

*Dedicated to the Centenary of "Gazeta Matematică"*

ON THE APPROXIMATION OF THREEVARIATE B-CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

Dan BĂRBOSU

**Abstract:** The goal of the paper is to extend the results of a paper by C. Badea, I. Badea and H.H. Gonska [1] to the approximation of the threevariate B-continuous functions in the sense of K. Bögel [5]. In section 1, the notions of B-continuous and uniformly B-continuous function from [5] are extended to the case of the threevariate B-continuous functions. Some relationship among these notions are established.

In section 2 we discuss a Korovkin type criterion for the approximation by means of linear positive operators of the B-continuous functions of three variables.

In section 3 we present some applications illustrated by the approximation of threevariate functions using the operators of Bernstein-Stancu, and Bleiman-Butzer-Hahn.

## 1. THREEVARIATE B-CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

Let us denote by  $\mathbb{R}^{I^k}$  the set of functions  $f: I^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  where  $I=[0,1]$  and  $k$  is a positive integer.

The notion of B-continuous function was defined by K. Bögel [5], using the operator  $\Delta_2: \mathbb{R}^{I^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{I^2}$  given by:

$$(1.1) \Delta_2[f; M, M'] = \Delta_{s,t}[f; x, y] = f(s, t) - f(x, t) - f(s, y) + f(x, y)$$

for any function  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{I^2}$  and any points  $M(x, y), M'(s, t) \in I^2$ .

Let us denote by  $\Delta: \mathbb{R}^I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^I$  the operator defined by:

$$(1.2) \Delta[f; M, M'] = \Delta_s[f; x] = f(s) - f(x)$$

for any functions  $f \in \mathbb{R}^I$  and any points  $M(x), M'(s) \in I$ .

If  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{I^2}$ , we denote by  ${}_x\Delta, {}_y\bar{\Delta}$  the parametrical excursions of operator  $\Delta$  defined at (1.2) and we observe that the equality:

$$(1.3) \Delta_{s,t}[f; x, y] = ({}_x\Delta \circ {}_y\bar{\Delta}) [f; x, y]$$

is true.

The above remark permits us to define the operator of threevariate difference by:

**Definition 1.1:** Let be  ${}_x\Delta, {}_y\bar{\Delta}, {}_z\bar{\bar{\Delta}}$

the parametrical extensions of the operator (1.2). The operator  $\Delta_3: \mathbb{R}^{I^3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{I^3}$  given by:

$$(1.4) \Delta_3[f; M, M'] = \Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z] = ({}_x\Delta \circ {}_y\bar{\Delta} \circ {}_z\bar{\bar{\Delta}}) [f; x, y, z]$$

is called operator of threevariate difference.

**Remark 1.1:** It is easy to see that the representation:

$$(1.5) \Delta_{s,t,u}[f; M, M'] - \Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z] =$$

$$= f(s, t, u) - f(s, y, z) - f(x, t, z) - f(x, y, u) +$$

$$+ f(s, t, z) + f(s, y, u) + f(x, t, u) - f(x, y, z)$$

is valid.

Definition 1.2: Let be  $M(x, y, z)$  a fixed point  $I^3$ . A function  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{I^3}$  is called B-continuous on  $M(x, y, z)$  if the equality:

$$(1.6) \lim_{(s,t,u) \rightarrow (x,y,z)} \Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z] = 0$$

holds. If  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{I^3}$  is B-continuous on every point of  $I^3$  we say that  $f$  is B-continuous on  $I^3$ . We denote by  $C_b(I^3)$  the set of

B-continuous functions on  $I^3$ .

The relationship between B-continuous functions and usual continuous functions is contained in:

Lemma 1.1: If  $f \in C_b(I^3)$ , the function  $g \in \mathbb{R}^{I^3}$ , defined by:

$$(1.7) g(s, t, u) = f(s, t, u) - f(s, y, z) - f(x, t, z) - f(x, y, u) +$$

$$+ f(s, t, z) + f(s, y, u) + f(x, t, u),$$

is continuous in  $I^3$ .

Proof: Let be  $(x, y, z) \in I^3$  a fixed point and  $(s, t, u) \in I^3$  a variable point. From (1.7) it follows the equality:

$$(1.8) g(x, y, z) = f(x, y, z)$$

On the other hand, using the operator (1.5) we obtain that the function given at (1.7) can be represented under the form:

$$(1.9) g(s, t, u) = \Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z] + f(x, y, z)$$

Taking into account that  $f \in C_b(I^3)$ , from (1.9) we deduce:

$$(1.10) \lim_{(s,t,u) \rightarrow (x,y,z)} g(s, t, u) = \lim_{(s,t,u) \rightarrow (x,y,z)} (\Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z] + f(x, y, z)) = g(x, y, z)$$

wich shows that  $g$  is B-continuous on  $(x, y, z)$ . Because  $(x, y, z)$  was arbitrary chosen in  $I^3$ , it results that  $g$  is continuous in  $I^3$ .

**Definition 1.2:**The function  $f \in \mathbb{R}^3$  is namely uniform B-continuous in  $I^3$  if for  $(\forall) \epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that for every points  $(s, t, u), (x, y, z) \in I^3$  for wich we have:

$$(1.11) \quad |s-x| < \delta, |y-t| < \delta, |z-u| < \delta$$

the inequality:

$$(1.12) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z]| < \epsilon$$

holds.

The relationship between B - cotinuous functions and uniform B-continuous functions is given in:

**Lemma 1.2:**If  $f \in C_b(I^3)$ , then  $f$  is uniform B-continuous on  $I^3$ .

*Proof:* If  $g$  is the function given at (1.7), by (1.8) and (1.9) we obtain:

$$(1.13) \quad \Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z] = g(s, t, u) - g(x, y, z)$$

From the lemma 1.1, there follows that  $g$  is continuous in  $I^3$ .

Because  $I^3$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , it results that  $g$  is uniform continuous on  $I^3$ . It follows that  $(\forall) \epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that for every  $(x, y, z), (s, t, u) \in I^3$ , satisfying (1.11), we have:

$$(1.14) \quad |g(s, t, u) - g(x, y, z)| < \epsilon$$

From (1.13) and (1.14) it results that  $f$  satisfies (1.12), i.e.  $f$  is uniform B- continuous on  $I^3$ .

**Definition 1.3:**The function  $f \in \mathbb{R}^3$  is called B-bounded on  $I^3$  if there exists a positive number  $K$  such that:

$$(1.5) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f;x,y,z]| \leq K$$

for every two points  $(s,t,u), (x,y,z)$  of  $I^3$

**Lemma 1.3:** If  $f \in C_b(I^3)$ , then  $f$  is  $B$ -bounded on  $I^3$

**Proof:** The function  $g$  given at (1.7) is continuous on  $I^3$ . Because  $I^3$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , it results that  $f$  is bounded in the usual sense on  $I^3$ . Let us introduce the notation

$M = \max_{(s,t,u) \in I^3} g(s,t,u)$ ; from (1.8) and (1.9) it results that the inequality:

$$(1.16) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f;x,y,z]| = |g(s,t,u) - g(x,y,z)| \leq 2|M|$$

is true for every points  $(s,t,u), (x,y,z) \in I^3$ . The inequality (1.15) is also valid with  $K=2|M|$ .

## 2.A KOROVKIN TYPE THEOREM FOR APPROXIMATION IN $B(I^3)$ .

In this section we shall prove an analogue of the Korovkin type theorem given in [1] for the approximation in  $B(I^3)$ .

First, we establish an auxiliary result, corresponding to a result from [1], given by:

**Lemma 2.1:** Let be  $f \in C_b(I^3)$  arbitrarily chosen. For every positive number  $\epsilon$  there are three positive numbers  $A(\epsilon) = A(\epsilon, f)$ ,

$B(\epsilon) = B(\epsilon, f)$ ,  $C(\epsilon) = C(\epsilon, f)$  such that for every  $(x,y,z), (s,t,u) \in I^3$  we have:

$$(2.1) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f;x,y,z]| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} + A(\epsilon)(x-s)^2 + B(\epsilon)(y-t)^2 + C(\epsilon)(z-u)^2$$

**Proof:** Because  $f$  is from  $C_b(I^3)$ , the lemma 1.2 permits to deduce that  $f$  is also uniform  $B$ -continuous on  $I^3$ , that is for each

$(x, y, z), (s, t, u) \in I^3$  with  $|x-s| < \delta(\epsilon), |y-t| < \delta(\epsilon), |z-u| < \delta(\epsilon)$  we have:

$$(2.2) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z]| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$$

Let be  $\epsilon$  a given positive number and  $(x, y, z), (s, t, u) \in I^3$ . We shall investigate the following eight situations:

$$(i) \quad |x-s| < \delta(\epsilon), |y-t| < \delta(\epsilon), |z-u| < \delta(\epsilon)$$

$$(ii) \quad |x-s| < \delta(\epsilon), |y-t| < \delta(\epsilon), |z-u| \geq \delta(\epsilon)$$

$$(iii) \quad |x-s| < \delta(\epsilon), |y-t| \geq \delta(\epsilon), |z-u| < \delta(\epsilon)$$

$$(iv) \quad |x-s| \geq \delta(\epsilon), |y-t| < \delta(\epsilon), |z-u| < \delta(\epsilon)$$

$$(v) \quad |x-s| < \delta(\epsilon), |y-t| \geq \delta(\epsilon), |z-u| \geq \delta(\epsilon)$$

$$(vi) \quad |x-s| \geq \delta(\epsilon), |y-t| < \delta(\epsilon), |z-u| \geq \delta(\epsilon)$$

$$(vii) \quad |x-s| \geq \delta(\epsilon), |y-t| \geq \delta(\epsilon), |z-u| < \delta(\epsilon)$$

$$(viii) \quad |x-s| \geq \delta(\epsilon), |y-t| \geq \delta(\epsilon), |z-u| \geq \delta(\epsilon)$$

In the case (i), by (2.2) we can conclude that we have:

$$(2.3) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z]| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$$

Now let us consider the case (ii). Because  $f$  is  $B$ -continuous on  $I^3$ , there is (see the lemma 1.3) a positive number  $M$  such that we have:

$$(2.4) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z]| \leq M$$

From (2.4) and the third inequality of (ii) we obtain that:

$$(2.5) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z]| \leq M \cdot (\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} (z-u)^2$$

In case (iii) and in case (iv) we obtain in similar manner:

$$(2.6) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z]| \leq M (\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} (y-t)^2$$

$$(2.7) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z]| \leq M (\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} (x-s)^2$$

Using (2.4), the second and the third inequality of (v), we find that:

$$(2.8) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f;x,y,z]| \leq M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-4} (y-t)^2 (z-u)^2$$

Similary, in the situations (vi) and (vii) we obtain:

$$(2.9) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f;x,y,z]| \leq M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-4} (x-s)^2 (z-u)^2$$

$$(2.10) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f;x,y,z]| \leq M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-4} (x-s)^2 (y-t)^2$$

From the three inequalities of (viii) we find:

$$(2.11) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f;x,y,u]| \leq M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-4} (x-s)^2 (y-t)^2 (z-u)^2$$

Employing (2.3), (2.5)-(2.11) we deduce the following inequality:

(2.12)

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f;x,y,z]| &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} + M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} (x-s)^2 + M(\delta(\epsilon))^2 (y-t)^2 + M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} (z-u)^2 + \\ &+ M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-4} (x-s)^2 (y-t)^2 + M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-4} (x-s)^2 + M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-4} (y-t)^2 (z-u)^2 + \\ &+ M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-4} (x-s)^2 (y-t)^2 (z-u)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Because  $y, t \in [0, 1]$ , it follows that  $(y-t)^2 \leq 1$ . Similary we can write  $(x-s)^2 \leq 1$ ,  $(z-u)^2 \leq 1$ . From (2.12) we conclude that the following inequality:

$$(2.13) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f;x,y,z]| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} +$$

$$+ M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} \{1 + (\delta(\epsilon))^2 + (\delta(\epsilon))^{-4}\} (x-s)^2 +$$

$$+ M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} \{1 + (\delta(\epsilon))^{-2}\} (y-t)^2 +$$

$$+ M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} (z-u)^2$$

holds and the lemma is proved with:

$$A(\epsilon) = M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} \{1 + 2(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} + (\delta(\epsilon))^{-4}\}$$

$$B(\epsilon) = M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} \{1 + (\delta(\epsilon))^{-2}\}$$

$$C(\epsilon) = M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2}$$

Now we shall prove the main result of this paper. Let us consider the following real - valued functions on  $I^3$ :

$$e_0(s, t, u) = 1, \quad e_1(s, t, u) = s, \quad e_2(s, t, u) = t, \quad e_3(s, t, u) = u$$

**Theorem 2.1:** Let  $\{L_{n,n,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of positive linear operators mapping the functions of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  into the functions of  $\mathbb{R}^3$

such that all  $(x, y, z) \in I^3$  we have:

$$(i) \quad L_{m,n,p}(e_0; x, y, z) = 1$$

$$(ii) \quad L_{m,n,p}(e_1; x, y, z) = x + \alpha_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)$$

$$(iii) \quad L_{m,n,p}(e_2; x, y, z) = y + \beta_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)$$

$$(iv) \quad L_{m,n,p}(e_3; x, y, z) = z + \gamma_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)$$

$$(v) \quad L_{m,n,p}(e_0^2 + e_1^2 + e_2^2; x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + \delta_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)$$

where  $\{\alpha_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)\}, \{\beta_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)\}, \{\gamma_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)\}, \{\delta_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)\}$  converge to zero uniformly on  $I^3$  as  $m, n, p$  tend towards to infinity.

If  $f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) \in B(I^3)$  and  $(x, y, z) \in I^3$ , we introduce the notation:

$$(*) \quad \bar{U}_{m,n,p}(f; x, y, z) = L(f(\cdot, y, z) + f(x, \cdot, z) + f(x, y, \cdot) - f(\cdot, \cdot, z) - f(\cdot, y, \cdot) - f(x, \cdot, \cdot) + f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot))$$

In these conditions, for every  $f \in C_b(I^3)$ , the sequence  $\{\bar{U}_{m,n,p}(f)\}$  converges uniformly to  $f$  on  $I^3$ .

Proof: Let be  $(x, y, z) \in I^3$  a fixed point. We define the function

$P: I^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by the equality:

$$P(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) = f(\cdot, y, z) + f(x, \cdot, z) + f(x, y, \cdot) - f(\cdot, \cdot, z) - f(x, \cdot, \cdot) - f(\cdot, \cdot, z) + f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$$

It is easy to see that:

$$\Delta_{x,t,v}[P; a, b, c] = -\Delta_{x,t,v}[f; a, b, c]$$

From this fact, the B-continuity of  $f$  implies that the function  $P$  is also B-continuous.

Hence  $\bar{U}_{m,n,p}$  is a well-defined linear operator on  $C_b(I^3)$ .

Let be  $f \in C_b(I^3)$  arbitrarily chosen and let be  $(x, y, z) \in I^3$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  given.

Because  $L_{m,n,p}$  is a linear operator reproducing the constant functions (see the condition (i)), we have:



$$(2.8) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z]| \leq M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-4} (y-t)^2 (z-u)^2$$

Similarly, in the situations (vi) and (vii) we obtain:

$$(2.9) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z]| \leq M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-4} (x-s)^2 (z-u)^2$$

$$(2.10) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z]| \leq M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-4} (x-s)^2 (y-t)^2$$

From the three inequalities of (viii) we find:

$$(2.11) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, u]| \leq M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-4} (x-s)^2 (y-t)^2 (z-u)^2$$

Employing (2.3), (2.5)-(2.11) we deduce the following inequality:  
(2.12)

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z]| &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} + M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} (x-s)^2 + M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} (y-t)^2 + M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} (z-u)^2 + \\ &+ M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-4} (x-s)^2 (y-t)^2 + M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-4} (x-s)^2 + M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-4} (y-t)^2 (z-u)^2 + \\ &+ M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-4} (x-s)^2 (y-t)^2 (z-u)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Because  $y, t \in [0, 1]$ , it follows that  $(y-t)^2 \leq 1$ . Similarly we can write  $(x-s)^2 \leq 1$ ,  $(z-u)^2 \leq 1$ . From (2.12) we conclude that the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} (2.13) \quad |\Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z]| &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \\ &+ M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} \{1 + (\delta(\epsilon))^2 + (\delta(\epsilon))^{-4}\} (x-s)^2 + \\ &+ M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} \{1 + (\delta(\epsilon))^{-2}\} (y-t)^2 + \\ &+ M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} (z-u)^2 \end{aligned}$$

holds and the lemma is proved with:

$$A(\epsilon) = M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} \{1 + 2(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} + (\delta(\epsilon))^{-4}\}$$

$$B(\epsilon) = M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2} \{1 + (\delta(\epsilon))^{-2}\}$$

$$C(\epsilon) = M(\delta(\epsilon))^{-2}$$

Now we shall prove the main result of this paper. Let us consider the following real-valued functions on  $I^3$ :

$$e_0(s, t, u) = 1, \quad e_1(s, t, u) = s, \quad e_2(s, t, u) = t, \quad e_3(s, t, u) = u$$

**Theorem 2.1:** Let  $(L_{n,s,t,u})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of positive linear operators mapping the functions of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  into the functions of  $\mathbb{R}^3$

such that all  $(x, y, z) \in I^3$  we have:

$$(i) \quad L_{m,n,p}(e_0; x, y, z) = 1$$

$$(ii) \quad L_{m,n,p}(e_1; x, y, z) = x + \alpha_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)$$

$$(iii) \quad L_{m,n,p}(e_2; x, y, z) = y + \beta_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)$$

$$(iv) \quad L_{m,n,p}(e_3; x, y, z) = z + \gamma_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)$$

$$(v) \quad L_{m,n,p}(e_1^3 + e_2^3 + e_3^3; x, y, z) = x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + \delta_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)$$

where  $\{\alpha_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)\}, \{\beta_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)\}, \{\gamma_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)\}, \{\delta_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)\}$  converge to zero uniformly on  $I^3$  as  $m, n, p$  tend towards to infinity.

If  $f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) \in B(I^3)$  and  $(x, y, z) \in I^3$ , we introduce the notation:

$$(*) \quad \bar{U}_{m,n,p}(f; x, y, z) = L(f(\cdot, y, z) + f(x, \cdot, z) + f(x, y, \cdot) - f(\cdot, \cdot, z) - f(\cdot, y, \cdot) - f(x, \cdot, \cdot) + f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot))$$

In these conditions, for every  $f \in C_b(I^3)$ , the sequence  $\{\bar{U}_{m,n,p}(f)\}$  converges uniformly to  $f$  on  $I^3$ .

Proof: Let be  $(x, y, z) \in I^3$  a fixed point. We define the function

$F: I^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by the equality:

$$F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) = f(\cdot, y, z) + f(x, \cdot, z) + f(x, y, \cdot) - f(\cdot, \cdot, z) - f(\cdot, y, \cdot) - f(x, \cdot, \cdot) + f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$$

It is easy to see that:

$$\Delta_{s,t,u}[F; a, b, c] = -\Delta_{s,t,u}[f; a, b, c]$$

From this fact, the B-continuity of  $f$  implies that the function  $F$  is also B-continuous.

Hence  $\bar{U}_{m,n,p}$  is a well - defined linear operator on  $C_b(I^3)$ .

Let be  $f \in C_b(I^3)$  arbitrarily chosen and let be  $(x, y, z) \in I^3$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  given.

Because  $L_{m,n,p}$  is a linear operator reproducing the constant functions (see the condition(i)), we have:

$$(2.14) \quad f(x, y, z) - \bar{U}_{m,n,p}(f; x, y, z) = L_{m,n,p}(\Delta_{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot}[f; x, y, z])$$

Because  $L_{m,n,p}$  is a positive operator, we have:

$$(2.15) \quad |L_{m,n,p}(g; x, y, z)| = \max\{L_{m,n,p}(g; x, y, z), L_{m,n,p}(-g; x, y, z)\}$$

for every function  $g \in C_p(I^3)$

Applying this equality to  $g(s, t, u) = \Delta_{s,t,u}[f; x, y, z]$

and, further, using the monotonicity of  $L_{m,n,p}$  and the lemma 2.1, we find (with  $D(\epsilon) = \max\{A(\epsilon), B(\epsilon), C(\epsilon)\}$ ) the inequality:

$$(2.16) \quad |f(x, y, z) - \bar{U}_{m,n,p}(f; x, y, z)| \leq \\ \leq L_{m,n,p} \left[ \frac{\epsilon}{4} + D(\epsilon)((x-\cdot)^2 + (y-\cdot)^2 + (z-\cdot)^2; x, y, z) \right]$$

After some transformations of (2.16) we arrive to the inequality:

$$(2.17) \quad |f(x, y, z) - \bar{U}_{m,n,p}(f; x, y, z)| \leq \\ \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} + D(\epsilon) \cdot L_{m,n,p}(e_1^2 + e_2^2 + e_3^2; x, y, z) - \\ - 2D(\epsilon)(xL_{m,n,p}(e_1; x, y, z) + yL_{m,n,p}(e_2; x, y, z) + zL_{m,n,p}(e_3; x, y, z)) + \\ + D(\epsilon) \cdot (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)L_{m,n,p}(e; x, y, z)$$

Using now the hypotheses (i) through (iv), we can write:

$$(2.18) \quad |f(x, y, z) - \bar{U}_{m,n,p}(f; x, y, z)| \leq \\ \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} + D(\epsilon)(\beta_{m,n,p}(x, y, z) - 2x\alpha_{m,n,p}(x, y, z) - 2y\beta_{m,n,p}(x, y, z) - 2z\gamma_{m,n,p}(x, y, z))$$

Letting  $m, n, p$  to tend to infinity we arrive at the desired result.

**Remark 2.1.** If the hypothesis (i) of the theorem does not hold, then the equality (2.14) is not true. If one replaces (i) by:

$$(i') \quad L_{m,n,p}(f; x, y, z) = 1 + V_{m,n,p}(x, y, z),$$

then it is easy to see that the following inequality holds:

$$(2.19) \quad |f(x, y, z) - \bar{U}_{m,n,p}(f; x, y, z)| \leq$$

$$\leq |f(x, y, z)| \cdot |V_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)| + \frac{\epsilon}{4} (1 + V_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)) + \\ + D(\epsilon) (\delta_{m,n,p}(x, y, z) - 2x\alpha_{m,n,p}(x, y, z) - 2y\beta_{m,n,p}(x, y, z) - 2z\gamma_{m,n,p}(x, y, z))$$

For  $V_{m,n,p}(x, y, z) = 0$ , it reduces to the inequality (2.18).

The inequality (2.19) allows us to see that we have only pointwise convergence to  $f(x, y, z)$ , for all  $(x, y, z) \in I^3$ , if  $\{V_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)\}$  converges uniformly to zero as  $m, n, p$  tend to infinity.

At the end of this section, we mention that the results of lemma 2.1, theorem 2.1 and remark 2.1 represent the analogues in  $C_b(I^3)$  of the results given in [1].

### 3. APPLICATIONS

We consider the case in which the operator  $L_{m,n,p}$  from theorem 2.1 is the product of the parametrical extensions of the three positive linear operators  $L_m, \overline{L}_n, \overline{L}_p: \mathbb{R}^I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^I$ , given by:

$$L_m(f; x) = \sum_{i=0}^m f(x_i) p_{m,i}(x), \quad x_i \in I, \quad p_{m,i}(x) \geq 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq m \text{ and all } x \in I$$

$$\overline{L}_n(g; y) = \sum_{j=0}^n g(y_j) q_{n,j}(y), \quad y_j \in I, \quad q_{n,j}(y) \geq 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq n \text{ and all } y \in I$$

$$\overline{L}_p(h; z) = \sum_{k=0}^p h(z_k) l_{p,k}(z), \quad z_k \in I, \quad l_{p,k}(z) \geq 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq p \text{ and all } z \in I$$

We assume that:

$$\sum_{i=0}^m p_{m,i}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n q_{n,j}(y) = \sum_{k=0}^p l_{p,k}(z) = 1, \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in I$$

Let us denote by  ${}_x L_m, {}_y \overline{L}_n, {}_z \overline{L}_p$  the parametrical extensions of

$L_m, \overline{L}_n, \overline{L}_p$ . One observes that:

$$L_{m,n,p}(f; x, y, z) = ({}_x L_m \circ {}_y \overline{L}_n \circ {}_z \overline{L}_p)(f; x, y, z) =$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^p f(x_i, y_j, z_k) P_{m,i}(x) Q_{n,j}(y) L_{p,k}(z)$$

is a linear positive operator defined for any  $f \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

Let us assume that the positive linear operator  $L_m$  satisfies the conditions:

$$L_m(e_1; x) = x + u_m(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in I$$

$$L_m(e_2; x) = x^2 + w_m(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in I$$

where  $e_i(x) = x^i$  ( $i=1,2$ ). Similarly, we assume that  $\overline{L}_n, \overline{L}_p$  satisfy

similar conditions, with  $u_m(x), w_m(x)$  replaced by  $u'_n(y), w'_n(y)$ ,

respectively by  $u''_p(z), w''_p(z)$ . It follows that we can write:

$$L_{m,n,p}(e; x, y, z) = 1 \quad (I(s, t, u) = 1)$$

$$L_{m,n,p}(\varphi; x, y, z) = x + u_m(x) = x + \alpha_{m,n,p}(x, y, z) \quad (\varphi(s, t, u) = s)$$

$$L_{m,n,p}(\psi; x, y, z) = y + u'_n(y) = y + \beta_{m,n,p}(x, y, z) \quad (\psi(s, t, u) = t)$$

$$L_{m,n,p}(\theta; x, y, z) = z + u''_p(z) = z + \gamma_{m,n,p}(x, y, z) \quad (\theta(s, t, u) = u)$$

$$L_{m,n,p}(\varphi^2 + \psi^2 + \theta^2; x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w_m(x) + w'_n(y) + w''_p(z) = \\ = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + \delta_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)$$

If  $\{u_m(x)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}, \{u'_n(y)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{u''_p(z)\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}, \{w_m(x)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}, \{w'_n(y)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{w''_p(z)\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  converge

uniformly to zero as  $m, n, p$  tend to infinity, then  $\{\alpha_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)\}$

$\{\beta_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)\}, \{\gamma_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)\}, \{\delta_{m,n,p}(x, y, z)\}$  converges to zero uniformly on  $I^3$ , as  $m, n, p$  tend to infinity.

Applying the theorem 2.1 and the observations from above, we can state:

**Theorem 3.1:** If the sequence of linear and positive operators

$$\{L_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\overline{L}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\overline{L}_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$$

are given as above and if

$L_n s_i \rightarrow s_i, \bar{L}_n s'_i \rightarrow s'_i, \bar{L}_p s''_i \rightarrow s''_i$ , uniformly on  $I$ , for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , then the operators  $\bar{U}_{n,n,p}$  defined by (\*), have the property that

$\{\bar{U}_{n,n,p}(f)\}_{(n,n,p) \in \mathbb{N}^3}$  converges uniformly to  $f$  for each  $f \in C_b(I^3)$  as  $n, n, p$  tend to infinity.

We shall present some applications of theorem 3.1.

**Example 3.1: Bernstein - Stancu type operators.**

We consider the case of Bernstein - Stancu type operators

$B_n^{[\alpha]}, \bar{B}_n^{[\beta]}, \bar{B}_p^{[\gamma]}$ ;  $\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  given by:

$$B_n^{[\alpha]}(f; x) = \sum_{i=0}^n f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) w_{n,i}(x, \alpha) \quad \text{for all } x \in I$$

$$\bar{B}_n^{[\beta]}(g; y) = \sum_{j=0}^n g\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \bar{w}_{n,j}(y, \beta) \quad \text{for all } y \in I$$

$$\bar{B}_p^{[\gamma]}(h; z) = \sum_{k=0}^p h\left(\frac{k}{p}\right) \bar{w}_{p,k}(z, \gamma) \quad \text{for all } z \in I$$

where:

$$w_{n,i}(x, \alpha) = \binom{n}{i} \frac{x^{[i, -\alpha]} (1-x)^{[n-i, -\alpha]}}{1^{[n, -\alpha]}}$$

$$\bar{w}_{n,j}(y, \beta) = \binom{n}{j} \frac{y^{[j, -\beta]} (1-y)^{[n-j, -\beta]}}{1^{[n, -\beta]}}$$

$$\bar{w}_{p,k}(z, \gamma) = \binom{p}{k} \frac{z^{[k, -\gamma]} (1-z)^{[p-k, -\gamma]}}{1^{[p, -\gamma]}}$$

while  $\alpha = \alpha(n) \geq 0, \beta = \beta(n) \geq 0, \gamma = \gamma(n) \geq 0$  and  $u^{[a, h]}$  is the factorial power of  $u$ , with the increment  $h$ .

The functions  $w_{n,i}(x, \alpha), \bar{w}_{n,j}(y, \beta), \bar{w}_{p,k}(z, \gamma)$  satisfy the following equalities (see [11], [12]):

$$\sum_{i=0}^n w_{n,i}(x, \alpha) = \sum_{j=0}^n \bar{w}_{n,j}(y, \beta) = \sum_{k=0}^p \bar{w}_{p,k}(z, \gamma) = 1 \quad \text{for each } x, y, z \in I$$

If  ${}_x B_n^{[\alpha]}, {}_y B_n^{[\beta]}, {}_z B_p^{[\gamma]}$  are the parametrical extensions of

$B_n^{[\alpha]}, B_n^{[\beta]}, B_p^{[\gamma]}$ , we find that:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n,n,p}^{[\alpha,\beta,\gamma]}(f; x, y, z) &= ({}_x B_n^{[\alpha]} \circ {}_y B_n^{[\beta]} \circ {}_z B_p^{[\gamma]})(f; x, y, z) = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^p f\left(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{n}, \frac{k}{p}\right) w_{n,i}(x, \alpha) \bar{w}_{n,j}(y, \beta) \bar{w}_{p,k}(z, \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

is a positive linear operator, defined for any function  $f \in \mathbb{R}^T$ .

Denoting by  $P_{n,n,p}^{[\alpha,\beta,\gamma]}$  the operator given in [3], we have:

$$P_{n,n,p}^{[\alpha,\beta,\gamma]} = {}_x B_n^{[\alpha]} \oplus {}_y B_n^{[\beta]} \oplus {}_z B_p^{[\gamma]}$$

The operators  $B_n^{[\alpha]}, B_n^{[\beta]}, B_p^{[\gamma]}$  have the following properties (see [11], [12]):

$$B_n^{[\alpha]}(e_1; x) = x, B_n^{[\beta]}(e_1'; y) = y, B_p^{[\gamma]}(e_1''; z) = z$$

$$B_n^{[\alpha]}(e_2; x) = \frac{1}{1+\alpha} \left[ \frac{x(1-x)}{m} + x(x+\alpha) \right]$$

$$B_n^{[\beta]}(e_2'; y) = \frac{1}{1+\beta} \left[ \frac{y(1-y)}{n} + y(y+\beta) \right]$$

$$B_p^{[\gamma]}(e_2''; z) = \frac{1}{1+\gamma} \left[ \frac{z(1-z)}{p} + z(z+\gamma) \right]$$

It is easy to see that if  $\alpha = \alpha(m), \beta = \beta(n), \gamma = \gamma(p)$  tend to zero as  $m, n, p$  tend to infinity then

$$B_n^{[\alpha]}(e_1) \rightarrow e_1, B_n^{[\beta]}(e_1') \rightarrow e_1', B_p^{[\gamma]}(e_1'') \rightarrow e_1'', \text{ uniformly on } I, \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

We can formulate the result given in [3] as a consequence of theorem 3.1, by:

**Corollary 3.1:** If  $\alpha = \alpha(m), \beta = \beta(n), \gamma = \gamma(p)$  tend to zero as  $m, n, p$  tend to infinity, then the sequence  $\{P_{n,n,p}^{[\alpha,\beta,\gamma]}(m, n, p) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ , defined by:

$$E_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{[k, \beta, \gamma]}(f; x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^p w_{\alpha, i}(x, \alpha) \bar{w}_{\beta, j}(y, \beta) \bar{w}_{\gamma, k}(z, \gamma) \cdot \\ \cdot [f(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{n}, z) + f(x, \frac{j}{n}, z) + f(x, y, \frac{k}{p}) - f(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{n}, z) - f(\frac{i}{m}, y, \frac{k}{p}) \cdot \\ - f(x, \frac{j}{n}, \frac{k}{p}) + f(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{n}, \frac{k}{p})],$$

converges uniformly to  $f$ , for any  $f \in C_b(I^3)$ .

**Example 3.2:** A Bleiman - Butzer - Hahn type operator.

In [4], B. Bleiman, P.L. Butzer and L. Hahn have considered the positive linear operator  $L_m$ , defined by:

$$L_m(f; x) = \frac{1}{(1+x)^m} \sum_{i=0}^m f\left(\frac{i}{m-i+1}\right) \binom{m}{i} x^i, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}$$

for any continuous function  $f: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

In [2], by using the operator  $L_m$ , we have constructed a linear operator  $L_{m, n}$ , defined for any function  $f \in C_b(I^2)$ , and we have proved

that the sequence  $(L_{m, n} f)_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}}$ , converges uniformly to  $f$ , for any

function  $f \in C_b(I^2)$

Now we consider the operator  $L_m, \bar{L}_n, \bar{L}_p: \mathbb{R}^I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^I$ , defined by:

$$L_m(f; x) = \sum_{i=0}^m f\left(\frac{i}{m-i+1}\right) p_{m, i}(x), \quad p_{m, i}(x) = \frac{x^i}{(1+x)^m} \binom{m}{i}$$

$$\bar{L}_n(g; y) = \sum_{j=0}^n g\left(\frac{j}{n-j+1}\right) \bar{q}_{n, j}(y), \quad \bar{q}_{n, j}(y) = \frac{y^j}{(1+y)^n} \binom{n}{j}$$

$$\bar{L}_p(h; z) = \sum_{k=0}^p h\left(\frac{k}{p-k+1}\right) \bar{r}_{p, k}(z), \quad \bar{r}_{p, k}(z) = \frac{z^k}{(1+z)^p} \binom{p}{k}$$

It is easy to see that:

$$\sum_{i=0}^m p_{m, i}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \bar{q}_{n, j}(y) = \sum_{k=0}^p \bar{r}_{p, k}(z) = 1.$$



for all  $x, y, z \in I = [0, 1]$ .  $x, y, z \in I = [0, 1]$

From [4], we know that  $L_n(e_i) = e_i$ ,  $\bar{L}_n(e_i') = e_i'$ ,  $\bar{L}_p(e_i'') = e_i''$  ( $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ), uniformly on  $I$  as  $m, n, p$  tend to infinity.

Denoting by  ${}_x L_m, {}_y \bar{L}_n, {}_z \bar{L}_p$  the parametrical extensions of  $L_m, \bar{L}_n, \bar{L}_p$ , we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{U}_{m,n,p}(f; x, y, z) &= ({}_x L_m \circ {}_y \bar{L}_n \circ {}_z \bar{L}_p)(f; x, y, z) = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^p P_{m,i}(x) \bar{Q}_{n,j}(y) \bar{r}_{p,k}(z) f\left(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{n}, \frac{k}{p}\right), \end{aligned}$$

is a linear positive operator, defined for any  $f \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

We consider now the operator  $L_{m,n,p} = {}_x L_m \oplus {}_y \bar{L}_n \oplus {}_z \bar{L}_p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{m,n,p}(f; x, y, z) &= \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^p P_{m,i}(x) \bar{Q}_{n,j}(y) \bar{r}_{p,k}(z) \cdot \\ &\cdot [f\left(\frac{i}{m}, y, z\right) + f\left(x, \frac{j}{n}, z\right) + f\left(x, y, \frac{k}{p}\right) - f\left(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{n}, z\right) - f\left(\frac{i}{m}, y, \frac{k}{p}\right) - \\ &- f\left(x, \frac{j}{n}, \frac{k}{p}\right) + f\left(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{n}, \frac{k}{p}\right)] \end{aligned}$$

for any function  $f \in C_b(I^3)$ .

As a consequence of the theorem 3.1, we have the following:

Corollary 3.2: The sequence  $\{L_{m,n,p}(f; x, y, z)\}_{(m,n,p) \in \mathbb{N}^3}$  converges uniformly to  $f$  as  $m, n, p$  tend to infinity, for any function  $f \in C_b(I^3)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. BADEA C., BADEA, I., GONSKA, H.H., A test function theorem and approximation by pseudopolynomials, *Bull. Austr. Math. Soc.* 34(1986), pag. 53-64

2. BALOG, L., BĂRBOSU, D., POP N., On a Bernstein type operator, *Bul. Șt. Univ. Baia Mare, seria B, Mat.-Inform.*, vol. X (1994), pag. 23-29.
3. BĂRBOSU, D., On the approximation of three variate B-continuous functions using Bernstein-Stancu type operators, *Bul. Șt. Univ. din Baia Mare, seria B, Mat.-Inform.*, vol. IX (1993), pag. 9-18.
4. BLEIMAN, B., BUTZER, P. L., HAHN, L., A Bernstein type operator approximating continuous functions on the semi-axis, *Indagationes Mathematicae*, 42 (1980), pag. 255-262.
5. BÖGEL, K., Mehrdimensionale Differentiation von Funktionen mehrerer Veränderlichen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 170 (1934), pag. 197-217
6. CIUPA, A., Aproximarea funcțiilor de două variabile cu ajutorul unui operator de tip Bernstein, *Lucrările seminarului "Theodor Angheluşă", Cluj - Napoca*, 1983, pag. 39-44.
7. MUREȘAN, T., Polinoame de tip Bernstein pentru funcții tridimensionale continue, *Lucrările seminarului "Theodor Angheluşă" Cluj-Napoca*, 1983 pag. 247 -251.
8. MUREȘAN, T., Contribuții în analiza globală (rezumatul tezei de doctorat) Cluj - Napoca 1984.
9. NICOLESCU, M., *Analiză matematică*, Editura tehnică 1958, pag. 425 - 436.
10. POPOVICIU, T., Sur quelques propriétés des fonctions d'une et de deux variables réelles, *Mathematica* (1934), pag. 1-85
11. STANCU, D. D., Aproximarea funcțiilor de două și mai multe variabile prin operator de tip Bernstein, *Stud. Cerc. Mat* (2), 22 (1970), pag. 335-345.
12. STANCU, D. D., Approximation of bivariate functions by means of some Bernstein - type operators, in: "Multivariate Approximation" (Proc. Sympos. Durham 1977 ed by D. C. Handscomb ), New-York - San Francisco - London, Acad. Press (1978), pag. 189 - 208.