Buletinul Ştiinţific al Universităţii din Baia Mare Seria B, Matematică-Informatică, vol. XII (1996),21-30

Dedicated to the 35th anniversary of the University of Baia Mare

## ON A GENERALIZED DURRMEYER OPERATORS

## Octavian AGRATINI

1.

Durrmeyer [5] defined a new kind of modified Bernstein polynomial operators on  $L_1[0,1]$ , the space of Lebesgue integrable functions on [0,1], as :

$$(M_n f)(x) = (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \int_{0}^{1} {n \choose k} t^k (1-t)^{n-k} f(t) dt (1)$$

The aim of this note is to present a general class of linear positive operators  $(L_n)_{n\geq 1}$  of integral type. This construction contains as particular cases well-known operators introduced and studied during the time by many authors. We evaluate the order of approximation in terms of the moduli of smoothness  $\omega$ ,  $\omega_2$ , and indicate sufficient conditions which ensure the uniform convergence of the sequence. In the last section of this paper we apply our result to operators which represent a generalization of Stancu's operators. We mention that our estimation improves a previous result.

2.

Let J and D be subintervals of real axis and for each integer  $n \geq 1$  let  $I_n$  a set of indexes. We consider  $p_{nk}$ ,  $q_{nk}$  real non - negative valued functions with the properties  $p_{nk} \in \textbf{C}(J)$ ,  $q_{nk} \in \textbf{C}(J \times D)$ , and for any  $x \in J$   $q_{nk}(x,\cdot)$  is bounded on D, where  $n \geq 1$  and  $k \in I_n$ . The operators in question are defined on the space

$$L_1(D) = \{f: D \to \mathbb{R} / \int_D |f(x)| dx < \infty \}$$

by

$$(L_n f)(x) = \sum_{k \in I_n} p_{nk}(x) \int_{D} q_{nk}(x, y) f(y) dy$$
 (2)

It is obvious that this operator is linear and positive. Now we shall analyse three particular cases.

**A.** Firstly, we choose D = J = [0,1] and  $I_n = \{0, 1, 2, ..., n\}$ . If we put

$$p_{nk}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$$
 and  $q_{nk}(x,y) = (n+1) p_{nk}(y)$ 

we obtain the operator defined at (1). M. M. Derriennic [4] studied these modified Bernstein polynomials. He demonstrated that if the derivative  $d^rf/dx^r$  with  $r\geq 0$  is continuous on [0,1] then  $(d^r/dx^r)M_nf$  converges uniformly on [0,1] and  $\sup_{x\in [0,1]} \left| (M_nf)(x) - f(x) \right| \leq 2\omega(n^{-1/2}) \text{ where } \omega \text{ is the modulus of continuity of } f.$  He has also proved that if f belongs to Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(0,1)$  with

**B.** Secondly, we choose  $D = J = [0, \infty)$  and  $I_n = N$ . Now we take

I≥0, p≥1, then M<sub>n</sub>f converges to f in W<sup>1,p</sup>(0,1).

$$p_{nk}(x) = \binom{n+k-1}{n} x^k (1+x)^{-n-k}$$
,  $q_{nk}(x,y) = (n-1) p_{nk}(y)$ 

then  $L_n$  become operators proposed by A. Sahai and G. Prasad [7] and termed as modified Lupaş operators. The authors established theorems concerning asymptotic approximation and error estimation in the simultaneous approximation by these operators. Later, R.P. Sinha, T.A.K. Sinha, P.N. Agrawal and V. Gupta [8], [9] enlarged the study upon  $L_n$ . Using the device of Steklov means they obtained the estimate of error in  $L_p$  - approximation in terms of higher order integral modulus of smoothness. We note that the above operators also should be called modified Baskakov operators because Baskakov [2] has introduced the operators:

$$(K_n f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} {n+k-1 \choose k} \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^{n-k}} f(\frac{k}{n}).$$

If we replace  $f(\frac{k}{n})$  by  $(n-1)\int_{0}^{\infty} p_{nk}(t) f(t) dt$ , we obtain the operators

discussed at this example.

C. Finally, we choose D = J = [0,1] and  $I_n = \{0, 1, 2, ..., n-r\}$  where r is an integer parameter such that 2r < n. We define:

$$p_{nk}(x) = {n-r \choose k} x^k (1-x)^{n-r-k}$$

and

$$q_{nk}(x,y) = (1-x) A_{nrk} (1-y) p_{nk}(y) + x B_{nrk} y p_{nk}(y)$$
 (3)

where the constants Ank , Bnrk have the following form :

$$A_{nrk} = \frac{(n-r+1)(n-r+2)}{n-r-k+1}$$
,  $B_{nrk} = \frac{(n-r+1)(n-r+2)}{k+1}$ ,  $0 \le k \le n-r$ .

This operator was studied by Chen Wenzhong and Tian Jishan [3]. They computed the degrees of approximations for continuous functions and  $L_p$  - functions. In this case  $L_n$  represents a generalization of an operator

introduced by D.D. Stancu [10]. The expression of Stancu's operator is the following:

$$(L_{m,r}^{\alpha,\beta}f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-r} {m-r \choose k} x^{k} (1-x)^{m-r-k} [(1-x) f(\frac{k+\alpha}{m+\beta}) + x f(\frac{k+r+\alpha}{m+\beta})]$$

where r is a non - negative integer ( 2r < n ) and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are real parameters so that  $0 \le \alpha \le \beta$ .

 $L_{m,\,r}^{\alpha,\,\beta}$  was introduced using a probabilistic method. A special attention was given to the case of the operator  $L_{m,\,r} = L_{m,\,r}^{0,\,0}$ . D.D. Stancu proved that the remainder of the approximation formula of a function  $f \in \mathbf{C}[0,1]$  by  $L_{m,\,r}f$  can be represented either by means of divided differences, or by an integral form, obtained by using a classical theorem of Peano. He also showed that  $L_{m,\,r}$  admits the variation diminishing property, he determined the point spectrum of  $L_{m,\,r}$  and constructed a quadrature formula.

3.

In this section we are concerned with the estimate of the order of approximation of a function f by means of the linear operator L<sub>o</sub>.

<u>Theorem A.</u> Let be the sequence defined by (2) and  $K \subset J$  a compact with the property that f is continuous on K. For every  $x \in K$  let be

$$\int_{n} q_{nk} (x,y) (x-y)^{r} dy = \mu_{nk} (r; x) , r \in \{0,2\}$$
 (4)

and

$$\sum_{k \in J_r} p_{nk}(x) \ \mu_{nk} \ (0 \ ; \ x) = a_n(x) \tag{5}$$

If i) two real numbers A, B exist so that  $0 \le A \le a_n(x) \le B$ ,  $x \in K$ 

ii) 
$$\alpha > 0$$
 exists so that  $\sum_{k \in I_k} p_{nk}(x) \mu_{nk}(0; x) \mu_{nk}(2; x) = 0(n^{-\alpha})$ 

then the following inequality

$$|(L_n f)(x) - f(x)| \le B \gamma_n |f(x)| + (B + O(n^{-\alpha + 1/2})) \omega (f, n^{-1/2}) (6)$$

holds, where  $\gamma_n = \sup_{x \in K} |1 - a_n^{-1}(x)|$ .

Proof

By using (5) we get:

$$f(x) = \frac{f(x)}{a_n(x)} \sum_{k=l_0} p_{nk}(x) \int_{D} q_{nk}(x,y) dy$$

Taking into account the above relation and (2) we deduce :

$$| (L_n f)(x) - f(x) | \le \sum_{k=l_k} p_{nk}(x) \int_{D} q_{nk}(x,y) | f(y) - \frac{f(x)}{a_n(x)} | dy$$
 (7)

We shall use the modulus of continuity  $\omega$  defined by :

$$\omega(\delta) = \omega(f, \delta) = \sup | f(x'') - f(x') |,$$

where x' and x" are points from K so that  $|x'' - x'| < \delta$ ,  $\delta$  being a positive number. Using the following well-known properties of  $\odot$ 

$$| f(x'') - f(x') | \le \omega (| x'' - x' |) \le (1 + \delta^{-1} | x'' - x' |) \omega(f, \delta)$$

and the definition of  $\gamma_n$  we can write successively :

$$| f(y) - \frac{f(x)}{a_n(x)} | \leq | f(y) - f(x) | + | f(x) | | 1 - \frac{1}{a_n(x)} | \leq$$

$$\leq (1 + \delta^{-1} | x - y |) \omega(f, \delta) + \gamma_n | f(x) |$$

$$(8)$$

Substituting (8) in relation (7) we obtain :

$$\left| \left( L_{n}f\right) \left( x\right) -f(x)\right| \leq$$

$$\leq \gamma_{n} \mid f(x) \mid a_{n}(x) + (a_{n}(x) + \delta^{-1} \sum_{k=1, p} p_{nk}(x) \int_{D} q_{nk}(x, y) \mid x - y \mid dy) \omega(f, \delta)$$
 (9)

Now, we apply the Cauchy inequality :

$$\int_{D} q_{nk} (x,y) |x-y| dy \leq \int_{D} q_{nk} (x,y) dy \int_{D} q_{nk} (x,y) (x-y)^{2} dy =$$

$$= \mu_{nk} (0; x) \mu_{nk} (2; x)$$
 (10)

If we insert  $\delta = n^{-1/2}$ , the relations (9), (10) and the hypotheses of this theorem

lead us to the desired result.

The modulus of smoothness  $\omega_2$  is also frequently used in quantitative approximation. I. Gavrea and I. Raşa [6] established the inequality :

$$\omega(\mathsf{f},\delta) \leq (3+\frac{I(k)}{\delta})\;\omega_2(\mathsf{f},\delta) + \frac{6\delta}{I(k)} \mid \mathsf{f} \mid \;\;, \qquad 0<\delta \leq \mathsf{I}(\mathsf{k})$$

where I(k) represents the length of the interval compact K and □ is sup-norm on C(K).

We mention that :

$$\omega_2(f,\delta) = \sup \{ | f(x+2t) - 2f(x+t) + f(x) | : 0 \le t \le \delta, x \in K, x+2t \in K \}$$
  
After a few computations we can state :

Theorem B If the notations and conditions required by theorem A work then the following inequality

holds.

Once inequality (6) is known, we can easily obtain:

COROLLARY Under the conditions of theorem A, if  $\alpha$  > 1/2 and  $\gamma_n$  converges uniformly to zero, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (L_n f)(x) = f(x) ,$$

the convergence being uniform on K.

4.

We come back at example C. It is known that the Beta function is defined as:

B(I,m) = 
$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{1-1} (1-x)^{m-1} dx = \frac{\Gamma(I) \Gamma(m)}{\Gamma(I+m)}$$

and  $\Gamma(s) = (s-1)!$  for  $s \ge 1$  integer.

For q<sub>nk</sub> defined in (3), this formula helps us to prove that

$$\int_{0}^{1} q_{nk}(x,y) dy = 1 = \mu_{nk}(0; x)$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} y \, q_{nk} (x,y) \, dy = \frac{x+k+1}{n-r+3}$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} y^{2} q_{nk}(x,y) dy = \frac{2(k+2)x + (k+1)(k+2)}{(n-r+3)(n-r+4)}$$

Consequently, we get :

$$\mu_{\mathsf{nk}} \; (2 \; ; \; \mathsf{x}) = \frac{n-r+1}{n-r+3} \; \mathsf{x}^2 - 2 \; \frac{(n-r+3)k + (n-r+2)}{(n-r+3)(n-r+4)} \; \mathsf{x} + \; \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{(n-r+3)(n-r+4)} \; .$$

In this case we have  $a_n(x) = 1$ , A = B = 1,  $\gamma_n = 0$ .

By using the identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-r} k \left( {n-r \choose k} \right) x^k (1-x)^{n-r-k} = (n-r)x$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n-r} k (k-1) {n-r \choose k} x^k (1-x)^{n-r-k} = (n-r) (n-r-1)x^2,$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-r} p_{nk}(x) \mu_{nk} (0; x) \mu_{nk} (2; x) =$$

$$=\frac{2(n-r-2)}{(n-r+3)(n-r+4)}\left(x-x^2+\frac{1}{n-r-2}\right)\leq \frac{1}{2(n-r+5)}$$

because  $x - x^2 \le 1/4$ ,  $x \in [0,1]$ .

According to theorem A, all these relations lead us to the following inequality:

$$\left| (L_n f)(x) - f(x) \right| \le (1 + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2(n-r+5)}) \omega(f, n^{-1/2}).$$

Our result improves the one given in [3] because there the coefficient of was found as being 2.

We mention that in the paper [1] was presented an extension of operator  $L_{m,r}^{\alpha,\beta}$  in the sense of Kantorovich.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

TIAN JISHAN

This work was elaborated in May - June 1996 during my stay in Heidelberg, Germany, due to a Tempus-grant. I want to express my gratitude to Prof. Dr.Dr.h.c. Willi Jager for his kind support.

## REFERENCES

1.	AGRATINI,O.	Approximation	properties	of	а	class	of	operators	0
		, debrowning pour	bioboimon	~.	**	616466	-	operatora	-

Stancu - Kantorovich type, Research Seminar on Numerical and Statistical Calculus, "Babes-Bolyai"

Univ., Cluj-Napoca, Preprint 1 (1994), 3 -12.

2. BASKAKOV, V.A. An example of linear positive operators in the space of

continuous functions, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 113

(1957), 249 - 251 .

CHEN WENZHONG, On approximation properties of Stancu operators of

integral type, Journal of Xiamen University, 26 (1987),

3, 270 - 276 .

4. DERRIENNIC, M.M. Sur l'approximation de fonctions intégrables sur [0,1]

par des polynômes de Bernstein modifies, Journal

Approx. Theory, 31 (1981), 325 - 343 .

5. DURRMEYER, J.L. Une formule d'inversion de la transformée de Laplace :

Application à la thèorie des moments, Thèse de 3e cycle, Faculté des Sciences de l'Université de Paris,

1967 .

6.	GAVREA, I.,	Remarks on some quantitative Korovkin - type results,			
	RAŞA, I.	Revue d'Analyse Numerique et de Theorie de l'Approximation, 22 (1993), 2, 173 - 176 .			
7.	SAHAI, A., PRASAD, G.	On simultaneous approximation by modified Lupas operators, Journal Approx. Theory, 45 (1985), 122 - 128.			
8.	SINHA, T.A.K., AGRAWAL, P.N., GUPTA, V.	On simultaneous approximation by modified Baskakov operators, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. 43 (1991), 2, Ser. B, 217 - 231.			
9.	SINHA, T.A.K., AGRAWAL, P.N., SAHAI, A., GUPTA, V.	Improved $L_p$ - approximation by linear combination of modified Lupas operators, Bull. Inst. Math. Academia Sinica, 17 (1983), 3, 243 - 253.			
10.	STANCU, D.D.	Approximation of functions by means of a new generalized Bernstein operator, Calcolo, 20 (1983), 2, 211 - 229.			

Received 23.08.1996

"Babeş-Bolyai" University
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
str. Kogălniceanu, 1
RO-3400 Cluj-Napoca
ROMANIA