

Dedicated to Professor Ion PĂVĂLOIU on his 60th anniversary

COINCIDENCE POINTS FOR HYBRID CONTRACTIONS SATISFYING AN IMPLICIT RELATION

Valeriu POPA

Abstract. A general coincidence theorem for hybrid contractions satisfying an implicit relation is proved extending the main result from [1].

Key words and phrases: compatible mappings, coincidence points, hybrid contractions, implicit relations.

AMS (1991) Subject classification: 54H25, 47H10

1. Introduction. Let (X,d) be a metric space. We denote by $CB(X)$ the set of all nonempty closed bounded subsets of (X,d) and by H the Hausdorff Pompeiu metric on $CB(X)$

$$H(A,B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} d(x,B), \sup_{x \in B} d(x,A) \right\}$$

where $A,B \in CB(X)$ and

$$d(x,A) = \inf_{y \in A} \{d(x,y)\}.$$

Let $A,B \in CB(X)$ and $k > 1$. In what follows the following well known fact will be used [3]: For each $a \in A$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $d(a,b) \leq kH(A,B)$.

Let $\delta(A,B) = \sup \{d(x,y) : x \in A \text{ and } y \in B\}$ for all $A,B \in CB(X)$. If A consists of single valued "a" then we write $\delta(A,B) = \delta(a,B)$. If $\delta(A,B) = 0$ then $A=B=\{a\}$ [5].

Let S and T be two self mappings of a metric space (X,d) . Sessa [6] defines S and T to be weakly commuting if $d(STx, TSx) < d(Tx, Sx)$ for all $x \in X$. Jungck [2]

defines S and T to be compatible if $d(STx_n, TSx_n) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a

sequence in X such that $\lim Tx_n = \lim Sx_n = x$ for some $x \in X$. Clearly, commuting

mappings are weakly commuting and weakly commuting mappings are compatible, but neither implication is reversible (Ex. 1 [7] and ex. 2.2 [2]).

Let (X,d) be a metric space, $f: X \rightarrow X$ and $S: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ single and multivalued mappings, respectively.

Definition 1,[1]. The mappings f and S are said to be weakly commuting if for all $x \in X, fSx \in CB(X)$ and $H(Sfx, fSx) \leq d(fx, Sx)$.

Definition 2, [1] The mapping f and S are said to be compatible if $\lim d(fy_n, Sfx_n) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are sequences in X such that $\lim fx_n = \lim y_n = z$ for some $z \in X$, where $\{y_n\} \in Sx_n, n=1,2,\dots$.

Weakly commuting mappings f and S are compatible but implication is not reversible (Remark 1.1 and Ex.1.1[1])

Theorem 1. Let (X,d) be a complete metric space and let $S,T:(X,d) \rightarrow CB(X)$ be two multifunctions such that

$$H^m(Sx, Ty) \leq c \frac{d^p(x, Sx) + d^p(y, Ty)}{\delta^{p-m}(x, Sx) + \delta^{p-m}(y, Ty)}$$

holds for all x,y in X for which $\delta^{p-m}(x, Sx) + \delta^{p-m}(y, Ty) \neq 0$, where $0 < c < 1, m > 1, p \geq 2, m < p$. Then S and T have common fixed point and $F(S) = F(T)$, where

$$F(S) = \{x \in X : x \in Sx\}.$$

In this paper we give a general coincidence theorem for hybrid contractions, i.e. contractive conditions involving single-valued and multi-valued mappings, satisfying an implicit relation which generalize Theorem 1.

2. Implicit relations

Let \mathcal{F}_5 be the set of all functions $F(t_1, \dots, t_5) : \mathbb{R}_+^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $t_4 + t_5 \neq 0$ satisfying the following conditions:

K_1 : F is decreasing in variables t_2, t_3 and non-decreasing in variables t_4 and t_5 ,

K_2 : there exists $k > 1$ and $h \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(K_a) : u \leq kt \text{ and } F(t, u, v, u, v) \leq 0$$

$$(K_b) : u \leq kt \text{ and } F(t, v, u, v, u) \leq 0$$

implies $u \leq hv$.

Example 1. $F(t_1, \dots, t_5) = t_1 - c \left[(t_2^p + t_3^p) / (t_4^{p-m} + t_5^{p-m}) \right]^{lim}$ where $0 < c < 1,$

$m > 1, p \geq 2, m < p$ and $t_4 + t_5 \neq 0$.

K_1 : Obviously.

K_2 : Let $u > 0, u \leq kt$ and $F(t, u, v, u, v) \leq 0$ be, where $1 < k < (1/c)^{1/m}$. Then $u^p + u^m v^{p-m} - k^m c u^p - k^m c v^p \leq 0$. If $v=0$, then $u=0$, a contradiction. Thus $q^p(1-k^m c) + q^m - k^m c \leq 0$ where $q = u/v$. Let $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ be the function $f(q) = q^p(1-k^m c) + q^m - k^m c$. Then $f'(q) > 0$ for any $q > 0$, $f(0) < 0$ and $f(1) = 2(1-k^m c) > 0$. Let $h \in (0, 1)$ be the root of the equation $f(q) = 0$, then $f(q) \leq 0$ for $q \leq h$, thus $u \leq hv$, where $h \in (0, 1)$.

Similarly, $u > 0, u \leq kt$ and $F(t, v, u, v, u) \leq 0$ implies $u \leq hv$.

If $u=0$ then $u \leq hv$.

Example 2. $F(t_1, \dots, t_5) = t_1^3 + t_1^2 - t_1 - \frac{(bt_2 + ct_3)^2}{t_4 + t_5}$ where $0 < b+c < 1$ and $t_4 + t_5 \neq 0$.

K_1 : Obviously. K_2 : Let $u > 0, u \leq kt$ and $F(t, u, v, u, v) \leq 0$ where $1 < k \min \{ 1/b^2, 1/c^2, 2/(b+c)^2 \}$.

Then $t^3 + t^2 + t - \frac{(bu + cv)^2}{u + v} \leq 0$ which implies $t - \frac{(bu + cv)^2}{u + v} \leq 0$.

Then $u \leq kt \leq k \frac{(bu + cv)^2}{u + v}$ implies $u^2(1 - kb^2) + uv(1 - 2bck) - c^2 v^2 k \leq 0$.

If $v=0$ then $u=0$, a contradiction. Thus $q^2(1 - kb^2) + q(1 - 2bck) - kc^2 \leq 0$ where $q = u/v$. Let $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ be the function $f(q) = (1 - kb^2) + uv(1 - 2bck)q - c^2 k$.

Then $f(0) < 0$ and $f(1) = 2 - k(b+c)^2 > 0$. Let $h_1 \in (0, 1)$ the root of the equation

$f(t) = 0$, then $f(t) \leq 0$ for $t \leq h_1$ and thus $u \leq h_1 v$. Similarly, $u > 0, u \leq kt$ and

$F(t, v, u, v, u) \leq 0$ implies $u \leq h_2 v$, where $h_2 \in (0, 1)$. Then $u \leq hv$, where

$h = \max \{ h_1, h_2 \}$ and $h \in (0, 1)$. If $u=0$ then $u \leq hv$.

3. Main result

Theorem 2. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be continuous single-valued mappings and $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be H -continuous multi-valued mappings such that

$$(3.1) \quad T(X) \subset f(X) \text{ and } S(X) \subset g(X),$$

(3.2) The pairs $\{f, S\}$ and $\{g, T\}$ are compatible,

$$(3.3) \quad F(H(Sx, Ty), d(fx, Sx), d(y, Ty), \delta(fx, Sx), \delta(gy, Ty)) \leq 0 \text{ for all}$$

$x, y \in X$ with $\delta(fx, Sx) + \delta(gy, Ty) \neq 0$ for $F \in K_\delta$, then

1^o. f and S have a coincidence point and g and T have a coincidence point or

2^o. f and S and g and T have a common coincidence point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary but fixed element of X . Since $T(X) \subset f(X)$ and $k > 1$ there exist $x_1 \in X$ such that $y_1 = gx_1 \in Sx_0$. Since $T(X) \subset f(X)$ and $k > 1$ there exist $y_2 = fx_2 \in Tx_1$ such that

$$d(y_1, y_2) = d(gx_1, fx_2) \leq k H(Sx_0, Tx_1).$$

Similarly, there exists a point $x_3 \in X$ such that $y_3 = gx_3 \in Sx_2$ and

$$d(y_2, y_3) = d(fx_2, gx_3) \leq k H(Sx_2, Tx_1).$$

Inductively, we can obtain the sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ such that

$$(1) \quad y_{2n+1} = gx_{2n+1} \in Sx_{2n},$$

$$(2) \quad y_{2n+2} = fx_{2n+2} \in Tx_{2n+1},$$

$$(3) \quad d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \leq kH(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}) \text{ and}$$

$$(4) \quad d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \leq kH(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}), \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

First suppose that some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta(fx_n, Sx_{2n}) + \delta(gx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) = 0$.

Then $fx_{2n} \in Sx_{2n}$ and $gx_{2n+1} \in Tx_{2n+1}$ and so x_{2n} is a coincidence point of f and

S and x_{2n+1} is a coincidence point of g and T.

Similarly, $\delta(fx_{2n-2}, Sx_{2n+2}) + \delta(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, implies that x_{2n-2} is a coincidence point of f and S and x_{2n+1} is a coincidence point of g and T. Now, suppose that $\delta(fx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}) + \delta(gx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) \neq 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then by (3.3) we have successively

$$F(H(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}), d(fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), \delta(fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), \delta(gx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})) \leq 0$$

$$(5) \quad F(H(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+2}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-2})) \leq 0.$$

If $d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-2}) = 0$ then $fx_{2n} = gx_{2n+1} \in Sx_{2n}$ and

$gx_{2n+1} = fx_{2n+2} \in Tx_{2n+1}$ and thus x_{2n} is a coincidence point of f and S and x_{2n+1} is a coincidence point of g and T. Let $d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-2}) \neq 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by condition (K_b) , (4) and (5) we have

$$(6) \quad d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \leq h d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}).$$

Similarly, by (3.3) we have

$$(7) \quad F(H(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+2}), d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}), d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}), d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n-3}), d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})) \leq 0$$

If $d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}) + d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) = 0$, then $gx_{2n+1} = fx_{2n+2} \in Tx_{2n+1}$ and

$fx_{2n+2} = gx_{2n+3} \in Sx_{2n+2}$ and thus x_{2n+1} is a coincidence point f and S and x_{2n+2} is a coincidence point of g and T. Let $d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}) + d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \neq 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then by conditions (K_a) , (7) we have

$$(8) \quad d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}) \leq h d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}).$$

By (6) and (8) it follows that the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since (X, d) is a complete metric space, let $\lim gx_{2n+1} = \lim fx_{2n} = z$. Now, we will prove that $z \in Sz$, that is, z is a coincidence point of f and S. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(9) \quad d(fgx_{2n+1}, Sz) \leq d(fgx_{2n+1}, Sfx_{2n}) + H(Sfx_{2n}, Sz).$$

It follows from the H-continuity of S that

$$(10) \quad \lim H(Sfx_{2n}, Sz) = 0$$

since $fx_{2n} \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since f and S are compatible mapping and

$\lim f(u_n) = \lim v_n = z$ where $v_n = gx_{2n+1} \in Sx_{2n}$ and $u_n = x_{2n}$, we have

$$(11) \quad \lim d(fv_n, Sfx_{2n}) = \lim d(fgx_{2n+1}, Sfx_{2n}) = 0.$$

Thus from (9), (10) and (11) we have $\lim d(fgx_{2n+1}, Sz) = 0$ and so, from

$d(fz, Sz) \leq d(fz, fgx_{2n+1}) + d(fgx_{2n+1}, Sz)$ and the continuity, it follows that

$d(fz, Sz) = 0$, which implies that $fz \in Sz$ since Sz is a closed subset of X .

Similarly, we can prove that $gz \in Tz$, that is, z is a coincidence point of g and T .

This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] **I.J.Cho, Fisher and G.S.Geaga**, Coincidence theorems for nonlinear hybrid contractions, *Internat. J.Math. and Math.Sci.* 20(1997), 249-256
- [2] **G.Jungck**, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Internat.J. Math.and Math.Sci.*, 9(4), (1986), 771-779
- [3] **S.B.Nadler**, Multivalued contraction mappings, *Pacific J. Math.*, 20(2), (1969), 475-488
- [4] **V.Popa**, Common fixed point for multifunction satisfying a rational inequality, *Kobe J. Math.*, 2(1983), 23-28
- [5] **I.A.Rus**, Fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings in a complete metric space, *Math. Japonica*, 20(1975), 21-24
- [6] **S.Sessa**, On weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations, *Publ.Inst.Math.*, 32 (46), (1982), 146-153
- [7] **S.Sessa and B. Fisher**, Common fixed point of weakly commuting mappings, *Bull. Polish. Acad.Sci.Math.*, 36 (1987), 341-349

Received: 01.02.1999

Department of Mathematics
University of Bacău
5500-Bacău
ROMANIA
E-mail: vpopa@ub.ro