

SOME PROPERTIES OF THE FUNDAMENTAL POLYNOMIALS OF BERNSTEIN-SCHURER

Dan BĂRBOSU, Mihai BĂRBOSU

Abstract. We prove that the fundamental polynomials of Bernstein-Schurer, defined at (1.4) have properties similar to the properties of the fundamental polynomials of Bernstein.

MSC 2000: 41A10

Keywords: Bernstein operators, Bernstein-Schurer operators

1. Preliminaries.

It is well known (see for example Agratini O., [1]) that the fundamental polynomials of Bernstein

$$p_{m,k}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} x^k (1-x)^{m-k} \quad (1.1)$$

have the properties expressed in the following:

Theorem 1.1. ([1], pp. 80-81). *Let m and s be positive integers and let $T_{m,s}(x)$ be the polynomials*

$$\tilde{T}_{m,s}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m (k - mx)^s p_{m,k}(x) \quad (1.2)$$

Then:

1^o. $T_{m,s+1}(x) = x(1-x) \{ T'_{m,s}(x) + msT_{m,s-1}(x) \}$, $\forall x \in [0,1]$, where $T'_{m,s}(x)$ denotes the first order derivative of $T_{m,s}(x)$;

2^o. $T_{m,2}(x) = mx(1-x)$;

$T_{m,3}(x) = m(1-2x) \times (1-x)$;

$T_{m,4}(x) = 3m^2x^2(1-x) + m \{ x(1-x) - 6x^2(1-x)^2 \}$.

3°. For any given $\delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m \sum_{\left| \frac{k}{m} - x \right| \geq \delta} \left(\frac{k}{m} - x \right)^2 p_{m,k}(x) = 0.$$

Let p be a given non-negative integer. F. Schurer (see[2]) introduced and studied the operators $\tilde{B}_{m,p} : C([0, 1+p]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$ defined for any function $f \in C([0, 1+p])$ by

$$(\tilde{B}_{m,p} f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right). \quad (1.3)$$

In (1.3) $\tilde{p}_{m,k}(x)$ denotes the fundamental polynomials of Bernstein-Schurer, defined as follows:

$$\tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \binom{m+p}{k} x^k (1-x)^{m+p-k}. \quad (1.4)$$

Clearly, for $p=0$ the operator (1.3) reduces to the classical operator of Bernstein and the polynomials (1.4) are in this case the fundamental polynomials of Bernstein (1.1).

Our purpose is to establish an analogous of the Theorem 1.1 for the polynomials

$$\tilde{T}_{m,s}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \{k - (m+p)x\}^s \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x). \quad (1.5)$$

We will use the properties of the Bernstein-Schurer operator, contained in the following

Theorem 1.2. ([1],[2]) Let $e_i(x) = x^i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) be test functions. The following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} (i) & \quad (\tilde{B}_{m,p} e_0)(x) = 1; \\ (ii) & \quad (\tilde{B}_{m,p} e_1)(x) = (1+p/m)x; \\ (iii) & \quad (\tilde{B}_{m,p} e_2)(x) = (m+p) \cdot m^{-2} \{(m+p)x^2 + x(1-x)\} \end{aligned}$$

hold, for any $x \in [0, 1+p]$.

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let m and s be positive integers and let $\tilde{T}_{m,s}$ be the polynomials defined at (1.5).

Then

$$\tilde{T}_{m,s+1}(x) = x(1-x) \left\{ T'_{m,s}(x) + (m+p)s \tilde{T}_{m,s-1}(x) \right\}$$

for any $x \in [0, 1+p]$.

Proof. For $x=0$, we have $\tilde{T}_{m,s}(0) = 0$ and (2.1) holds. For $x \in [0, 1+p]$, taking account (1.5), we get:

$$\tilde{T}_{m,s}'(x) = -(m+p)s \tilde{T}_{m,s-1}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \{k - (m+p)x\}^s \tilde{p}_{m,k}'(x) \quad (2.1)$$

where $\tilde{p}_{m,k}'(x)$ denotes the first order derivative of $\tilde{p}_{m,k}(x)$. But these derivative are expressed by

$$\tilde{p}_{m,k}'(x) = \binom{m+p}{k} x^{k-1} (1-x)^{m+p-k-1} \{k - (m+p)x\}.$$

Replacing this expression in (2.2), we get

$$\tilde{T}_{m,s}'(x) = -(m+p)s \tilde{T}_{m,s-1}(x) + \{x(1-x)^{-1}\} \tilde{T}_{m,s+1}(x)$$

which is equivalent with (2.1) and the proof ends.

Theorem 2.2. *The following identities*

$$\tilde{T}_{m,2}(x) = (m+p)x(1-x); \quad (2.2)$$

$$\tilde{T}_{m,3}(x) = (m+p)x(1-x)(1+2p-2x); \quad (2.3)$$

$$\tilde{T}_{m,4}(x) = 3(m+p)^2 x^2 (1-x)^2 + (m+p)x(1-x) \times \quad (2.4)$$

$$\times \{(1+2p)(1-2x) - 2x(1-x) + 6(m+p)x(1-x)(p-x)\}$$

hold, for any $x \in [0, p+1]$.

Proof. Applying the Theorem 1.2, we get

$$\tilde{T}_{m,0}(x) = 1, \quad \tilde{T}_{m,1}(x) = p, \quad (\forall) x \in [0, p+1].$$

Next, using the Theorem 2.1, we arrive to the desired identities.

Theorem 2.3. *For any given $\delta > 0$, the equality*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (m+p) \sum_{\left| \frac{k}{m+p} - x \right| \geq \delta} \left(\frac{k}{m+p} - x \right)^2 \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

holds.

Proof. Because $\left| \frac{k}{m+p} - x \right| \geq \delta$ it follows that $\left(\frac{k}{m+p} - x \right)^2 \geq \delta^2$

and $\left(\frac{k}{m+p} - x \right)^2 \delta^{-2} \geq 1$. Next, we can write:

$$\sum_{\left| \frac{k}{m+p} - x \right| \geq \delta} \left(\frac{k}{m+p} - x \right)^2 \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) \leq \delta^{-2} \sum_{\left| \frac{k}{m+p} - x \right| \geq \delta} \left(\frac{k}{m+p} - x \right)^4 \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) \leq$$

$$(2.1) \quad \leq \delta^{-2} \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \left(\frac{k}{m+p} - x \right)^4 \bar{p}_{m,k}(x) = (m+p)^{-4} \cdot \delta^{-2} \cdot \bar{T}_{m,4}(x).$$

It follows the inequality:

$$(m+p) \sum_{\left| \frac{k}{m+p} - x \right| \geq \delta} \left(\frac{k}{m+p} - x \right)^2 \bar{p}_{m,k}(x) \leq \frac{1}{(m+p)^3 \delta^2} \cdot \bar{T}_{m,4}(x). \quad (2.6)$$

Taking into account (2.4) we get

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(m+p)^3 \delta^2} \bar{T}_{m,4}(x) = 0,$$

and then, using (2.6) we arrive to (2.5).

REFERENCES

- [1] Agratini, O., *Aproximare prin operatori liniari*, Presa Universitară Clujeană, 2000 (in Romanian)
- [2] Schurer, F., *Linear positive operators in approximation theory*, Math. Inst. Techn. Univ. Delft, Report, 1962
- [3] Stancu, D.D., Coman, Gh., Agratini, O., Trîmbițaș, R., *Analiza numerică și teoria aproximării*, Presa Universitară Clujeană, 2001 (in Romanian)

Received: 11.09.2002

North University of Baia Mare

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

Victoriei 76, 4800 Baia Mare,

Romania

E-mail: dbarbosu@univer.ubm.ro

SUNY

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

3500 New Campus Dr. Brockport

NY114420

USA

E-mail: mbarbosu@brockport.edu