Bul. Ştiinţ. Univ. Baia Mare, Şer. B. Sayrı gala şi vallanıyanı hemmeye edit enotered I Matematică-Informatică, Vol. XVIII(2002), Nr. 13, 65 - 68 sit un vigoronium a wol.

Dedicated to Costica MUSTATA on his 60th anniversory

ON THE CONVERGENCE OF THE SERIES  $\sum d_0^{1+arepsilon_0/\log(1+artheta_0)}$ 

## Gergely PATAKI

Abstract. We show that, for any sequence  $(a_n)$  of positive numbers and any bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  of real numbers, the series  $\sum a_n$  and  $\sum a_n^{+-x_n/\log(1+n)}$  either both converge or both diverge.

MSC 1991: 40A05

Keywords: Series, convergence

Throughout this paper, the letters N and R will stand for the sets of all natural and real numbers, respectively. We start with a natural generalization of an inequality in [1]

Lemma.If  $a, x, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $1 < n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $0 < a \le 1$  and  $|x| \le \delta \le \log(n)$ , then  $\geq a^{1+x/\log(n)} < (a+n^{-2})e^{2\delta}.$ 

S - A > l/n + Dupl - at S av | - lan >

Proof. If x < 0, then  $1 < 1 - x/\log(n)$ . Hence, since  $0 < a \le 1$  and  $0 \le \delta$ , it follows that  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ 

Suppose now that  $0 \le x$ . If  $a < n^{-2}$ , then since  $0 \le \Gamma - x/\log(n)$  and  $x \le \delta$  it is clear that

behand at 
$$(n\pi)$$
 consuper out that not represent that swords objects and wolfed of  $\Gamma$   $(n-1)$  sol  $(n^2)^{1-2}/(\log(n)) \le (n^2)^{1-2}/(\log(n)) = e^{2\pi}/n^2 \le e^{2\pi}/n^2$ , of where

While, if  $|n|^2 \le a_n$  then  $a^{-1/\log(n)} \le (a_n^{-2})^{-1/\log(n)} = n^{2/\log(n)} = e^2$ . Hence, since 0 < a and  $0 \le x \le \delta$ , it follows that

$$a_{(+n),(n)}^{1-s/\log(n)} = a\left(a^{-1/\log(n)}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \leq a\left(e^2\right)^2 = ae^{2s} \leq ae^{2\delta}.$$

Therefore, the required inequality is also true. If and analy small smal

Now, analogously to the main result of [2], we can also prove the following

**Theorem.** Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb R$  such that  $a_n > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb N$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent: (1) the series  $\sum a_n$  converges; (2) the series  $\sum a_n^{1-x_n/\log(1+n)}$  converges for all bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $\mathbb R$ ; (3) the series  $\sum a_n^{1-x_n/\log(1+n)}$  converges for some bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $\mathbb R$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that the assertion (1) holds and  $(x_n)$  is a bounded sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $(a_n) \to 0$  and  $\delta = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_n| < +\infty$ . Therefore, there exists  $n_0 \ge c^\delta$  such that  $a_n \le 1$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ . Now, by the above lemma, it is clear that

$$a_n^{1-z_n/\log(1+n)} < (a_n + (1+n)^{-2})e^{2\delta}$$

for all  $n \ge n_0$ . Hence, since the series  $\sum a_n$  and  $\sum (1+n)^{-2}$  converge, it follows that the series  $\sum a_n^{1-x_n/\log(1+n)}$  also converges. Since the implication (2)(3) is trivially true, suppose now that the assertion (3) holds. Define  $\delta = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\|$  and choose  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $e^{1+\delta} \le n_0$ . Then, for all  $n \ge n_0$ , we have

1 
$$\leq \log(n_0) - \delta < \log(1+n) - \delta \leq$$
  
 $\leq \log(1+n) - x_n \leq |x_n - \log(1+n)|^{1/(4-11001-0.814)}$ 

Therefore, we may define a sequence  $(y_n)$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

in a fill appear on to notify 
$$u=x_N\log(1+n)/(x_H+\log(1+n))$$
 by its eigen three limits have

for all  $n \ge n_0$ . Then, by the triangle inequality, it is clear that

$$|y_n| = ||x_n + x_n^2/(|x_n| - \log(1+n))|| \le$$
  
 $\le ||x_n|| + ||x_n||^2/||x_n| - \log(1+n)|| \le \delta + \delta^2$ 

for all  $n \ge n_0$ . Therefore, the sequence  $(y_n)$  is bounded. Hence, by the implication (1)(2), it follows that the series  $\sum (a_n^{1-s_n/\log(1+n)})^{1-y_n/\log(1+n)}$  converges.

$$a_n = (a_n^{1-x_n/\log(1+n)})^{1-y_n/\log(1+n)}$$

for all  $n \ge n_0$ , it is clear that the assertion (1) also holds.

The following example shows that the assumption that the sequence  $(x_n)$  is bounded cannot be dropped or even cannot be weakened to the assumption that  $(x_n/\log(1+n))$ is a null sequence.

Example, Let  $(a_n)$  and  $(x_n)$  be sequences in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $a_1 > 0$  and

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n \left(\log\left(n\right)\right)^2}$$
 and  $x_n = \frac{\log(1+n)}{1 + \sqrt{\log\left(n\log\left(n\right)\right)}}$ 

for all  $n \geq 2$ . Then the series  $\sum a_n$  converges, but the series  $\sum a_n^{1-r_n/\log(1+n)}$  diverges despite that  $(x_n/\log(1+n)) \to 0$ . By using Cauchy's condensation test, it can be easily shown that the series  $\sum a_n$  converges, but the series  $\sum a_n \log(n)$  diverges [3, p. 399]. Therefore, to prove the divergence of the series  $\sum a_n^{1-r_n/\log(1+n)}$ , it is enough to show only that

 $a_n \log (n) \le a_n^{1-\alpha_n/\log(1+n)}$  in the short violette.

for all  $n \ge 3$ . For this, assume that  $n \ge 3$  and define the analysis of gradual A = A = A and define the analysis of gradual A = A = A.

$$q_n = \sqrt{\log(n \log(n))}$$
.

Then, by using that  $e \le n$  and the functions log and sort are increasing, we can easily see that  $1 \le \log(n)$ ,  $\log(n) \le \log(n) \log(n)$ , and hence  $\sqrt{\log(n)} \le q_n$ . Hence, since  $\log(x) \le \sqrt{x}$  for all x > 0, we can infer that  $1 \le \log(n)$ .

$$\log (\log (n)) \le \sqrt{\log(n)} \le q_n$$
.

This implies that  $q_n \log (\log n) \le q_n^2$ . Therefore, we also have

$$\left(\log\left(n\right)\right)^{q_n} = e^{q_n \log\left(\log\left(n\right)\right)} \le e^{q_n^2} = e^{\log\left(n\log\left(n\right)\right)} = n\log\left(n\right).$$

This implies that  $(\log (n))^{1+q_n} \le n (\log (n))^2 = a_n^{-1}$ . Therefore, we also have

$$\log{(n)} \, \leq \, \left(\, a_n^{\, -1} \right)^{\, 1/(\, 1 + q_n\,)} = \, a_n^{\, -1/(\, 1 + q_n\,)}$$

Hence, it follows that

$$a_n \log(n) \le a_n^{1-1/(1+q_n)} = a_n^{1-r_n/\log(1+n)}$$

Acknowledgement. The author whises to express his gratitude to Zsolt Páles for bringing a problem to his attention which inspired the present investigations. Moreover, the author would also like to thank Árpád Száz for many helpful conversations and valuable suggestions which led to the present form of this paper

- REFERENCES are suit the segments of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  settes out and  $\mathbb{Z}^d \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$  the settes of state gathernologies where  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  gathernologies  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  are supposed to  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  are supposed to  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . [1] N.151 KoMaL (Mathematical and Physical Journal for Secondary Schools, Budapest), 1998/3 p.165 p. 1991. "I brackers, to prove the covergence of the series. In the
- [2] Gergely Pataki On the convergence of the series ∑ a<sub>n</sub><sup>1-x<sub>n</sub>/n</sup> Tech. Rep., Inst. Math. Inf., Univ. Debrecen 2001/10 p.1-3
- but series and For this names that K. R. Stromberg An Introduction to Classical Real Analysis, Wadsworth, Inc. Belmout, California 1981

ybs Received: 19.04.2002 one was been got sentemal exit base a 2 w ball galan velocati

so that  $1 \leq \log(n)$ ,  $\log(n) \leq \log(n) \log(n)$ , and  $\log(n) \leq \log(n) \leq \log(n)$ . Institute of Mathematics University of Debrecen H-4010 Debrecen, Pf. 12 HUNGARY

www.low.s E-mail: pataki@math.klte.hu | gol.,p stade solleyer sl.(7

Actrowledgement. The mather whites it express his gratifican to Zgott Pales for bringing a problem to his attention where arguing the present investigation. Margoret,