

COLLOCATOIN METHOD OF SOLVING NONLINEAR SINGULAR
INTEGRAL EQUATIONS GIVEN ON CLOSED SMOOTH CONTOUR

Vladislav SEICHIUC

Let Γ be a closed smooth contour [1, p.14] bounding a simple connected region F^+ of the complex plane C containing the point $z = 0$. In the Banach space of functions $H_\beta(\Gamma)$ [1, c.173] satisfying on Γ the Hölder condition with the exponent β ($0 < \beta < 1$) consider a nonlinear singular integral equation (SIE) of the form

$$A(\varphi) \equiv \Phi[t; \varphi(t); S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau))] = f(t), \quad (1)$$

where $\Phi[t; u; v]$ ($t \in \Gamma$; $|u|, |v| < \infty$), $h(t, \tau; u)$ ($t, \tau \in \Gamma$, $|u| < \infty$) and $f(t)$ are known continuous functions of their arguments, the singular integral

$$S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau)) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{h(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau))}{\tau - t} d\tau, \quad t \in \Gamma,$$

is understood in the meaning of Cauchy principal value, and $\varphi(t)$ ($t \in \Gamma$) is an unknown function.

In this work we propose a computing scheme of collocation method for the equations (1) and using the results of [2, p.75] give a theoretical foundation of this scheme in the Hölder spaces. Note that earlier in the paper of the author [3] the foundation of collocation method for the equation (1) is obtained for the case of Lyapunov's contour Γ [1, p.14]. In present work the following results are obtained: 1) the class of contours Γ is essentially extended; 2) the basis of method is carried out for the case, when the searching solution $\varphi(t)$ of the equation (1) belongs to the space $H_\alpha^r(\Gamma)$, $r = 0, 1, \dots$, that is the function $\varphi(t)$ is r -times differentiable and $\varphi^{(r)}(t) \in H_\alpha(\Gamma)$; 3) the conditions on functions $\Phi[t; u; v]$ and $h(t, \tau; u)$ and

conditions of reversing of the operator $A'(\varphi)$ in $H_\beta(\Gamma)$ are defined more precisely; 4) the estimation of convergence rate of approximative solution in Hölder's spaces ($ln(n)$ instead of $ln^4(n)$) is essentially improved; 5) the proof of convergence is simplified.

We seek for the approximate solution of nonlinear SIE (1) as a polynomial

$$\varphi_n(t) = \sum_{k=-n}^n \alpha_k t^k \quad (t \in \Gamma), \quad (2)$$

the unknown coefficients of which $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=-n}^n$ will be determinated from the system of nonlinear equations (SNE)

$$\Phi[t_j; \varphi_n(t_j); \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{h(t_j, \tau; \varphi_n(\tau))}{\tau - t_j} d\tau] = f(t_j), \quad j = \overline{0, 2n}, \quad (3)$$

where $\{t_j\}_{j=0}^{2n}$ is a set of pairwise distinct points on Γ .

Theorem. Let the following conditions be fulfilled:

- 1) the functions $\Phi[t; u; v] \in H_{\alpha, 1, 1}(\Gamma)$ and $h(t, \tau; u) \in H_{\mu, 0, 1}(\Gamma)$ ($0 < \alpha \leq \mu \leq 1$) satisfy the conditions

$$\Phi_{uv}''[t; u; v], \Phi_{vv}''[t; u; v], \Phi_{uu}''[t; u; v] \in H_{\alpha, 1, 1}(\Gamma); h_{uv}''(t, \tau; u) \in H_{\mu, 0, 1}(\Gamma);$$

- 2) the nonlinear SIE (1) in some sphere of the space $H_\beta(\Gamma)$ ($0 < \beta < \alpha \leq 1$) has a unique solution $\varphi(t) \in H_\alpha^r(\Gamma)$, $r = 0, 1, \dots$;

- 3) $C_\varphi^2(t) - D_\varphi^2(t) \neq 0$ ($t \in \Gamma$), and $[(C_\varphi(t) + D_\varphi(t)) \cdot (C_\varphi(t) - D_\varphi(t))]^{-1} = 0$ ($t \in \Gamma$), where

$$C_\varphi(t) = \Phi_u'[t; \varphi(t); S, h(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau))] \in H_{\alpha, 1, 1}^r(\Gamma),$$

$$D_\varphi(t) = \Phi_v'[t; \varphi(t); S, h(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau))] \cdot h_u'(t, t; \varphi(t)) \in H_{\alpha, 1, 1}^r(\Gamma);$$

- 4) $\dim \text{Kern } A'(\varphi) = 0$, where A' is defined in the following way:
 $\forall \varphi^o(t) \in H_\beta(\Gamma)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (A'(\varphi^o)g)(t) &\equiv \Phi_u'[t; \varphi^o(t); S, h(t, \tau; \varphi^o(\tau))]g(t) + \\ &+ \Phi_v'[t; \varphi^o(t); S, h(t, \tau; \varphi^o(\tau))] \cdot S_\tau[h_u'(t, \tau; \varphi^o(\tau))g(\tau)] = \\ &= C_{\varphi^o}(t)g(t) + D_{\varphi^o}(t)S_\tau g(\tau) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \Phi_v'[t; \varphi^o(t); S, h(t, \tau; \varphi^o(\tau))] \frac{h_u'(t, \tau; \varphi^o(\tau)) - h_u'(t, t; \varphi^o(\tau))}{\tau - t} g(\tau) d\tau; \end{aligned}$$

5) the points $t_j (j = 0, 2n)$ form on Γ a system of Fejer's nodes [4, p.36], i.e.

$$t_j = \psi(w_j), w_j = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}(j-n)\right), t^2 = -1, j = 0, 2n,$$

$t = \psi(w)$ is the Riemann's function which realizes the conform mapping of exterior of the unitary circumference $\Gamma_0 (= |W| = 1)$ on the exterior of $F^+ \cup \Gamma$ such that $\psi(\infty) = \infty, \psi'(\infty) = \text{const} > 0$.

Then there exists a $2n+1$ dimensional point $\{y_k\}_{k=-n}^n$, in a neighborhood of which SNE (3) has a unique solution $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=-n}^n$ for all n beginning with a certain one. The approximate solutions (2) converge as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the norm of $H_\beta(\Gamma)$ to $\varphi(t)$ for any function $f(t) \in H_n^r(\Gamma)$. For the rate of convergence the following estimation holds

$$\|\varphi - \varphi_n\|_\beta = O(n^{-r+\beta-\nu(\alpha)} \cdot \ln(n)) H(\varphi^{(r)}; \sigma(\alpha)), \quad (4)$$

where $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$, if $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha - \varepsilon$ if $\alpha = 1$.

Proof. By conditions 1) of the theorem the nonlinear operator A , determined by the left-hand side of the equation (1) is Fréchet differentiable [5] at every point φ^0 of $H_\beta(\Gamma)$ ($0 < \beta < \alpha \leq 1$) and its derivative has the form described by condition 4) of the theorem. Moreover, in the sphere $\|\varphi - \varphi^0\|_\beta \leq r$ ($r > 0$) of $H_\beta(\Gamma)$, the linear operator A' satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$\|A'(g_1) - A'(g_2)\|_\beta \leq L \|g_1 - g_2\|_\beta, \quad (5)$$

where L is a completely definite constant, its value depends on r , on element $\varphi^0(t) \in H_\beta(\Gamma)$ and on functions Φ and h .

Let $\chi(t)$ be an arbitrary continuous on Γ function and

$$U_n(\chi) = U_n(\chi, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \chi(t_j) l_j(t)$$

its interpolating Lagrange polynomial, constructed by nodes $\{t_j\}_{j=0}^{2n} \subset \Gamma$, where

$$l_j(t) = \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^{2n} \left(\frac{t - t_k}{t_j - t_k} \right) \cdot \binom{t_j}{t}, \quad j = 0, 2n, t \in \Gamma.$$

Then SNE (3) can be written as an equation

$$A_n(\varphi_n) \equiv U_n[\Phi[t; \varphi_n(t); S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi_n(\tau))]] = U_n f \quad (t \in \Gamma), \quad (6)$$

where A_n is a nonlinear operator on the surface $X_n(\Gamma)$ of polynomial functions of the form (2) with the same norm as in $H_\beta(\Gamma)$. By the same conditions 1) of the theorem, A_n is also Fréchet differentiable at every point.

φ_n^0 of X_n and its derivative has the form

$$\begin{aligned} A'_n(\varphi_n^0)g_n &= U_n\{\Phi'_n[t; \varphi_n^0(t); S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi_n^0(\tau))] \varphi_n(t)\} + \\ &+ U_n\{\Phi'_n[t; \varphi_n^0(t); S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi_n^0(\tau))] [S_r[h'_n(t, \tau; \varphi_n^0(\tau))]\varphi_n(\tau)]\} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Now let the nodes $\{t_j\}_{j=0}^{2n} \subset \Gamma$ be computed in accordance with condition 6) of the theorem. Then [2, p.49]

$$\|U_n\|_{\beta} \leq \mu_1 + \mu_2 \cdot \ln(2n+1) \quad (8)$$

where, here and later on, $\mu_k (k = 1, 2, \dots)$ are completely definite constants not depending on n .

Hence, using (8), the linear operator $A'_n(\varphi_n^0)$ defined in (7) satisfies Lipschitz condition (5) with the new constant

$$\|A'_n(z_n^1) - A'_n(z_n^2)\|_{\beta} \leq (\mu_2 + \mu_4 \cdot \ln(2n+1)) \|z_n^1 - z_n^2\|_{\beta} \quad (9)$$

Let $\varphi_n^*(t) \in X_n$ be the best uniform approximation polynomial of $\varphi(t)$. Find the estimation of $\|A'(\varphi) - A'(\varphi_n^*)\|_{\beta}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|A'(\varphi)g(t) - A'(\varphi_n^*)g(t)\|_{\beta} &\leq \|\Phi'_n[t; \varphi(t); S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau))] - \\ &- \Phi'_n[t; \varphi_n^*(t); S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi_n^*(\tau))]g(t)\|_{\beta} + \\ &+ \|\Phi'_n[t; \varphi(t); S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau))] \cdot S_r[h'_n(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau))g(\tau)] - \\ &- \Phi'_n[t; \varphi_n^*(t); S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi_n^*(\tau))] \cdot S_r[h'_n(t, \tau; \varphi_n^*(\tau))g(\tau)]\|_{\beta} \leq \\ &\leq \|\Phi'_n[t; \varphi(t); S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau))] - \Phi'_n[t; \varphi_n^*(t); S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau))]\|_{\beta} g(t) + \\ &+ \|\Phi'_n[t; \varphi_n^*(t); S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau))] - \Phi'_n[t; \varphi_n^*(t); S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi_n^*(\tau))]\|_{\beta} g(t) + \\ &+ \|\Phi'_n[t; \varphi(t); S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau))] \cdot S_r[h'_n(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau))g(\tau)] - \\ &- \Phi'_n[t; \varphi_n^*(t); S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau))] \cdot S_r[h'_n(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau))g(\tau)]\|_{\beta} + \\ &+ \|\Phi'_n[t; \varphi_n^*(t); S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau))] S_r[h'_n(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau))g(\tau)] - \\ &- \Phi'_n[t; \varphi_n^*(t); S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi_n^*(\tau))] S_r[h'_n(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau))g(\tau)]\|_{\beta} + \\ &+ \|\Phi'_n[t; \varphi_n^*(t); S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi_n^*(\tau))] S_r[h'_n(t, \tau; \varphi(\tau))g(\tau)] - \\ &- \Phi'_n[t; \varphi_n^*(t); S_r h(t, \tau; \varphi_n^*(\tau))] S_r[h'_n(t, \tau; \varphi_n^*(\tau))g(\tau)]\|_{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

From condition 1) of the theorem, lemma 6.1 [2, p.55] and boundness of the operator S_r on $H_{\beta}(\Gamma)$ we get

$$\|A'(\varphi) - A'(\varphi_n^*)\|_{\beta} \leq \frac{\mu_5}{n^{r+\sigma(\alpha)-\beta}} H(\varphi^{(r)}; \sigma(\alpha)).$$

Hence from the reversibility of $A'(\varphi)$ and from Banach theorem it follows that for all n ($n \geq n_1$) such as

$$\frac{\mu_5}{n^{r+\sigma(\alpha)-\beta}} H(\varphi^{(r)}; \sigma(\alpha)) \| [A'(\varphi)]^{-1} \|_{\beta} d_n \leq q < 1,$$

the operator $A'(\varphi_n^*)$ is also reversible in $H_\beta(\Gamma)$, and

$$\| [A'(\varphi_n^*)]^{-1} \|_{\beta} \leq \frac{\| [A'(\varphi)]^{-1} \|_{\beta}}{1 - d_n} \leq \frac{\| [A'(\varphi)]^{-1} \|_{\beta}}{1 - q} = \mu_6.$$

By the theorem about the continuity of the function index [6, p.101], changing there φ on φ_n^* , the conditions 3)-5) of the theorem are fulfilled, as $n \geq n_1$. From (6) it follows that the operator $A'_n(\varphi_n^*)$ is the operator of collocation method for the operator $A'(\varphi_n^*)$. Thus for the operators $A'(\varphi_n^*)$ and $A'_n(\varphi_n^*)$ all the conditions of the theorem 8.1 [2, p.75] are fulfilled. Therefore for sufficiently large n ($n \geq n_2 \geq n_1$) the operator $A'_n(\varphi_n^*)$ is reversible in X_n and the estimation holds

$$\| [A'_n(\varphi_n^*)]^{-1} \|_{\beta} \leq \mu_7. \quad (10)$$

From the estimation (9) and (10) it follows that for sufficiently small r_1 the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\|\psi_n - \varphi_n^*\|_{\beta} \leq r_1} \| [A'_n(\varphi_n^*)]^{-1} [A'_n(\psi_n) - A'_n(\varphi_n^*)] \|_{\beta} &\leq \\ &\leq \mu_7 (\mu_3 + \mu_4 \cdot \ln(2n+1)) r_1 \quad (q < 1), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

holds, where $\psi_n \in X_n$.

Let $f(t) \in H_\beta^\alpha(\Gamma)$. Using the well known formula of finite increments for operators, the estimation (10) and lemma 6.1 [2, p.55], we find that for all n beginning with a certain one ($n \geq n_1 \geq n_2$) the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n &\equiv \| [A'_n(\varphi_n^*)]^{-1} [A_n(\varphi_n^*) - U_n A(\varphi)] \|_{\beta} \leq \\ &\leq \mu_7 (\mu_3 + \mu_4 \cdot \ln(2n+1)) \| A \|_{\beta} \frac{\mu_8}{n^{r+\sigma(\alpha)-\beta}} H(\varphi^{(r)}; \sigma(\alpha)) \leq r_1 (1-q). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

holds.

From the estimation (11), (12) and lemma [7, p.277], putting there $T = A_n$, we obtain that the equation $A_n \varphi_n = U_n f$ has in the sphere $\| \psi_n - \varphi_n^* \|_{\beta} \leq r_1$ the unique solution $\varphi_n(t)$ and the estimation

$$\frac{\rho_n}{1+q} \leq \| \varphi_n - \varphi_n^* \|_{\beta} \leq \frac{\rho_n}{1-q}$$

is proved. This means that for $n \geq n_3$ in some neighbourhood of $(2n+1)$ -dimensional point $\{y_k\}_{k=-n}^n (y_k : \varphi_n^*(t) = \sum_{k=-n}^n y_k t^k)$ the system of nonlinear equations (3) has the unique solution $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=-n}^n$. For approximative solution (2) the following estimation

$$\|\varphi_n^* - \varphi_n\|_2 \leq \frac{\rho_0}{1-q} \leq \frac{\mu_0 + \mu_{10} \cdot \ln(2n+1)}{n^{r+\sigma(\alpha)-\beta}} H(\varphi^*; \sigma(\alpha))$$

is obtained. From the last inequality, triangle inequality and lemma 6.1 [2, p.55] the estimation (4) follows. The theorem is proved.

References

- [1] Muskhelishvili N.I. Singular integral equations. M.: Nauka, 1968. English transl.: Noordhoff, 1972.
- [2] Zolotarevskii V.A. Finite dimensional methods of solving singular integral equations on closed contours of integration (Russian). Chisinau: Stiintsa, 1991.
- [3] Sechinc V.N. On convergence of collocation method and method of mechanical quadratures for singular integral equations give on Lyapunov contour (Russian) // Dif. yavnenia. 1984. V.20, No.7. P.1267-1275.
- [4] Smirnov V.M., Lebedev N.A. Constructive theory of complex variable functions (Russian). M.-L.: Nauka, 1964.
- [5] Gabdulhaev B.G., Gorlov V.E. Solving nonlinear singular equations by reduction method (Russian) // Izv. vuzov. Matem. 1986, No.2. P.3-13.
- [6] Gahov F.D. Boundary-value problems (Russian). M.: Nauka, 1977.
- [7] Krasnoselski M.A., Vainikko G.M., Zabreiko P.P., Rutitski Ia.B., Stet'senko B.Ia. Approximate solution of operator equations (Russian). M.: Nauka, 1969.

Faculty of Mathematics and Cybernetics, Moldova State University
str. Msteevici 60, MD 2009 Chisinau, Republic of Moldova
E-mail: sechinc@ccinf.usm.md

Received 20.10.1996