

TOPOLOGICAL MANIFOLDS WITH A L_p STRUCTURE

Daniel BREAZ, Nicoleta BREAZ

Abstract. In this paper we present the topological manifolds with L_p -structure. We describe the riemannian structure which determine the Hilbert-space, and prove some interesting properties. Finally it is presented an example for this manifolds

MSC: 55R35, 57R15

Keywords: varieties, measurable, fibrat, homeomorphism, differentiable.

Definition 1: A Riemmanian structure g on U is a measurable function of Lebesgue class and theirs values are in the set of R^n Euclidian structures. Such a structure determines a $\Omega^k(U, g)$ Hilbert space formed of ω complex, measurable differential forms of Lebesgue class, of k degree that verify the relation:

$\|\omega\|_g^2 = \int_U \lambda^k(g)(\omega, \omega) \mu_g < +\infty$, where $\lambda^k(g)$, (and μ_g) describes a quadric associated

in a canonical way to g on $\Lambda_c^k(T^*U)$. We define $\tau: U \rightarrow L(\Lambda_c(R^{n*}))$ de Hodge

involution field determined by $g: \tau\omega = \begin{cases} i^{p(p-1)+n} * \omega, & \text{if } n = 2k \\ i^{p(p-1)+n-1} * \omega, & \text{if } n = 2k - 1 \end{cases}$ where p is the degree of ω , and $*$ is the unitary field determined by $x \in U$.

Definition 2: Let $R(U)$ is an ensemble of Riemmanian structures g on U , which exists for $k = m, m+1$, and $1 \leq q_k \leq 2 \leq p_k < +\infty$, real, $B > 1$ satisfying the next relations:

$$(1) \quad L^p(U, \Lambda_c^k(T^*U)) \subset \Omega^k(U, g) \subset L^q(U, \Lambda_c^k(T^*U))$$

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n} > \frac{1}{q} + 1$$

$$(3) \quad g > B$$

Lemma 3 : Let p, q and $N > n$ real positive so that $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ and $p^{-1} + N^{-1} = q^{-1}$ and let $n_o = nN(N - n)^{-1}$. Whatever $\xi \in L^q(V, \Lambda_c(T^*V))$, $\delta_o(P_o + \Delta_o)^{-1} \xi$ belongs to $L^p(V, \Lambda_c(T^*V))$. The defined application is continuous and belongs to $L^{p, q}(L^q(V, \Lambda_c(T^*V)), L^p(V, \Lambda_c(T^*V)))$. Let $\theta : U \rightarrow W$ an homeomorphism derivable on opened from R^n , whose derivate θ' belongs to $L^p(U, End(R^n))$ for $p \geq 1$. We allege then that θ is derivable of p degree. Taking into consideration a theorem of Y. Reshetnyak in [9], an homeomorphism like that preserves the class of measure Lebesgue, and its derivate operates on measurable sections of tangent fibrate.

Definition 4: A topological variety is called derivable of p degree if the map transformations associated to its atlas are derivable of p degree.

It is possible then to define Riemmanian structures on V and the Hilbert space of the differentiable forms measurable on V of k degree and of square incorporable on a g structure which will be written down with $\Omega^k(V, g)$. Let a covering $O = (O_i)_{i \in I}$ of the open maps $\theta : O_i \rightarrow U \subset R^n$ we will jot down with $R(O)$ the Riemannian structures g where g_i notes the images on U_i of the g restrictions at O_i with $g_i \in R(U_i)$ and we will put $n(g) = \sup_i n(g_i)$. Let $B(O) \subset C(V)$ the dense subalgebra generated by subalgebras $C_o^\infty(U_i)$ pentru $i \in I$ i.e generated by the elements as $f = f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_N$, unde $f_i \in C_o^\infty(U_i)$.

If $g \in R(O)$, taking into consideration the relation (3) from the definition no. 2 we will demonstrate that the subspace generated by the union $C_o(U_i, \Lambda_c^k(T^*U_i))$ is a dense subspace of $\Omega^k(V, g)$. Thus the space $R(O)$ becomes a metrizable space for a family of semi-metrics determined by positive functions:

$g \mapsto \|\omega\|_g^2$; $g \mapsto p_k(g)$; $g \mapsto q_k(g)$ where ω traverse the union $C_o(U_i, \Lambda_c^k(T^*U_i))$ and $p_k(g)$ (and $q_k(g)$), for $k=m$, $m+1$ is the smallest (respective the highest) real number for which the inclusions from the definition no. 2 are verified on U_i .

Proposition 5. The metrizable topological space $R(O)$ is connected by arks.

Proof: This result is a consequence of the complex interpolation. Let U an opened relatively compact from R^n , și g_0, g_1 two elements from $R(U)$, $p_k^0, p_k^1, q_k^0, q_k^1$, associated real numbers, which verify the condition (1) from the definition no 2. There is a continuous inclusion of $\Omega(U, g_i)$, ($i=0,1$) in $L^2(U, \Lambda_c^k(T^*U))$ with $q = \min(q_0, q_1)$ so we may apply the complex interpolation to the spaces couple Hilbert $(\Omega^*(U, g_0), \Omega(U, g_1))$.

For $X \in R^n$, notam $\langle X, X \rangle$ the standard Euclidian scalar product, and for $i=0$ and $i=1$ let $x \mapsto A_i(x)$ a measurable field on U of positive matrices for any $X \in R^n$, for which we have equality almost everywhere in Lebesgue measure, $g_i(x)(X, X) = \langle A_i(x)X, X \rangle$.

Let $t \in [0,1]$: $A_t = A_0^{1/2} (A_0^{-1/2} A_1 A_0^{-1/2})^t A_0^{1/2}$

Then we have a canonical identification of $(\Omega^k(U, g_0), \Omega^k(U, g_1))$ cu $\Omega(U, g_t)$, where $g_t(x)(X, X) = \langle A_t(x)X, X \rangle$. For $\omega \in C^\infty(U, \Lambda_c^k(\mathbb{R}^{n,*}))$ we have indeed for $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\|\omega\|_{g_t}^2 = \int_U \langle \lambda^k(A_t^{-1}(x))\omega, \omega \rangle \sqrt{\det(A_t(x))} dx.$$

When applying the method from ([3], cap 5) we have in a canonical mode for ω of k degree, that the norm on $(\Omega^k(U, g_0), \Omega^k(U, g_1))$ is given by :

$$\int_U \langle B_t(x)\omega(x), \omega(x) \rangle dx, \text{ where}$$

$$B_t = \lambda^k(A_0)^{-1/2} (\lambda^k(A_0)^{1/2} \lambda^k(A_t^{-1}) \lambda^k(A_0)^{1/2}) \lambda^k(A_0)^{-1/2} \det(A_0(x)^{-1/2} A_t(x))^{1/2}, \quad \text{i.e}$$

$$B_t = \lambda^k(A_t^{-1}) \sqrt{\det(A_t(x))}.$$

The relations from the definition no 2 are being satisfied for $g(t)$ with:

$$\frac{1}{p_k(t)} = \frac{t}{p_k^0} + \frac{1-t}{p_k^1}, \quad \frac{1}{q_k(t)} = \frac{t}{q_k^0} + \frac{1-t}{q_k^1}$$

The application $t \mapsto g(t)$ is also continuous.

Obsevation 6 . The operator $A_t = A_0^{1/2} (A_0^{-1/2} A_t A_0^{-1/2}) A_0^{1/2}$, pt. $t \in [0, 1]$ is a particular case of the notion of linear positive mediation operator, introduced by T. Ando and F Kubo [1].

Obsevation 7 . Let $f: Z \rightarrow R(O)$ a continuous application. On the field $\mathcal{E} = (\Omega(V, f(t)))_{t \in Z}$ there is a unique field structure generated continually by the sections ω , $\omega \in \Sigma C_0(U_i, \Lambda_c^k(T^*U_i))$. So we have on \mathcal{E} a structure of $C_0(Z)$ - the canonical Hilbertian mode associated to f .

Also we fix a covering O of V , an element $g \in R(O)$, and let m the whole part of $\frac{n}{2}$. We will begin by defining:

$d: \Omega^k(V, g) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(V, g)$ Let $\omega \in \Omega^k$ and ω_i the image in U_i of its restriction at O_i .

We will say that $\omega \in \text{dom } d$ if $(\forall) \omega_i \in \text{dom } d_{U_i} \subset \Omega^{k+1}(U_i, g_i)$ and we define then $d\omega$ provided that $d\omega$ restriction at U_i is equal to $d_{U_i} \omega_i$. The next lemma demonstrates us that this definition makes sense and d is a dens domain.

Lemma 8. Let U and W two opens from R^n și $\theta: U \rightarrow W$ a derivable homeomorphism of $p \geq m+1$ degree and $g_1 \in R(U), g_2 \in R(W)$ Riemannian structures so that $\theta^*(g_2) = g_1$ and $\alpha \in \Omega^k(W, g_2)$, so that $d_{W^*} \alpha \in \Omega^{k+1}$. The next properties are true for $k = m-1, m$, if m is even and for $k = m$, if m is odd.

- 1) Thus there is $\beta_n \in C_c^\infty(R^n, \Lambda^k(T^*R^{n*}))$, so that if α_n is the restriction of β_n la W , then $\lim \alpha_n = \alpha$ and $\lim d_{W^*} \alpha_n = d_{W^*} \alpha$
- 2) $\theta^*(\alpha) \in \text{dom } d_U \subset \Omega(U, g_1)$ and $d_U \theta^*(\alpha) = \theta^*(d_{W^*} \alpha)$

Proof: Supposing that n is odd. If α support is compact in W then 1) is being reduced to the tor case. The general case is being deduced from transpositions. To demonstrate 2), it is sufficient to presume that α is the restriction at W of $\beta \in C_c^\infty(R^n, \Lambda^k(T^*R^{n*}))$, because d is closed. Forasmuch $\theta' \in L^p$ cu $p \geq m+1$, the differential forms $\theta^*(\alpha)$ și $\theta^*(d\alpha)$ are from L^1 .

Also let $\theta'_\epsilon: U \rightarrow R^n$ smooth applications (C^∞) (not necessarily bijective) that converge uniform towards θ and whose derivates θ'_ϵ converge towards θ' in L^p . For $\xi \in C_c^\infty(U, \Lambda^m(T^*U))$, the following equality is true:

$$\int_U \theta^*(\alpha) \wedge d\xi = \lim \int_U \theta'_\epsilon^*(\beta) \wedge d\xi = \lim (-1)^{m-1} \int_U \theta'_\epsilon^*(d\beta) \wedge \xi = (-1)^{m-1} \int_U \theta^*(d\alpha) \wedge \xi.$$

For the next theorem we will consider the next conditions:

V is an oriented compact variety of n dimension, which allows a derivable structure of $m+1$ degree, so that for all finite coverings of open maps $\theta_i : O_i \rightarrow U_i$, the space $R(O)$ is non-empty. For this variety we will be able to construct an analytical operator (if not taking into consideration an omotopy).

Theorem 9. *With the previous hypothesis, let a coverage O of V and $g \in R(O)$.*

If n is odd, the operator $D = \pi d$ on $\Omega^n(V, g)$ is defined densely, closed, autoadjunct and has a resolvent on its support in $L^{n(x)^*}$.

If n is even, the operator $D = d + d^*$ from $\Omega^n(V, g)$ in $\Omega^{n-1}(V, g) \oplus \Omega^{n+1}(V, g)$ is densely defined, closed, uncommutative vis a vis \mathcal{T} and has a resolvent in $L^{n(x)^*}$. Whatever n parity, for all $f \in \beta(O)$, the commutator $[D, f]$ is defined densely and edged (limited). The D operator is unique if not taking into consideration an omotopy. The last part of the theorem, for example in the even case, if O_i is on the other hand a coverage of the open maps of V and $g_i \in R(O_i)$ there is also a continuous field ε of Hilbert spaces on $[0, 1]$, so that $\varepsilon_0 = \Omega^n(V, g)$, $\varepsilon_1 = \Omega^n(V, g_1)$ and a family of continuous autoadjunct operators D on ε , a resolvent in the ideal of compact operators of Hilbertian module, so that $D_0 = D, D_1 = D_1$, is a dense involutorial subalgebra of $C(V \times [0, 1])$ so the commutative elements of D are edged.

In the even case, we will have an analogous description and we put $\Omega^n(V, g)$, as $\Omega^n(V, g) \oplus \Omega^{n-1}(V, g) \oplus \Omega^{n+1}(V, g)$.

Proof: Let $\ell_i \in B(O)$ a partition of the unit associated to the covering. The d domain contains the subspace from Ω^n generated by subspaces $C_c^\infty(U_i, \Lambda^n)$ which are dense.

Let $\omega \in \text{dom } d$ and $\omega_i = \ell_i \omega$. According to the previous lemma, there is $\alpha_k \in C_c^\infty(U_i, \Lambda^n)$ that converges towards ω_i so that $d\alpha_k$ converges towards $d\omega_i$; we will demonstrate that if n is odd, ad is autoadjunct and if n is even, the adjunct of d is $-\text{ad}^*$. Supposing that n is even and we proved that imd is closed.

Let d_i the closing of d on the essential domain $C_c^\infty(O_i, \Lambda^n(T^*O_i))$ and $'d_i$ their transposed on $\Omega^n(O_i)$. So we know that if d_i image is closed, by duality, also $\text{im } 'd_i$ is closed. There is $\omega_k \in \text{dom } 'd_i$ so that $'d_i \xi_k \rightarrow \lim(d\omega|_{O_i})$. We consider $\xi = \sum \ell_i \xi_i$ and

$\lim d\omega_k = d\xi$, therefore imd is closed, respective the inverse d^{-1} of d is continuous on its support. Let P the octagonal projector of $\Omega^n(V, g)$, on d support, and Q the octagonal projector of Ω^{n+1} on imd . For each i , let $\theta_i \rightarrow U_i \subset T^n$ an immersion and we fix an extension h_i on T^n and g_i on U_i . We will identify the differential forms with the support in O_i and those ones on T^n with the support in U_i . Let

$t: \Omega^{n+1}(T^n, h_i) \rightarrow \Omega^n(T^n, h_i)$ a continuous operator defined by lemma 3 and ξ of C^∞ class.

$$t' \xi = \delta_0 (P_0 + \Delta_0)^{-1} \xi.$$

Let $\psi_i \equiv 1$ on the support ℓ_i and with the support in U_i the limited interval $[d, \psi_i]$. We note with d_i the exterior difference on $\Omega(T^n, h_i)$. Let

$\ell_i: \Omega^{n+1}(V, g) \rightarrow \Omega^n(T^n, h_i)$ given by $\ell_i(\alpha) = d_i \psi_i d^{-1} Q \alpha$ and we presume

$$T_\alpha = \sum \ell_i \ell_i(\alpha).$$

We calculate dT this way: $dT \alpha = \sum_i \ell_i \ell_i'(\alpha) + \sum_i [d_i \ell_i] \ell_i'(\alpha)$.

We have $dT\alpha = Q + bQ$, where $b \in L^{n(s)}(\Omega^{n-1}, \Omega^n)$. Also we have $PT - d^{-1} \in L^{n(s)}$ and therefore $d^{-1} \in L^{n(s)}$.

In the case n - even, we obtain by an analogue reasoning that d image is closed and $d = d_0$, and $d^* = -\pi d \tau$ so $d + d^*$ anticommutes with τ . We construct the operator $T^k \in L(\Omega^{k+1}, \Omega^k)$, $T^k \alpha = \sum \ell_i \ell_i' \ell_i^k(\alpha)$, where ℓ_i^k, ℓ_i^k are being constructed as above. We obtain that $dT^{n-1} + T^n d = 1 + a$, with $a \in L^{n(s)}$, then $d^{-1}, d^{*-1} \in L^{n(s)}$ and finally that D is a resolvent in $L^{n(s)}$. This property is demonstrated in [9]. For the last stage, let O_2 a covering that contains at least O și O_1 and let $h_2 \in R(O_2)$. It is sufficient to demonstrate for h_2 .

Let $g(t)$ an optimal way in $R(O)$ with $g(0) = h_2$ and $g(1) = g$ obtained by a complex interpolation, as in the proposition 5. As πd is autoadjunct on the space generated by $C_c^\infty(U_i, \Lambda^m)$ we have that the family of operators $D = (D_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ operates on $C([0,1])$ module $(\Omega^*(V, g(t)))_{t \in [0,1]}$ is adjunct and it is the resolvent in the ideal of the compact operators of the module.

Finally, let W_j , for $1 \leq j \leq k$, opened of the covering O_2 . Subalgebra $C(V \times [0,1])$ generated by the union of the subalgebras $C_c^\infty(U_i \times [0,1])$ and $C_c^\infty(W_j \times [0,1])$ is dense and composed by elements that commute in D .

Example 10: Derivable varieties of p degree.

Let V is a topological variety whose maps that define its atlases are being defined by derivable homeomorphisms $\theta: U \rightarrow W$ unde $\theta' \in L^p$. The conditions from

the theorem 9 are being fulfilled if $p > \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. Taking into consideration the previous notations, let $(O_i)_{i \in I}$, a covering and $(g_i)_{i \in I}$, the specific metric of this covering. Let $A: U_i \rightarrow L(R^n)$ a measurable field of positive matrices on g_i , in comparison with the standard structure, and a norm on $(\Omega^k(U_i, g_i))$, given by

$$\left\{ \int_{U_i} \langle \lambda^k(A^{-1})\omega, \omega \rangle \det(A)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

If $a \in M_n(R)$, is a positive matrix ≥ 1 and we always have

$$\lambda^k(a^{-2}) \det(a) \leq \|a\|^{n-k} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^k(a^2) \det(a)^{-1} \leq \|a\|^k$$

Therefore $\lambda^k(A^{-1}) \det(A)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L^{\frac{p}{n-k}}$ and $\lambda^k(A) \det(A)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L^{\frac{p}{k}}$, which

according to Hölder inequality, lead us to the inclusion $L^p \subset \Omega^k(V, g) \subset L^q$,

where $p_k = \frac{2p}{p+k-n}$ and $q_k = \frac{2p}{p+k}$. If $n=2m+1$ then the relations

from the definition 2 are being satisfied if $\frac{p-m-1}{2p} + \frac{1}{2n} > \frac{p+m+1}{2p}$, i.e if

$p > n(m+1) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. If $n=2m$ then the relations from the definition 2 are being

satisfied $\frac{p-m}{2p} + \frac{1}{n} > \frac{p+m+1}{2p}$, i.e if $p > \frac{n(2m+1)}{2} = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

References.

- [1] T. Ando, F. Kubo- Means of positive linear operators, Math. Annalen 246 (1979) n.3, p.205-224.

- [2] M.F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi, I.M. Singer- Spectral asymmetry and Riemmanian Geometry I, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 77 (1975) p. 43-69.
- [3] J. Berg, J. Löfström- Inrpolation Spaces, an introduction, Springer-Verlag: Berlin Heidelberg New York (1987).
- [4] A. Connes- Non Commutative Geometry, Odile Jacob, Paris.
- [5] A. Connes, D. Sullivan, N. Teleman- Quasiconformal mappings, Operators in Hilbert space, and local formulae for characteristic classes, Topology 33 n.4 (1984) p. 683-691.
- [6] F. W. Gehring- QuasiConformal Mapping, Acta Math 56 (1989).
- [7] I.C. Gohberg, M.G. Krein- Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators, American Mathematical Society, Transl. Of Math. Monographs (1969).
- [8] M. Hilsum- Functorialité en K-théorie bivariante pour les variété lipschitziennes, K-theory 3 (1989) p. 401-440.
- [9] Y.G. Reshetnyak- Some Geometrical properties of functions and mappings with generalized derivatives, Sibirsck. Mat.Ž 7 (1966) 886-919.
- [10] E. Stein- Singular integrals and differentiability of functions, Princeton mathematical series 30 Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ (1970).
- [11] N. Teleman- The index of signature operator on Lipschitz manifolds, Pub. Math. I.H.E.S. 58 (1983) p. 39-78.

Received: 5.09.2001

“1 Decembrie 1918” University of Alba Iulia
 Str. N. Iorga, No. 13, 2500, Alba Iulia, Alba
 dbreaz @lmm.uab.ro