Buletinul Științific al Universității din Baia Mare

Seria B, Matematică-Informatică, vol.XII (1996),191-202

Dedicated to the 35th anniversary of the University of Baia Mare

ON SOME CONTINUOUS RUNGE - KUTTA METHODS

Iulian COROIAN

Abstract. Continuous half-explicit Runge - Kutta methods for differential-algebraic system of index 2 are considered. A family of continuous half-explicit Runge-Kutta methods of uniform order $\rho=4$ and s=6 stages is derived.

KEY WORDS: differential-algebraic systems, Runge-Kutta methods, continuous extension.

AMS subject classification: 65 L05, 65 L06

1.INTRODUCTION

Many real problems from mechanics, physics, engineering etc. can be modeled by initial value problems for differential-algebraic system of the form

(1.1.a)
$$y'(x) = f(y(x), z(x)),$$

(1.1.b)
$$0 = g(y(x)),$$

$$(1.2.)$$
 $y(x_o) = y_o, z(x_o) = z_o,$

where $f: \mathbb{R}^{m+k} \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $g: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^k$, $x_o \in [a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, $y_o \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $z_o \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

We assume that the vector functions $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k)$ are nonlinear and they are sufficiently smooth such that the matrices

$$g_y'(y) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial y^j} \end{array} \right), \ \ f_z'(y,z) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial z^i} \end{array} \right); \quad i = \overline{1,k}; \ \ j = \overline{1,m},$$

are cotinuous and the consistency conditions

(1.3)
$$g(y_o) = 0$$
, $g'_y(y_o) \cdot f'_z(y_o, z_o) = 0$,

are satisfied. It is also assumed that in a neighbourhood of the solution of (1.1) - (1.2), there exists the bounded inverse

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc}g_y'(y)\cdot f_z'(y,z)\end{array}\right]^{-1},$$

so the problem (1.1) - (1.2) has index 2.

An example of problems of the form (1.1) - (1.2) is the multibody system with constraints on the velocity level, see [8]. Also, the differential equations with discontinuities in the right side, leads to systems of the form (1.1). Much works has been devoted to development of numerical methods for problem (1.1)-(1.2), especially implicit Runge - Kutta type methods, [5], [7], [9] and half-explicit Runge - Kutta methods, [1]. In the last years many authors derived so called continuous Runge - Kutta mathods for numerical solution of initial value problems for differential systems, [3], [4], [5], [7], [10], [11], [12], [14].

The present work is dedicated to extension the applicability of these continuous methods to the numerical solution of the problem

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

(1.1) - (1.2) of index 2.

If we use the works of Hairer, Lubich and Roche, [9], and Brasey an Harier [1], we can give

DEFINITION 2.1 A continuous half-explicit Runge - Kutta type methods with s stages for the y(x) - component of the solution of the problem (1.1) - (1.2) provides a continuous approximation u(x) (or an interpolant u(x)) for the exact solution y(x), by using a uniform mesh of [a,b],

$$\{a = x_o < x_1 < ... < x_N = b\}, x_{i+1} - x_i = h, i = \overline{1, N-1},$$

and the following relations

$$(2.1) Y_{ni} = y_n + h \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} f(Y_{nj} Z_{nj})$$

(2.2)
$$0 = g(Y_{ni}), i = 1, 2, ..., s,$$

(2.3)
$$u(x_n + \theta h) = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i(\theta) f(Y_{ni}, Z_{ni})$$

(2.4)
$$0 = g(u(x_n + \theta h)), \theta \in [0,1], n = 0,1,2,...,$$

where $b_i(\theta)$, $i=\overline{1,s}$ are polynominals of degree at most p, p being the order of the method and $b_i(0)=0$, $i=\overline{1,6}$; a_{ij} , $i=\overline{2,s}$, $j=\overline{1,i-1}$ are real parameters. The value y_n , $n=0,1,2,\ldots$ are the solution of local problem given by (1.1) and $y(x_n)=y_n$. We consider like in (1.3) for n=0 that $g(y_n)=0$.

DEFINITION 2.2 The half-explicit continuous Runge - Kutta method defined by (2.1) - (2.4) has the uniform order p, if p is the larges integer such that

$$\max_{0 \le 0 \le 1} |y(x_n + \theta h) - u(x_n + \theta h)| = O(h^{p+1}),$$

where y(x) is the y- component solution of (1.1) - (1.2), satisfying the local condition

$$y(x_n) = u(x_n) = y_n, n = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

and | is any norm on Rm.

REMARK 2.1 It in known that a discret Runge - Kutta method, that is b_j are constants, the order of the method (called nodal order) is greater or equal to the uniform order of the corresponding to continuous Runge - Kutta method.

REMARK 2.2 The coefficients a_{ij} of the half-explicit method (2.1) -(2.2) form a strictly inferior triangular matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ a_{s1} & a_{s2} & a_{s3} & \dots & a_{s,s-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and we shall require

$$C_1 = 0$$
, $C_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}$, $i = 2, 3, ..., s-1$, $C_s = 1$

Then, the half-explicit continuous Runge - Kutta method, can be writen in an array

$$C \mid A$$
 $\mid D^{T}$,

with $C = (C_1, C_2, \dots, C_g)^T$ and $b^T(\theta) = (b_1(\theta), b_2(\theta), \dots, b_g(\theta))$.

REMARK 2.3. For effectiv application of the half-explicit continuous method $(2.1) \cdot (2.4)$ we proceed as follows: for i=1 from (2.1) we get $Y_{n1} = y_n$ and (2.2) will be satisfied. If i=2, we obtain Y_{n3} from (2.1) and insert it in (2.2), we get a nonlinear equation for Z_1

$$g(y_n + ha_{21}f(Y_{n1}, Z_{n1})) = 0$$
.

With Z_{n1} computed, (2.1) give us Y_{n2} explicitly. We repeat this procedure in next stages. In the i-1- th stage for the computation of $Z_{n,i-1}$ we have the system

$$g\left(y_n + h \sum_{j=1}^{j-1} a_{ij} f(Y_{nj}, Z_{nj})\right) = 0$$

This system must be solved approximatively, and then we obtain Y_{ni} explicitly from (2.1).

REMARK 2.4. The continuous half-explicit methods defined by (2.1) - (2.4) are assumed to exist and they are convergent. Results in this respect for the discret implicit methods and half-explicit methods can be found in [9] and [1], respectively.

ORDER CONDITIONS FOR CONTINUOUS METHODS.

We will require that the coefficients a_{ij} , $i=\overline{2,s}$; $j=\overline{1,i-1}$,

 c_i , $i=\overline{1,s}$ and the weighted polynomials $b_i(\theta)$, $i=\overline{1,s}$ satisfy conditions to ensure that the local error be of a certain order. These order conditions are obtained with the aid of Taylor

expansions, in power of $h=x_{i+1}-x_i$, of exact solution $y\left(x_n+\theta h\right)$ and of the approximate solution $u\left(x_n+\theta h\right)$.

The coeficients of these expansions are functions defined on a set of rooted trees. We will not enter into details, as all the theory can be found in [2] and [9]. We will only present the order conditions for the continuous mrthod provided by (2.1) - (2.4) to have the uniform order p=4.

If we assume that $a_{i,i-1}\neq 0$, $i=\overline{2,s+1}$ with $a_{s+1,i}=b_i(\theta)$ and $c_{s+1}=1$ then the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{21} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ a_{s1} & a_{s2} & a_{s3} & \dots & a_{s,s-1} & 0 \\ a_{s+1,1} & a_{s+1,3} & a_{s+1,3} & \dots & a_{s+1,s-1} & a_{s+1,s} \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible and we note its invers as

$$\tilde{A} = (\omega_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{11} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \omega_{21} & \omega_{22} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \omega_{s1} & \omega_{s2} & \dots & \dots & \omega_{ss} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\omega_{ii} \neq 0$, $i = \overline{1, s}$.

We wish to underline the fact that if the continuous method (2.1) - (2.4) has the uniform order p and s stages then it is necessary that $s \ge 2p-2$ if $c_1 = 0$, $c_i \ne 0$, $i = \overline{2,s}$, [4]. For p=4 it is necessary that $s \ge 6$, that is we will take s=6, therefore the minimal number of stages for order p=4. The order conditions for p=4 are

$$(3.1) \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) = \theta,$$

(3.2)
$$\sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) c_{i} = \frac{\theta^{2}}{2},$$
(3.3)
$$\sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) c_{i}^{2} = \frac{\theta^{3}}{3},$$
(3.4)
$$\sum_{i,j} b_{i}(\theta) a_{ij}c_{j} = \frac{\theta^{3}}{6},$$
(3.5)
$$\sum_{i,j} b_{i}(\theta) c_{i} \omega_{ij}c_{j-1}^{3} = \frac{2}{3}\theta^{3},$$

(3.6)
$$\sum_{i,j,k} b_i(\theta) \omega_{ij} c_{j+1}^2 \omega_{ik} c_{k+1}^2 = \frac{4}{3} \theta^3,$$

$$(3.7) \qquad \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) c_{i}^{3} = \frac{\theta^{4}}{4},$$

(3.8)
$$\sum_{i,j} b_{i}(\theta) c_{i} a_{ij} c_{j} = \frac{\theta^{4}}{8},$$

(3.9)
$$\sum_{i,j} b_i(\theta) a_{ij} c_j^2 = \frac{\theta^4}{12},$$

(3.10)
$$\sum_{i,j,k} b_{i}(\theta) a_{ij} a_{jk} c_{k} = \frac{\theta^{4}}{24},$$

(3.11)
$$\sum_{i,j} b_i(\theta) c_i^2 \omega_{ij} c_{j+1}^2 = \frac{\theta^4}{2},$$

(3.12)
$$\sum_{i,j,k} b_i(\theta) c_i \omega_{ij} c_{j+1}^2 \omega_{ik} c_{k+1}^2 = \theta^4,$$

(3.13)
$$\sum_{i,j,k,l} b_i(\theta) \omega_{ij} c_{j+1}^2 \omega_{ik} c_{k+1}^2 \omega_{il} c_{l+1}^2 = 2\theta^4,$$

(3.14)
$$\sum_{i,j} b_i(\theta) c_i \omega_{ij} c_{j+1}^3 = \frac{3}{4} \theta^4,$$

(3.15)
$$\sum_{i,j,k} b_i(\theta) c_i \omega_{ij} c_{j+1} a_{j+1,k} c_k = \frac{3}{8} \theta^4,$$

(3.16)
$$\sum_{j,j} b_{j}(\theta) a_{ij} c_{j} \omega_{ij} c_{j+1}^{2} = \frac{\theta^{4}}{4},$$

(3.17)
$$\sum_{i,j,k} b_{i}(\theta) \omega_{ij} c_{j+1}^{2} \omega_{ik} c_{k+1}^{3} = \frac{3}{2} \theta^{4},$$

(3.18)
$$\sum_{i,j,k,j} b_i(\theta) \omega_{ij} c_{j+1}^2 \omega_{ik} c_{k+1} a_{k+1,j} c_i = \frac{3}{4} \theta^4,$$

(3.19)
$$\sum_{i,j,k} b_i(\theta) a_{ij} c_j \omega_{jk} c_{k+1}^2 = \frac{\theta^4}{6},$$

(3.20)
$$\sum_{i,j,k,l} b_i(\theta) a_{ij} \omega_{jk} c_{k+1}^2 \omega_{jl} c_{l+1}^2 = \frac{\theta^4}{3}$$

In order to derive a half-explicit continuous Runge - Kutta method (2.1) - (2.4) we have therefore to determine a_{ij} , c_i , $b_i(\theta)$ to satisfy the system (3.1) - (3.20).

At first site the solution of this algebraic nonlinear system seems to be a utopia; we will nevertheless notice that it can be considerably simplified under certain circumstances.

4 SIMPLIFICATION OF OEDER CONDITIONS

PROPOSITION 4.1 If $b_2(\theta) \equiv 0$ and a_{ij} , c_i , $i = \overline{2,s}; j = \overline{1,i-1}$ satisfy the relations

(4.1)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} C_j = \frac{1}{2} C_i^2, \quad i = 3, 4, 5, 6,$$

then we also have

(4.2)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{i} \omega_{ij} c_{j+1}^{2} = 2 c_{i} + \omega_{i1} c_{2}^{2}, \quad i = \overline{1,6}.$$

The proof goes the same as in [1], we will therefore not go into further details.

PROPOSITION 4.2 If $b_2(\theta) \equiv 0$ and moreover

(4.3)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} c_j^2 = \frac{1}{3} c_i^3, \quad i=3,4,5,6,$$

then we also have

(4.4)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{i} \omega_{ij} c_{j+1}^{3} = 3 c_{i}^{2} + \omega_{i1} c_{i}^{3}, \quad i = \overline{1,6}$$

Proof. The relations (4.3) can be written as

$$A \begin{pmatrix} c_1^2 \\ c_2^2 \\ \vdots \\ c_5^3 \\ c_5^2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_3^3 \\ c_4^3 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ c_6^3 \\ c_7^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c_7 = 1$$

Hence by multiplication with A^{-1} on the left, we will get

$$3I\begin{pmatrix} c_1^2 \\ c_2^3 \\ \vdots \\ c_6^2 \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{A}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_3^3 \\ c_4^3 \\ c_5^3 \\ c_6^3 \\ c_7^3 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where I is the identity matrix of order 6. This relation can be written

$$\sum_{j=1}^{i} \omega_{ij} c_{j+1}^3 = 3 c_i^2, \quad i = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$$

If we add $\omega_{ii}c_2^3$ to the two members of the above ralation we will get the very relation (4.4).

PROPOSITION 4.3 If $b_2(\theta) \equiv 0$, (4.1) and (4.2) hold, and moreover $b_i(\theta) \omega_{ij} = 0$, $i = \overline{1,6}; \theta \in [0,1]$ then the system (3.1) - (3.20) is reduced to the equations (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) (3.7), that is to $b_1(\theta) + b_2(\theta) + b_3(\theta) + b_6(\theta) = \theta$,

(4.6)
$$b_3(\theta) C_3 + b_4(\theta) C_4 + b_5(\theta) C_5 + b_6(\theta) C_6 = \frac{\theta^3}{2}$$
,

(4.7)
$$b_3(\theta) c_3^2 + b_4(\theta) c_4^2 + b_5(\theta) c_5^2 + b_6(\theta) c_6^2 = \frac{\theta^3}{3},$$

(4.8)
$$b_3(\theta) c_3^3 + b_4(\theta) c_4^3 + b_5(\theta) c_5^3 + b_6(\theta) c_6^3 = \frac{\theta^4}{4}.$$

Proof. Let us notice that the equation (3.4) is satisfied on the hypothesis that (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) hold

$$\sum_{ij} b_i(\theta) \; a_{ij} c_j = \sum_i b_i(\theta) \; \cdot \sum_j \; a_{ij} c_j = \sum_{i=1}^6 \; b_i(\theta) \; \frac{c_i^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \; \sum_{i=1}^6 \; b_i(\theta) \; c_i^2 = \frac{1}{2} \; \frac{\theta^3}{3} = \frac{\theta^3}{6} \; .$$

Here we have made use of the relation (4.1) valid for i=3,4,5,6; as for i=1 we have $c_1=0$ and for i=2 we have $b_2(\theta)=0$. Likewise for the equation (3.5) we have

$$\sum_{i,j} b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \, \omega_{ij} c_{j+1}^{2} = \sum_{i} b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \sum_{j} \, \omega_{ij} c_{j+1}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i2} c_{2}^{2}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right) \, c_{i} \left(2 \, c_{i} + \omega_{i}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{6} \, b_{i}\left(\theta\right$$

$$2\sum_{i=1}^{6}\,b_{i}\left(\theta\right)\,c_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{6}\,b_{i}\left(\theta\right)\,\omega_{i1}c_{i}\,c_{2}^{2}=2\cdot\frac{\theta^{3}}{3}+0=\frac{2}{3}\,\theta^{3}\,,$$

In a similar way we can prive that the equations (3.6), (3.8)-(3.20) are satisfied in our hypothesis. For example for the equation (3.14) we have, on the basis of proposition 4.2.

$$\sum_{i,j} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \omega_{ij} c_{j+1}^{3} = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \; \sum_{j} \omega_{ij} c_{j+1}^{3} = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left(3 \, c_{i}^{3} + \omega_{ii} c_{3}^{3}\right) = \sum_{i} b_{i}(\theta) \; c_{i} \left$$

$$=3\sum_{i=1}^{6} b_{i}(\theta) C_{i}^{3}+C_{2}^{3}\sum_{i=1}^{6} b_{i}(\theta) \omega_{ij}C_{i}=3\frac{\theta^{4}}{4}.$$

REMARK 4.1. Given the fact that $\omega_{11}a_{21}=1$, we have $\omega_{11}\neq 0$ and by hypothesis $b_i(\theta)\omega_{i1}=0$, $i=\overline{1,6}$, we get $b_1(\theta)=0$.

5. THE EFFECTIVE DERIVATION OF A FAMILY OF HALF-EXPLICIT METHODS

COROLLARY 5.1. In order to obtain a continuous half-explicit method of order 4 with 6 stages it suffices that we determine the parameters of the method a_{ij} , c_i , $b_i(\theta)$ so as to have the equations (4.5) - (4.8) satisfied and, in addition,

(5.1)
$$C_1 = 0$$
, $D_1(\theta) \equiv 0$, $D_2(\theta) \equiv 0$,

(5.2)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} = c_i, \quad i = \overline{2,6},$$

(5.3)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} c_j = \frac{c_2^2}{2}, \quad i = \overline{3, 6},$$

(5.4)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{j-1} a_{ij} c_j^2 = \frac{1}{3} c_i^3, \quad i = \overline{3, 6},$$

(5.5)
$$\omega_{31} = \omega_{41} = \omega_{51} = \omega_{61} = 0$$

The proof of the corolary results from propositions 4.1, 4.2, 4.3.

PROPOSITION 5.1. If c_3 , c_4 , c_5 are real distinct numbers and differ from 1 then the polynomials $b_i(\theta)$, i=3,6 which satisfy the system (4.5) - (4.8) are given by the relations

$$b_3(\theta) = \frac{-1}{(C_4 - C_3)(C_5 - C_3)(1 - C_3)} \left[\frac{\theta^4}{4} - \frac{\theta^3}{3}(1 + C_4 + C_5) + \frac{\theta^2}{2}(C_4 + C_4 + C_4 C_5) - C_4 C_5 \theta \right],$$
(5.7)

$$b_{4}\left(\theta\right) = \frac{1}{\left(\left.C_{4} - C_{3}\right)\right.\left(\left.C_{5} - C_{4}\right)\right.\left(\left.1 - C_{4}\right)\right.} \left[\frac{\theta^{4}}{4} - \frac{\theta^{3}}{3}\left.\left(1 + C_{3} + C_{5}\right) + \frac{\theta^{2}}{2}\left.\left(\left.C_{3} + C_{5} + C_{3}C_{5}\right) - C_{3}C_{5}\theta\right.\right],$$

$$D_{5}(\theta) = \frac{-1}{\left(C_{5} - C_{3}\right) \left(C_{5} - C_{4}\right) \left(1 - C_{5}\right)} \left[\frac{\theta^{4}}{4} - \frac{\theta^{3}}{3} \left(1 + C_{3} + C_{4}\right) + \frac{\theta^{2}}{2} \left(C_{3} + C_{4} + C_{3}C_{4}\right) - C_{3}C_{4}\theta\right],$$

(5.9)

$$\dot{p}_{\epsilon}(\theta) =$$

$$=\frac{1}{(1-C_3)(1-C_4)(1-C_5)}\left[\frac{\theta^4}{4}-\frac{\theta^3}{3}\left(C_3+C_4+C_5\right)+\frac{\theta^2}{2}\left(C_3C_4+C_3C_5+C_4C_5\right)-C_3C_4C_5\theta\right].$$

Proof. Assuming that c_3, c_4, c_5 are distinct and differ from 1, the linear system in unknowns $b_i(\theta)$ (4.5) - (4.8) has determinant different from 0 and then the system has a unique solution which can be found easily and we will get (5.6) - (5.9).

PROPOSITION 5.2. A family of solutions depending on one parameter for the system (5.2) - (5.4) is

$$c_{1} = 0, \quad a_{21} = c_{2}, \quad a_{31} = \frac{3}{8} c_{2}, \quad a_{32} = \frac{9}{8} c_{2}, \quad c_{3} = \frac{3}{2} c_{2},$$

$$a_{41} = a_{42} = 0, \quad a_{43} = \frac{9}{4} c_{2}, \quad c_{4} = \frac{9}{4} c_{2},$$

$$a_{51} = a_{52} = 0, \quad a_{53} = \frac{c_{5} (9 c_{2} - 2 c_{5})}{3 c_{2}}, \quad a_{54} = \frac{2 c_{5} (c_{5} - 3 c_{2})}{3 c_{2}},$$

$$c_{5} = \frac{9 (7 + \sqrt{17})}{8} c_{2}, \quad c_{6} = 1, \quad a_{61} = a_{62} = 0,$$

$$a_{63} = \frac{18 c_{2} c_{5} - 9 c_{2} - 12 c_{5} + 8}{9 c_{2} (3 c_{2} - 2 c_{5})}, \quad a_{64} = \frac{4 (18 c_{2} c_{5} - 9 c_{2} - 6 c_{5} + 4)}{9 c_{2} (4 c_{5} - 9 c_{2})},$$

$$a_{65} = \frac{81 c_{2}^{2} - 72 c_{2} c_{5} + 24 c_{5} - 9 c_{2} - 8}{3 (2 c_{5} - 3 c_{5}) (4 c_{5} - 9 c_{5})},$$

where $c_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0,1\}$ represents the parameter.

The conclusion is arrived at as a consequence of very elaborate computation from the relations (5.2) - (5.5), forming for each and every $i \in \{2,3,4,5,6\}$ a linear system in a_{ij} whose solution lead us to the values (5.10).

COROLLARY 5.2. The coefficients $a_{ij}, c_i, i = \overline{2,6}, j = \overline{1,i-1}$ given by (5.10) together with the weighted polynomials $b_i(\pmb{\theta})$, given by (5.6) - (5.9) where c_3, c_4, c_5 have the values in (5.10) $b_1(\theta) = 0$, $b_2(\theta) = 0$, will provide a family of continuous halfexplicit Runge - Kutta type methods having the uniform order p=4 and s=6 stages.

The proof of the statement is immediate taking into consideration the fact that the system (3.1)-(3.20) can be reduced to the system (5.6)-(5.9) in the hypotheses (5.1)-(5.5).

COROLLARY 5.3. A particular continuous half explicit method of order 4 with 6 stages obtained by the choice $c_2 = \frac{1}{6}$ is given in the

(5.11) - (5.12) has the property that

(5.13)
$$b'_{i+3}(\theta) = L_i(\theta), i=1,2,3,$$

where $L_i(\theta)$ is the i-th Lagrange elementary interpolation the set of the nodes polynomial with respect to $\{c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6\} = \left\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{3(7+\sqrt{17})}{16}, 1\right\}$ corresponding to non-zero weights. The statesment can be checked easily.

REFERENCES

1. BRASEY V., HAIRER B, Half-explicit Runge - Kutta methods for differential-algebraic systems of index 2, SIAM. J. Numer. Anal.,202(1993),538-512

2. BUTHER J.C., The numerical analysis of ordinary differential

equations, Wiley, Chichester (1987)

3. CALVO M, MONTIJANO J.I., RÁNDEZ L, A fifth order interpolant for the Dormand and Prince Runge - Kutta method , J. Comp. Appl. Math., 29 (1990) pag. 91-100

4. CARNICER J.M., A lower bound for the number of stages of an explicit continuous Runge - Kutta methods to obtain convergence of given order, BIT, 31(1991), pag.364-368

5. DORMAND J.R., PRINCE, P.J., A family of embedded Runge - Kutta

formulae, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 6(1980), pag. 19-26
6. DORMAND, J.R., PRINCE, P.J. Runge - Kutta triples, Comput .Math. Appl. 12A (1986), pp. 1007-1017

7. DORMAND J.R., PRINCE, P.J., Practical Runge - Kutta processes,

SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput., 5(1989), pp. 977-989. 8. FÜHRER C, LEIMKUHLER, B., Numerical solution of differential-algebraic equations for constrained mechanical motion, Numer. Math., 59(1991), 55-69.
9. HAIRER E, LUBICH CH., ROCHE M, The Numerical Solution of

Differential-Algebraic System by Runge - Kutta Methods, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 1409, Springer Verlag, Berlin- New-York (1989)

10.MUIR P., OWREN B., Order barriers and characterizations for continuous mono-implicit Runge - Kutta schemes, Math. Comp. 61, 204(1993), 675-699

11. OWREN B., ZENNARO M., Order barriers for continuous explicit Runge - Kutta methods, Math. Comp. 56.(1991), 645-661

12. SHAMPINE L.F., Interpolation for Runge - Kutta methods, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 22(1985), 1014-1027

13. SHAMPINE L.F., Some practical Runge - Kutta formulas, Math.Comp., 173(1986), 135-150

14. VERNER J., Differentiable interpolants for high-order Runge - Kutta methods, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 30, 5(1993) 1446-1466

15. ZENNARO M., Natural continuous extensions of Runge - Kutta methods, Math. Comp. 46(1986), pp. 119-133

Received at: 02.09.1996

University of Baia Mare Str. Victoriei nr. 76 RO-4800 Baia Mare ROMANIA