,n-1}, b_{s1}^{s,n-1}), \dots, (a, a_{r1}^{r,n-1}, b_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (a, a_{r1}^{r,n-1}, b_{s1}^{s,n-1})] \\ f\left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_{ii}^{i,n-1}\right) \circ f\left(\sum_{i=1}^s b_{ii}^{i,n-1}\right) &= [a, \overset{(m-3)}{a}, \overset{(m-3)}{a}, (a, a_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots \\ &(a, a_{r1}^{r,n-1}), \bar{a}, (\bar{a}, a_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (\bar{a}, a_{r1}^{r,n-1}), \overset{(m-3)}{a}, (a, b_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \dots, (a^{(n-3)}, b_{si}^{s,n-1}), \bar{a}, (\bar{a}, b_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (\bar{a}, b_{si}^{s,n-1}), ([a, (a, a_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots \\
& \dots, (a, a_{rj}^{r,n-1}), ], u_1^{n-2}, [a, (a, b_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (a, b_{si}^{s,n-1}), ])] = \\
& = [(a^{(n-3)}, a_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (a^{(n-3)}, a_{rj}^{r,n-1}), (\bar{a}, a_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (\bar{a}, a_{rj}^{r,n-1}), (a^{(n-3)}, b_{11}^{1,n-1}), \\
& \dots, (a^{(n-3)}, b_{si}^{s,n-1}), (\bar{a}, b_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (\bar{a}, b_{si}^{s,n-1}), a, (a, a_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots \\
& (a, a_{rj}^{r,n-1}), (a, b_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (a, b_{si}^{s,n-1}), (a, a_{11}^{1,n-1}, b_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots \\
& \dots, (a, a_{11}^{1,n-1}, b_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (a, a_{rj}^{r,n-1}), \dots, (a, a_{rj}^{r,n-1}, b_{si}^{s,n-1})] = \\
& = [a, (a, a_{11}^{1,n-1}, b_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots, (a, a_{11}^{1,n-1}, b_{si}^{s,n-1}), \dots, (a, a_{rj}^{r,n-1}, b_{11}^{1,n-1}), \dots \\
& \dots, (a, a_{rj}^{r,n-1}, b_{si}^{s,n-1})] = f \left( \sum_{i=1}^r a_{ii}^{i,n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^s b_{ii}^{i,n-1} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We have proved that  $f$  is a homomorphism of rings.

For  $\forall x \in R$  we have that

$$x = [a, (a, u_1^{n-2}, a), \dots, (a, u_1^{n-2}, \bar{a}), (a, u_1^{n-2}, x)] = f \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_{ii}^{i,n-1} \right), \text{ where}$$

$$b_{ij} = u_j \text{ for } j = \overline{1, n-2}, i = \overline{1, m-1}, b_{i, n-1} = a \text{ for } i = \overline{1, m-3}, b_{n-2, n-1} = \bar{a},$$

$$b_{n-1, n-1} = x.$$

This proves that  $f$  is onto; the fact that  $f$  is one-to-one is obvious.

Remark. Note that the set  $\langle u_1^{n-2} R \rangle$  together with the repeated operation  $\oplus$  and with  $\odot$  is isomorphic to the  $(m, 2)$  ring  $\text{red}_{u_1^{n-2}}(R, [], ())$  defined in [6].

Example 4.6. (i) Let  $(\mathbb{Z}_6, [], ())$  the  $(3, 3)$  commutative ring given in example 3.3. (i).

Then the element of the ring  $(\mathbb{Z}_6^*, +, \cdot)$  will be the following equivalence classes:

$$\langle 3, 0 \rangle = \{(2, 4), (4, 4), (6, 4), (a, 0), a \in \mathbb{Z}_4\},$$

$$\langle 3, 3 \rangle = \{(3, 3), (5, 3), (7, 3), (1, 1)\},$$

$$\langle 3, 6 \rangle = \{(3, 6), (1, 2), (2, 5), (6, 7)\},$$

$$\langle 3, 1 \rangle = \{(3, 1), (5, 7)\}$$

$$\langle 3, 4 \rangle = \{(3, 4), (1, 4), (2, 2), (2, 6), (4, 5), (6, 6), (4, 7)\},$$

$$\langle 3, 7 \rangle = \{(3, 7), (1, 5)\}$$

$$\langle 3, 2 \rangle = \{(3, 2), (1, 6), (2, 7), (5, 6)\}$$

$$\langle 3, 5 \rangle = \{(3, 5), (1, 7)\}.$$

$$\sum \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sum \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \sum \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 6 \end{pmatrix}, \sum \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\sum \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \sum \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, \sum \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \sum \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\text{where } \sum \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 \\ y_3 & y_4 \end{pmatrix} \mid x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 = y_1y_2 + y_3y_4 \right\}$$

The isomorphism defined in proposition 4.3 is:  $f: I - \mathbb{Z}_4^*$

$$f \left( \sum \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 3, \quad f \left( \sum \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 4,$$

$$f \left( \sum \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 5, \quad f \left( \sum \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 6,$$

$$f \left( \sum \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 7, \quad f \left( \sum \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 7 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 0,$$

$$f \left( \sum \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 1, \quad f \left( \sum \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 2$$

(ii) Let  $R$  be the  $(3, 4)$  commutative ring defined in example 3.3. (ii).

The elements of the ring  $\langle R^*, +, \cdot \rangle$  will be  $\langle abb \rangle = \{(abb), (aaa)\},$

$$\langle aba \rangle = \{(aba), (bbb)\}.$$

$$\sum \begin{pmatrix} a & b & a \\ a & b & a \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b & a \\ a & b & a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b & b & b \\ b & b & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b & a \\ b & b & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & a & a \\ a & a & a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b & b \\ a & b & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & a & a \\ a & b & b \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\sum \begin{pmatrix} a & b & a \\ a & b & b \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b & a \\ a & b & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & a & a \\ a & a & a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b & b \\ b & b & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & a & a \\ b & b & b \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$I = \left\{ \sum \begin{pmatrix} a & b & a \\ a & b & a \end{pmatrix}, \sum \begin{pmatrix} a & b & a \\ a & b & b \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \quad \text{The isomorphism will be : } f: I \rightarrow R',$$

$$f \left( \sum \begin{pmatrix} a & b & a \\ a & b & a \end{pmatrix} \right) = b, \quad f \left( \sum \begin{pmatrix} a & b & a \\ a & b & b \end{pmatrix} \right) = a$$

(iii) Let  $R$  be the  $(3, 4)$  commutative ring given in example 3.3(iii). The elements of the ring  $(R^*, +, \cdot)$  will be:  $\langle abb \rangle = \{(abb), (aaa)\}$ ,

$$\langle aba \rangle = \{(aba), (bbb)\}$$

$$\sum \begin{pmatrix} abc \\ aba \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b & a \\ a & b & a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & a & a \\ a & a & a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b & b \\ a & b & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & a & a \\ a & b & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & a & b \\ b & b & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b & b & b \\ b & b & b \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\sum \begin{pmatrix} aba \\ abb \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b & a \\ a & b & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & a & a \\ a & b & a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & a & a \\ b & b & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b & b \\ b & b & b \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$I = \left\{ \sum \begin{pmatrix} a & b & a \\ a & b & a \end{pmatrix}, \sum \begin{pmatrix} a & b & a \\ a & b & b \end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad \text{and the isomorphism } f \text{ is } f: I \rightarrow R^*$$

$$f \left( \sum \begin{pmatrix} a & b & a \\ a & b & a \end{pmatrix} \right) = a, \quad f \left( \sum \begin{pmatrix} a & b & a \\ a & b & b \end{pmatrix} \right) = b$$

## REFERENCES

1. BOCCIONI, D., Caratterizzazione di una classe di anelli generalizzati, *Rend. Sem. Univ. Padova*, vol. 35 (1965); pp. 116-127
2. CROMBEZ, G., On  $(m, n)$ -rings, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, vol. 37, nr. 3-4 (1972), pp. 89-108
3. CROMBEZ, G., The Post coset theorem for  $(m, n)$ -rings, *Atti Istit. Veneto di Sci., Lettere ed Arti*, tom CXXXI (1972-1973), *Sci. mat. e nat.*, pp. 1-7
4. DÖRNTE, W., Untersuchungen über einen verallgemeinerten Gruppenbegriff, *Math. Zeitschrift*, vol. 29 (1929), pp. 1-19.
5. LEESON, I. I. and A. T. BUFTSON, On the general theory of  $(m, n)$ -rings, *Algebra Universalis*, 11 (1980), pp. 42 - 76
6. POP, M. S. and IANCU, L., On  $(m, n)$ -generalized rings, *Bul. Şt. Univ. Baia Mare, seria B, Matematică-Informatică*, vol. X (1994), pp. 1-14
7. POST, E. L., Polyadic groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 48, 1940, pp. 208-350
8. PURDEA, I., Les anneaux de type  $(m, n)$ , *Studia Univ. Babeş - Bolyai, Cluj*, 20, 1975, pp. 3-10

University of Baia Mare  
Victoriei 76, 4800 Baia Mare  
ROMANIA