

THE VORONOVSKAJA THEOREM FOR BERNSTEIN-SCHURER OPERATORS

Dan BĂRBOSU

Abstract The Bernstein - Schurer operators are considered and for these kind of operators a Voronovskaja type theorem is established. The main result of the paper is the Theorem 2.1.

MSC 2000: 41A10, 41A80

Keywords: Bernstein operators, Bernstein-Schurer operators, Voronovskaja's theorem

1. Preliminaries.

Let $B_m : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$ be the Bernstein operators, defined for any $f \in C([0, 1])$ by

$$(B_m f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m p_{m,k}(x) \cdot f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right) \quad (1.1)$$

where $p_{m,k}(x)$ are the fundamental polynomials of Bernstein, defined as follows:

$$p_{m,k}(x) = \binom{m}{k} x^k (1-x)^{m-k} \quad (1.2)$$

In 1932 Voronovskaja, E., (see[4]), proved the result contained in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1.(4). Let $f \in C([0, 1])$ be a function which has the second order derivative in the point $x \in [0, 1]$. Then the equality

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m((B_m f)(x) - f(x)) = \frac{x(1-x)}{2} f''(x), \quad (0 \leq x \leq 1) \quad (1.3)$$

holds.

Considering the non-negative integer p , F. Schurer (see [3]) introduced and studied in 1962 the operators $\tilde{B}_{m,p} : C([0, 1+p]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$, defined for any function $f \in C([0, 1+p])$ by: $(\tilde{B}_{m,p} f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)$. In (1.4), $\tilde{p}_{m,k}(x)$ denotes the fundamental polynomials of Bernstein - Schurer, defined as follows:

$$\tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) = \binom{m+p}{k} x^k (1-x)^{m+p-k} \quad (0 \leq x \leq 1) \quad (1.5)$$

In our earlier paper ([2]), we studied some properties of the fundamental polynomials of Bernstein-Schurer. These properties are contained in the following theorems.

Theorem 1.2. ([2], Th. 2.1). *Let m and s be positive integers and $\tilde{T}_{m,s}(x)$ be the polynomials*

$$\tilde{T}_{m,s}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{sm+p} (k - (m+p)x)^s \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x).$$

The polynomials $\tilde{T}_{m,s}(x)$ satisfy the relation

$$\tilde{T}_{m,s-1}(x) = x(1-x) \left\{ T'_{m,s}(x) + (m+p)s \tilde{T}_{m,s-1}(x) \right\}$$

for any $x \in [0, 1+p]$, where $T'_{m,s}(x)$ denotes the first order derivative of $T_{m,s}(x)$.

Theorem 1.3. ([2], Th. 2.2). *For the polynomials $\tilde{T}_{m,s}(x)$ the equalities*

- (i) $\tilde{T}_{m,2}(x) = (m+p)x(1-x)$;
- (ii) $\tilde{T}_{m,3}(x) = (m+p)x(1-x)(1+2p-2x)$;
- (iii) $\tilde{T}_{m,4}(x) = 3(m+p)^2x^2(1-x)^2 + (m+p)x(1-x) \times \{(1+2p)(1-2x) - 2x(1-x) + 6(m+p)x(1-x)(p-x)\}$ hold for any $x \in [0, 1+p]$.

Theorem 1.4. ([2], Th. 2.3). *For any given $\delta > 0$ the equality*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (m+p) \cdot \sum_{|\frac{k}{m+p} - x| \geq \delta} \left(\frac{k}{m+p} - x \right)^s \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) = 0$$

holds. Use the above results we shall prove the Voronovskaja's theorem for the Bernstein-Schurer operators (1.4).

2. The main result.

First, we establish the auxiliary result contained in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\psi_m : [0, 1+p] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\psi_m(t) = t+x$. Then, the following equalities*

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{B}_{m,p}\psi_m)(x) &= (p/m)x + (1-p)(1-x), \\ (\tilde{B}_{m,p}\psi_m^2)(x) &= (p^2/m^2)x^2 + ((m+p)/m^2) \times (1-x), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

hold, for any $x \in [0, 1+p]$.

Proof. It is well known (see Schurer., F., [3]) that the operator $\tilde{B}_{m,p}$ has the properties $(\tilde{B}_{m,p}e_0)(x) = 1$, $(\tilde{B}_{m,p}e_1)(x) = (1+p/m)x$, $(\tilde{B}_{m,p}e_2)(x) = (m+p)m^{-2}\{(m+p)x^2 + x(1-x)\}$, where $e_i(x) = x^i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are the test functions. Using these properties and the linearity of the Bernstein - Schurer operators, we get (2.1) and (2.2). Now, we are ready to prove the main result of the paper, which is the following Voronovskaja type theorem for the Bernstein-Schurer operators.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f \in C([0, 1+p])$ be a function two times derivable at the point $x \in [0, 1+p]$. Then the equality*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (m+p) \left\{ (\tilde{B}_{m,p} f)(x) - f(x) \right\} = p \cdot f'(x) + \frac{x(1-x)}{2} f''(x) \quad (2.3)$$

holds.

Proof. The Taylor's expansion of f in a neighborhood of $x \in [0, 1+p]$ leads us to: $f(t) = f(x) + (t-x)f'(x) + \frac{(t-x)^2}{2}f''(x) + (t-x)^2\mu(t-x)$. Note that in (2.2) μ denotes a bounded function having the property $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mu(h) = 0$. After some transformations of (2.2) we arrive to:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{B}_{m,p} f)(x) &= f(x) + f'(x) \cdot (\tilde{B}_{m,p} \psi_x)(x) + \\ &+ \frac{f''(x)}{2} (\tilde{B}_{m,p} \psi_x^2)(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} (k/m - x)^2 \mu(k/m - x) \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Using the Lemma 2.1, from (2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (m+p) \left\{ (\tilde{B}_{m,p} f)(x) - f(x) \right\} &= \\ &= \frac{p(m+p)}{m} f'(x) + \frac{f''(x)}{2} \left\{ \frac{p^2(m+p)}{m^2} x^2 + \frac{(m+p)^2}{m^2} x(1-x) \right\} + \\ &+ (m+p) \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} (k/m - x)^2 \mu(k/m - x) \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

If $R_m(x)$ denotes the sum $R_m(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} (m+p) \cdot (k/m - x)^2 \mu(k/m - x) \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x)$, we shall prove that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} R_m(x) = 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrarily given. Because $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mu(h) = 0$ it follows that there exists a $\delta > 0$ so that for any h having the property $|h| < \delta$ the inequality

$$|\mu(h)| < \varepsilon \quad (2.6)$$

holds. The set $I = \{0, 1, \dots, m+p\}$ can be decomposed in the form

$$I = \left\{ k \in I : \left| \frac{k}{m} - x \right| < \delta \right\} \cup \left\{ k \in I : \left| \frac{k}{m} - x \right| \geq \delta \right\}. \quad (2.7)$$

Using the above representation of I , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |R_m(x)| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} (m+p) (k/m - x)^2 \cdot \mu(k/m - x) \cdot \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) \right| \leq \\ &\leq (m+p) \varepsilon \sum_{k \in I_1} (k/m - x)^2 \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) + \\ &+ (\sup \mu) \sum_{k \in I_2} (m+p) \cdot (k/m - x)^2 \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

For the first sum of the right side of (2.8) we can write

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{k \in I_1} (k/m - x)^2 \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) \leq \sum_{k \in I_1} 1 \cdot \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) = 1$$

Applying the Theorem 1.4, we get that the limit of the second sum of the right side of (2.8) is zero, i.e. there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for any $m \geq m_0$ the inequality (2.8) is true, since $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \in I_2} (k/m - x)^2 \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) = 0$.

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{k \in I_2} (m+p) \cdot (k/m - x)^2 \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) < \varepsilon \cdot (\sup \mu)^{-1}$$

Using now (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10), we can conclude that there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for any $m \geq \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq m_0$ the inequality

$$(2.11) \quad |R_m(x)| \leq (m+p)\varepsilon + \varepsilon = (m+p+1)\varepsilon$$

holds.

In the (2.11) we choose $\varepsilon = \{m(m+p+1)\}^{-1}$. Then, it follows that there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for any $m \geq \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq m_0$ the inequality

$$(2.12) \quad |R_m(x)| \leq \{m(m+p)\}^{-1} \cdot (m+p+1) = m^{-1}$$

holds. From (2.12) it follows that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} R_m(x) = 0$ and the proof ends.

REFERENCES

- [1] Agratini, O., *Aproximare prin operatori liniari*, Presa Universitară Clujeană, 2000 (in Romanian)
- [2] Bărbosu, D., *Some properties of the fundamental polynomials of Bernstein-Schurer* (submitted)
- [3] Schurer, F., *Linear positive operators in approximation theory*, Math. Inst. Techn. Univ. Delft, Report, 1962
- [4] Voronovskaja, E., *Determination de la forme asymptotique d'approximation des fonctions par les polynomes de M. Bernstein*, C.R. Acad. Sci. URSS (1932), 79-85

Received: 14.07.2002

Editor: A. Ioan Ionescu, Dept. of Mathematics, UBM, Romania

North University of Baia Mare
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Victoriei 76, 4800 Baia Mare, Romania
E-mail: dbarbosu@univer.ubm.ro