

Dedicated to the 35th anniversary of the University of Baia Mare

ON A CLASS OF INTEGRAL FUNCTIONS IN VALUED FIELDS

Ghiocel GROZA

Abstract. Let $P(X)$ be a polynomial with coefficients in \mathbf{Z} . We suppose there exists an integral number N_1 such that $P(n) > 0, \forall n \geq N_1$. We consider the set $D = \{1/P(n)\}, n \geq N_1$. This paper is devoted to find all the integral functions $f(X)$ with coefficients in \mathbf{Q} such that $f(D) \subset D$.

Let K be a field admitting a rank 1 nontrivial valuation $||$ (see [1] or [2]). For $x, y \in K$, define $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ and thus (K, d) is a metric space. A formal power series

$$(1) \quad f(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k X^k \in K[[X]]$$

is called an integral function over K if for every $x \in K$ the sequence

$$(2) \quad S_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$$

is a Cauchy sequence. We denote by $IK[[X]]$ the commutative algebra of integral functions over K . If $f(X) \in IK[[X]]$ and $f(K) \subset K$, then

$$(3) \quad \text{Inv}_x f = \{D \subset K; f(D) \subset D\}$$

defines a topology on K such that K is a locally quasi-compact and locally connected topological space (see [4]).

Suppose $K = \mathbf{Q}$ and $||$ is the usual absolute value function. Let

$$(4) \quad P(X) = b_s X^s + b_{s-1} X^{s-1} + \dots + b_1 X + b_0 \in \mathbf{Z}[X], b_s > 0, s > 0,$$

and we take $N_1 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $P(n) > 0, \forall n \geq N_1$. We consider

$$(5) \quad D = \{\gamma_n = \frac{1}{P(n)}\}, n \geq N_1$$

and we want to find all the integral functions $f(X) \in IQ[[X]]$ such that $f(D) \subset D$.

Theorem 1. Let $K=Q$, $||$ the usual absolute function and D the set defined by (5). If $f(X) \in IQ[[X]]$ such that $f(D) \subset D$, then $f(X) \in Q[X]$.

Proof. Let $f(X) \in IQ[[X]]$ defined by (1) and $a_i = \alpha_i / \beta_i$, $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in Z$, $\beta_i \neq 0, \forall i \in N$. We suppose $f(D) \subset D$ and $f(X) \notin Q$. Then for every $n \geq N_1$ there exists $k_n \geq N_1, k_n \in N$ such that

$$(6) \quad f(\gamma_n) = \gamma_{k_n} = \frac{1}{P(k_n)}, \forall n \geq N_1.$$

Since the zeros of an integral function are isolated points (see [6], p.88), for every $\gamma \in D$ there exist only a finite number of elements $x \in D$ such that $f(x) = \gamma$. Now, because $f(X)$ is a continuous functions, it follows that

$$(7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{k_n} = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\gamma_n) = f(0) = a_0.$$

Let $x \in [0, c]$, where $c > 0$. Since $f(X)$ is an integral function we have

$$(8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n^{1/n}| = 0.$$

Hence

$$(9) \quad |a_n| = \varepsilon_n^n, \text{ where } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0.$$

We choose $c' > \max\{c+1, 1\}$ and then by (9) there exists $M_1 > 0$ such that

$$\varepsilon_n < \frac{1}{c'}, \forall n \geq M_1.$$

Now for every $x \in [0, c]$ and $m \geq M_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(x) - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j x^j \right| &\leq |a_{m+1}| |x|^{m+1} + |a_{m+2}| |x|^{m+2} + \dots \leq \left(\frac{|x|}{c'}\right)^{m+1} + \left(\frac{|x|}{c'}\right)^{m+2} + \dots = \frac{\left(\frac{|x|}{c'}\right)^{m+1}}{1 - \frac{|x|}{c'}} \\ &= \frac{|x|^{m+1}}{c'^m (c' - |x|)} < |x|^{m+1}, \forall x \in [0, c], \forall m \geq M_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(10) \quad \left| f(x) - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j x^j \right| < |x|^{m+1}, \forall x \in [0, c], \forall m \geq M_1.$$

Let a_i be the first coefficient such that $a_i \neq 0$. Then $i \geq 1$. We denote by

$$(11) \quad M_2 = \max\{M_1, 2i\}.$$

If $f(X)$ is not a polynomial we can suppose that there exists a fixed $m \geq M_2$ such that $a_m \neq 0$. By (10) it follows that

$$\left| \frac{1}{P(k_n)} - \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} \cdot \frac{1}{P^j(n)} \right| < \frac{1}{P^{m+1}(n)}, \forall n \geq N_1.$$

Hence

$$(12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P^i(n)}{P(k_n)} = \frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i}$$

and

$$(13) \quad \left| \beta_m P^m(n) - P(k_n) [\alpha_i \beta_m \beta_i^{-1} P^{m-i}(n) + \alpha_{i+1} \beta_m \beta_{i+1}^{-1} P^{m-i-1}(n) + \dots + \alpha_m] \right| < \frac{\beta_m P(k_n)}{P(n)}$$

We denote by

$$(14) \quad r_m(n) = \beta_m P^m(n) - P(k_n) [\alpha_i \beta_m \beta_i^{-1} P^{m-i}(n) + \dots + \alpha_m] \in \mathbf{Q}, \forall n \geq N_1.$$

It now follows from (12) and (13) that

$$\left| \frac{r_m(n)}{P^{i-1}(n)} \right| \leq \frac{\beta_m P(k_n)}{P^i(n)}$$

and

$$(15) \quad r_m(n) = O(P^{i-1}(n)).$$

We now consider the polynomials

$$P_1(X) = \beta_m P^m(X) \in \mathbf{Z}[X],$$

$$P_2(X) = \alpha_i \beta_m \beta_i^{-1} P^{m-i}(X) + \dots + \alpha_m \in \mathbf{Q}[X].$$

Then there exist $Q_1(X), R_1(X) \in \mathbf{Q}[X]$ such that

$$(16) \quad P_1(X) = Q_1(X)P_2(X) + R_1(X),$$

where $\deg R_1(X) < (m-i)\deg P(X)$, $\deg Q_1(X) = \text{ideg } P(X)$, $Q_1(X) = Q_2(P(X))$, with $Q_2(X) \in \mathbf{Q}[X]$ and $\deg Q_2(X) = i$.

Using (14) and (16) we may write

$$(17) \quad P(k_n) = Q_1(n) + \frac{R_1(n) - r_m(n)}{P_2(n)}$$

Since $m > 2i$, by (15), it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_1(n) - r_m(n)}{P_2(n)} = 0.$$

Hence we can find $N_2 > N_1$ such that for every $n \geq N_2$ we have

$$(18) \quad \left| \frac{R_1(n) - r_m(n)}{P_2(n)} \right| < \frac{1}{d+1},$$

where d is the least common multiple of the denominator of the coefficients of $Q_1(X)$. Because $P(k_n) \in \mathbf{N}$, by (17) it follows that

$$P(k_n) = Q_1(n), \forall n \geq N_2.$$

Hence

$$(19) \quad f\left(\frac{1}{P(n)}\right) = \frac{1}{Q_1(n)}, \quad \forall n \geq N_2.$$

Since $Q_1(X) = Q_2(P(X))$, by (19), we have

$$(20) \quad f\left(\frac{1}{P(n)}\right) = \frac{P^{-1}(n)}{Q_3(P^{-1}(n))}, \quad \forall n \geq N_2,$$

where

$$Q_3(X) = P'(X)Q_2\left(\frac{1}{P(X)}\right).$$

But the analytic functions $f(X)$ and $g(X) = X^i/Q_3(X)$ have the same value at an infinity of points which have an accumulation point and then they must be identically equal. On the other hand $f(X)$ is an integral function and we obtain $Q_3(X) = q \in \mathbb{Q}$. So $f(X) = X^i/q$, which gives a contradiction, if $m > 2i$. This proves the theorem. \square

Lemma 1. Let $f(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ with $f(0) = 0$ and let D be the set defined by (5). If $f(D) \subset D$, then

$$(21) \quad f(X) = \frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i} \cdot X^i, \quad \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}^*, \quad i \geq 1.$$

Proof. Let us write

$$(22) \quad f(X) = \frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i} \cdot X^i + \frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{\beta_{i+1}} \cdot X^{i+1} + \dots + \frac{\alpha_m}{\beta_m} X^m,$$

where $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(\alpha_j, \beta_j) = 1$, $\forall j = i, i+1, \dots, m$. Since

$$f\left(\frac{1}{P(n)}\right) = \frac{1}{P(k_n)}, \quad \forall n \geq N_1,$$

where $P(X)$ is the polynomial defined by (4), it follows that

$$\frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i P^i(n)} + \frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{\beta_{i+1} P^{i+1}(n)} + \dots + \frac{\alpha_m}{\beta_m P^m(n)} = \frac{1}{P(k_n)}, \quad \forall n \geq N_1.$$

Hence

$$(23) \quad P(k_n) = \frac{\beta_i \beta_{i+1} \dots \beta_m P^m(n)}{S(n)},$$

where

$$(24) \quad S(X) = \alpha_i \beta_{i+1} \dots \beta_m P^{m-i}(X) + \alpha_{i+1} \beta_i \beta_{i+2} \dots \beta_m P^{m-i-1}(X) + \dots + \alpha_m \beta_i \dots \beta_{m-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$

We may now write

$$(25) \quad P(k_n) = \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i} \cdot P^i(n) + \theta_{i-1} P^{i-1}(n) + \dots + \theta_0 + \frac{R_1(n)}{S(n)},$$

where $\theta_j \in \mathbb{Q}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, i-1$, $R_1(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ and $\deg R_1(X) < \deg S(X)$.

But $R_1(X) = 0$. For

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_1(n)}{S(n)} = 0,$$

$P(k_n) \in \mathbb{N}$ and we can choose $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \frac{R_1(n)}{S(n)} \right| < \frac{1}{d+1}, \forall n \geq N_2,$$

where d is the least common multiple of the denominators of the coefficients of the polynomial

$$R_2(X) = \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i} \cdot P^i(X) + \theta_{i-1} P^{i-1}(X) + \dots + \theta_0 \in \mathbf{Q}[X].$$

Hence

$$(26) \quad P(k_n) = \frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i} \cdot P^i(n) + \theta_{i-1} P^{i-1}(n) + \dots + \theta_0$$

and

$$(27) \quad \beta_i \beta_{i+1} \dots \beta_m P^m(X) = S(X) R_2(X).$$

Then all the roots of $S(X)$ are also roots of $P(X)$ and $\alpha_m = 0$. So

$$(28) \quad f(X) = \frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i} \cdot X^i$$

and the result follows. \square

Lemma 2. Let $f(X) = X^i/\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}[X]$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}$, $\gamma \neq 0$, $i \geq 1$, such that $f(D) \subset D$. Then there exist $Q(X) \in \mathbf{Q}[X]$ and $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(29) \quad k_n = Q(n), \forall n \geq N_2,$$

where k_n is defined by (6).

Proof. We consider the algebraic function $y = y(x)$ defined by $P(y) = \gamma P^i(x)$ or

$$(30) \quad b_s y^s + b_{s-1} y^{s-1} + \dots + b_1 y + b_0 = \gamma (b_s x^s + b_{s-1} x^{s-1} + \dots + b_0)^i.$$

We put $z = 1/x$. Then by (30) we have

$$z^s (b_s y^s + b_{s-1} y^{s-1} + \dots + b_1 y + b_0) = \gamma (b_s + b_{s-1} z + \dots + b_0 z^s)^i.$$

We denote by $u = z^s y$. Now it follows that

$$(31) \quad b_s u^s + z^i b_{s-1} u^{s-1} + z^{2i} b_{s-2} u^{s-2} + \dots + z^{si} b_0 - \gamma (b_s + b_{s-1} z + \dots + b_0 z^s)^i = 0.$$

Using Puiseux's Theorem (see [3], p.118 or [5]) we can write the algebraic functions defined by (31) using power series with coefficients in \mathbb{C} . So we obtain a solution

$$(32) \quad u(z) = c_0 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots,$$

where $c_0 = u(0) = (\gamma b_s^{i+1})^{1/s}$ and all the solutions have the first coefficient in (32) of the form $c_0 \zeta^r$, where $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and ζ is a primitive s -roots of unity. Hence we obtain the single solution of (30)

$$(33) \quad y(x) = \sqrt[i]{\gamma b_i^{i-1}} x^i + c_1 x^{i-1} + \dots + c_{i-1} x + c_i + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_j x^{-j},$$

such that $c_0 \in \mathbf{R}$, $c_0 > 0$. Here the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_j x^{-j}$ has radii of convergence $\neq 0$.

Since $f(D) \subset D$ we have

$$P(k_n) = \gamma P^i(n).$$

Hence it follows easily that

$$(34) \quad k_n = \sqrt[i]{\gamma b_i^{i-1}} n^i + c_1 n^{i-1} + \dots + c_{i-1} n + c_i + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \forall n \geq N_1.$$

Now we compute the finite difference of i -th order of k_n

$$\delta_n = \Delta^i k_n = k_{n+i} - C_n^1 k_{n+i-1} + C_n^2 k_{n+i-2} - \dots + (-1)^i k_n = i! \sqrt[i]{\gamma b_i^{i-1}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \forall n \geq N_1.$$

Since $\delta_n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\forall n \geq N_1$ it follows that

$$(35) \quad i! \sqrt[i]{\gamma b_i^{i-1}} = \tau \in \mathbf{Z}$$

Using the Theorem of Implicit Function it follows now from (32) that $c_j \in \mathbf{Q}$, $j=1, 2, \dots, i$ and then there exists $N_2 \in \mathbf{N}$, $N_2 > N_1$ such that

$$(36) \quad k_n = \frac{\tau}{i!} n^i + c_1 n^{i-1} + \dots + c_{i-1} n + c_i.$$

Hence $k_n = Q(n)$, where

$$(37) \quad Q(X) = \frac{\tau}{i!} X^i + c_1 X^{i-1} + \dots + c_{i-1} X + c_i \in \mathbf{Q}[X]$$

and the result follows. \square

Lemma 3. Let $P(X)$ defined by (4) and $Q(X) \in \mathbf{Q}[X] \setminus \{X\}$ such that $\exists N_2 \in \mathbf{N}$ and $Q(x) \geq 0$, $\forall x \geq N_2$. We consider $\sigma \in \mathbf{Q}^+$ and suppose

$$(38) \quad b_i^{i-1} P(Q(X)) = \sigma^s P^i(X), \quad i \geq 1.$$

Then we have

$$(39) \quad P(X) = b_s \left(X + \frac{b_{s-1}}{s b_s}\right)^s$$

and

$$(40) \quad Q(X) = \sigma \left(X + \frac{b_{s-1}}{s b_s}\right)^t - \frac{b_{s-1}}{s b_s},$$

Proof. Let

$$P(X) = b_s (X - x_1)^{r_1} \dots (X - x_t)^{r_t},$$

where x_1, \dots, x_t are the distinct roots of $P(X)$. Then by (38) it follows that

$$b_i'(Q(X) - x_1)^{r_1} (Q(X) - x_2)^{r_2} \dots (Q(X) - x_t)^{r_t} = \sigma^i b_i'(X - x_1)^{r_1} \dots (X - x_t)^{r_t}.$$

Hence the roots of the polynomial $P(Q(X))$ are x_1, \dots, x_t and every factor $(Q(X) - x_j)^{r_j}$ has only one root $x_{k(j)}$ with the multiplicity $r_{k(j)} i$. So

$$(41) \quad Q(X) - x_j = \sigma(X - x_{k(j)})^i,$$

where $j=1, 2, \dots, t$ and $k(1), \dots, k(t)$ is a permutation of $1, \dots, t$. By (41) it follows that $Q'(X)$ has $t(i-1)$ roots which gives a contradiction, if $i > 1$ and $t > 1$, because $\deg Q'(X) = i-1$.

If $i=1$ and $t > 1$, by (41) it follows that $Q(x_k) = x_k$ for at least two values of k or we have r distinct roots x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r} , where $r \geq 2$ such that $Q(x_{i_1}) = x_{i_1}, \dots, Q(x_{i_r}) = x_{i_r}$. Since $\deg Q(X) = 1$ it follows easily that $\sigma = \pm 1$. Hence $Q(X) = X$ and this proves the lemma. \square

Theorem 2. Let D be the set defined by (5). Then there exists $f(X) \in IQ[[X]] \setminus \{X\}$ such that $f(D) \subset D$ if and only if

$$f(X) = \frac{1}{\gamma_i} X^i, \gamma_i \in \mathbf{Q}_+^*, i \geq 1,$$

$P(X)$ is given by (39) and if $Q(X)$ is the polynomial given by (40), where

$$\sigma = \sigma_i = \sqrt[i]{b_i^{i-1} \gamma_i},$$

then the finite differences $\Delta^j Q(0) \in \mathbf{Z}, \forall j=0, 1, \dots, i$.

Proof. If $f(D) \subset D$, by Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 it follows that

$$f(X) = \frac{1}{\gamma_i} X^i, \text{ where } \gamma_i = \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i} \in \mathbf{Q}.$$

Using Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 with $\sigma = \sigma_i$ we obtain $k_n = Q(n)$, $P(X)$ is given by (39) and $Q(X)$ is given by (40). Since $Q(n) \in \mathbf{N}, \forall n \geq N_2$, it follows that $Q(\mathbf{Z}) \subset \mathbf{Z}$ and using Newton's interpolation polynomial we have $\Delta^j Q(0) \in \mathbf{Z}, \forall j=0, 1, \dots, i$.

The converse is obvious. \square

Example. Let $P(X) = 2(3x+1)^2, N_1 = 0$. Then

$$\sigma_i = \sqrt[18^{i-1}]{\gamma_i} \text{ and } Q(X) = 3^{i-1} \sqrt[2^{i-1}]{\gamma_i} \left(X + \frac{1}{3}\right)^i - \frac{1}{3}.$$

If $f(D) \subset D$, since $\Delta^0 Q(0) = Q(0) = \frac{\sqrt[2^{i-1}]{\gamma_i}}{3} - \frac{1}{3} \in \mathbf{Z}$, it follows that

$$\sqrt[2^{i-1}]{\gamma_i} = 3k+1, k \in \mathbf{N} \text{ and } f(X) = \frac{2^{i-1}}{(3k+1)^2} X^i, k \in \mathbf{N}, i \geq 1.$$

REFERENCES

1. E. Artin, *Algebraic numbers and algebraic functions*, Gordon and Breach, 1967.
2. G. Bachman, *Introduction to p -adic number and valuation theory*, Academic Press, New-York-London, 1964.
3. M. Eichler, *Introduction to the theory of algebraic numbers and functions*, Academic Press, New-York and London, 1964.
4. G. Groza, *Semigroups of integral functions in valued fields*, *Filomat (Niš)* 9:3 (1995), 675-687.
5. H. W. Jung, *Einführung in die Theorie der algebraischen Functionen einer Veränderlichen*, Berlin, 1923.
6. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1932.

Received 15.08.1996

Technical University of Civil Engineering
Department of Mathematics
124, Bd. Lacul Tei
RO-72302, Bucharest
ROMANIA