

ON THE PRIME RADICAL OF AN IDEAL IN AN (m, n) -RING

Maria S. POP

Abstract. The extension of the usual ring concept to the case where the underlying group and semigroup are respectively an m -ary group and an n -ary semigroup has been studied by Crombez [1]; some ideal theory aspects and the properties of the prime radical of an ideal in a commutative (m, n) -ring for $m=n$ were investigated. In this note we prove that these properties remain true for $n \neq m$, too.

1. Definitions, notations and preliminary results

An algebra $(R, +, \circ)$ is an (m, n) -ring, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \{1\}$ if:

- 1) $(R, +)$ is a commutative m -group;
- 2) (R, \circ) is an n -semigroup;
- 3) the following distributive laws hold for all choices of $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m \in R$ and for all choices of $i = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} & (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, (b_1 + \dots + b_m), a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)_{\circ} = \\ & = (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b_1, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)_{\circ} + \dots + (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b_m, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)_{\circ} \end{aligned}$$

If, in particular, the n -ary operation (multiplication) is commutative, we call $(R, +, \circ)$ a commutative (m, n) -ring.

In keeping the practice adopted for polyadic semigroups briefly notational convenience will be used, as follows:

$$x_1 + \dots + x_j + \underbrace{x + \dots + x}_{k\text{-times}} + x_{j+k+1} + \dots + x_m = \sum_{i=1}^j x_i + kx + \sum_{i=j-k+1}^m x_i$$

and

$$(x_1, \dots, x_j, \underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{k\text{-times}}, x_{j+k+1}, \dots, x_m)_o = (x_1^j, \overset{(k)}{x}, x_{j+k+1}^n)_o$$

Clearly that $\sum_{i=k}^j x_i$ and x_k^j , for $k > j$ denote empty sequences.

Also, in writing long products (i.e. products having $p \equiv 1 \pmod{n-1}$ factors) and long sums ($p \equiv 1 \pmod{m-1}$ terms) we shall omit supplementary brackets (sum symbols \sum).

With these conveniences the distributive laws can be written

$$(1') \quad \left(a_1^{j-1}, \sum_{j=1}^m b_j, a_{i+1}^m \right)_o = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(a_1^{j-1}, b_j, a_{i+1}^m \right)_o$$

We may also denote recursively (Dörnte [2]):

$$a^{(0)} = a; a^{[1]} = ma; a^{[k]} = (m-1)a + a^{[k-1]} = (km - k + 1)a$$

and

$$a^{(0)} = a; a^{(1)} = \binom{(n)}{a}_o; a^{(k)} = \left(a^{(k-1)}, \overset{(n-1)}{a} \right)_o = \binom{(kn-k+1)}{a}_o, \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

It is easily verified that

$$\left(a^{(k_1)}, a^{(k_2)}, \dots, a^{(k_n)} \right)_o = a^{(k_1 + \dots + k_n + 1)},$$

and

$$\left(a^{(k)} \right)^{(r)} = a^{(kr(n-1) + k + r)} = \left(a^{(r)} \right)^{(k)}$$

for every choice of the natural numbers k_1, \dots, k_n, k, r .

Also we may conclude that

Proposition 1. In a commutative (m, n) -ring $(R, +, o)$ the following exponential laws are verified

$$(4) \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right)^{(k)} = \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_m = k(n-1) + 1 \\ \forall k_i \in \mathbb{N}^* \\ i=1, 2, \dots, m}} \frac{[k(n-1) + 1]!}{k_1! \dots k_m!} \left(a_1^{(k_1)} \dots a_m^{(k_m)} \right)_o$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

An element $a \in R$ is called an additive (multiplicative) idempotent if $a^{[1]} = a$ ($a^{<1>} = a$) and idempotent if both of these conditions are satisfied. An element $z \in R$ is called a zero of R if $(x_1^{i-1}, z, x_{i+1}^n)_o = z$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$ and for all choices of $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

A zero, if there exists is clearly an idempotent of R ; an (m, n) -ring may have at most one zero. If R is a $(2, n)$ -ring, then R has a zero element.

The element \bar{a} will denote the additive querelement of $a \in R$, i.e. \bar{a} is the solution of the equation $(m-1)a + x = a$. It is easily seen that in an (m, n) -ring we have

$$(2) \quad \overline{\sum_{i=1}^m a_i} = \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{a}_i, \quad \forall a_1, \dots, a_m \in R$$

$$(3) \quad \overline{(a_1^n)_o} = (a_1^{i-1}, \bar{a}_i, a_{i+1}^n)_o, \quad \forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in R; \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Crombez, in [1], defined an i -ideal of an (m, n) -ring R as a non empty subset $U \subseteq R$, such that $(U, +)$ is sub- m -group of $(R, +)$ and

$$\left(\begin{matrix} (i+1) \\ R \end{matrix} U \begin{matrix} (n-i) \\ R \end{matrix} \right)_o \subseteq U, \quad \text{where } i \text{ has one of the values } 1, 2, \dots, m. \text{ If } U \text{ is}$$

an i -ideal for each $i=1, 2, \dots, n$, then it is simply called ideal of R .

An ideal U of R is called completely prime iff $(x_1^n)_o \in U$ imply

$x_i \in U$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and primary if $(x_1^n)_o \in U$ and

$x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n \notin U$, imply the existence of a natural

number p such that $x_i^{(p)} \in U$. Hence, each completely prime ideal is

a primary ideal. An ideal P is called prime iff for any

ideals U_1, \dots, U_m with $(U_1^m)_o \subseteq P \Rightarrow U_i \subseteq P$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Each completely prime ideal is a prime ideal.

2. The prime radical of an ideal

Definition [1] 1) Let $(R, +, \circ)$ be an (m, n) -ring and U an ideal of R . The prime radical \sqrt{U} is the set

$$\sqrt{U} = \{x \in R \mid x^{(p)} \in U \text{ for some } p \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

2) An ideal U of R is called a radical ideal if $U = \sqrt{U}$.

Remarks. 1) $U \subseteq \sqrt{U}$.

2) The intersection of an arbitrary family of completely prime ideals of an (m, n) -ring is a radical ideal.

Proposition 2. The prime radical \sqrt{U} of an ideal U of a commutative (m, n) -ring R is an ideal of R .

Proof. Let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \sqrt{U}$, i.e. $\exists p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_i^{(p_i)} \in U$; $i=1, \dots, m$. There exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k = p_1 + \dots + p_m + \alpha$, where $\alpha = \left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{n-1} \right\rfloor$ if $n-1$ is a divisor of $m-1$ and $\alpha = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{n-1} \right\rfloor$ otherwise,

so that $\left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right)^{(k)} \in U$, hence $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \in U$. Indeed, by proposition 1, the equality (4) holds.

In every long product $\left(a_1^{(k_1)}, \dots, a_m^{(k_m)} \right)_o$ there is an $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ so that $k_{i_0} \geq p_{i_0}(n-1) + 1$. Otherwise, if $k_i < p_i(n-1) + 1$ for all

$i=1, \dots, m$, then $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i < \left(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i \right) (n-1) + m$, i.e.

$k(n-1)+1 < \left(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i \right) (n-1) + m$, hence $\alpha(n-1) < m-1$; contradiction to the choice of $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $r_{i_0} = k_1 - p_{i_0}(n-1) - 1$, because U is an ideal of R we have

$$\left(\begin{matrix} (k_1) & & (k_m) \\ a_1 & \dots & a_n \end{matrix} \right)_o = \left(\begin{matrix} (k_1) & & (r_{i_0}) & & (k_m) \\ a_1 & \dots & a_{i_0} & a_{i_0}^{(p_{i_0})} & \dots & a_n \end{matrix} \right)_o \in U$$

and $(\sum a_i)^{(k)} \in U$.

If $a \in \sqrt{U}$, then $a^{(p)} \in U$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(U, +)$ is a sub-m-group of $(R, +)$, we have $\overline{a^{(p)}} \in U$ and $\overline{\overline{a^{(p)}}} \in U$. But, by (3) we find recursively for $k = p(n-1) + 1$ that

$$\overline{\overline{a^{(p)}}} = \overline{a^{(p)}},$$

hence $\overline{a} \in \sqrt{U}$.

Also, for all $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in R$ and $a \in \sqrt{U}$, since we suppose the n -ary operation to be commutative, we have

$$\left(x_1^{n-1}, a \right)_o^{(p)} = \left(x_1^{(p)}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{(p)}, a^{(p)} \right)_o \in U, \text{ hence } \left(x_1^{n-1}, a \right)_o \in \sqrt{U}.$$

Then it follows that \sqrt{U} is an ideal of R .

In the same manner as in [1] we may show that in a commutative (m, n) -ring $(R, +, \circ)$ holds the following

Proposition 3. If U and V are ideals of R and $U^{(k)} \subseteq V$ for some natural number k , then $\sqrt{U} \subseteq \sqrt{V}$.

Proposition 4. If U_1, \dots, U_n are ideals of R , then

$$\sqrt{(U_1, \dots, U_n)_o} = \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^n U_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n \sqrt{U_i}$$

The following proposition can be proved in a similar way as proposition 2.

Proposition 5. If U_1, \dots, U_m are ideals of R , then

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m U_i} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sqrt{U_i}}.$$

Proposition 6. The radical of a primary ideal U of R is a completely prime ideal contained in each completely prime ideal containing U .

Proposition 7. If U and V are ideals in a commutative (m,n) -ring R , then U is primary and $V = \sqrt{U}$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$1^{\circ} \quad U \subseteq V$$

$$2^{\circ} \quad x \in V \rightarrow \exists p \in \mathbb{N}; x^{(p)} \in U$$

$$3^{\circ} \quad (a_1^{(n)})_0 \in U \text{ and } a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \notin V \text{ imply } a_n \in U.$$

REFERENCES

1. CROMBEZ, G., On (n,m) -rings, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 37, 1972, p. 180-199
2. DÖRNTE, W., Untersuchungen über veralgemeinerten gruppenbegriff, *Math. Zeit.*, 19, 1929, p.1-19
3. SIOSON, F.M., Ideals in $(m+1)$ -semigroups, *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, serie quattro, LXVIII, 1965, p.161-200
4. TIMM, J., Kommutative n -gruppen, *Disertation Hamburg*, 1967

Received at: 02.09.1996

Universitatea din Baia-Mare
 Facultatea de Litere și Științe
 str. Victoriei nr.76
 RO-4800 Baia-Mare
 ROMANIA