

## A PHELPS TYPE THEOREM FOR SPACES WITH ASYMMETRIC NORMS

Costică MUSTĂŢA

**Abstract.** If  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a linear space with asymmetric norm and  $Y$  is a subspace of  $X$ , for every  $f \in Y_+^*$  (the cone of linear bounded functional on  $Y$ ) there exists at most one functional  $F \in X_+^*$  extending  $f$  and preserving the asymmetric norm of  $f$ . The problem of uniqueness of the extension in terms of uniqueness of elements of best approximation of  $F \in X_+^*$  by elements of  $Y_+^* = \{G \in X_+^* : G|_Y = 0, F \geq G\}$  is discussed.

**MSC:** 41A65, 41A52, 46A22

**Keywords:** asymmetric norm, extension and approximation

### 1. Asymmetric norms

Let  $X$  be a real linear space and  $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  a function with the following properties:

1)  $\|x\| > 0$  for all  $x \neq \theta$ ; 2)  $\|\lambda x\| = \lambda \|x\|$  for all  $\lambda \geq 0$  and all  $x \in X$ ; 3)  $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then the function  $\|\cdot\|$  is called an *asymmetric norm* on  $X$  and the pair  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is called a *space with asymmetric norm* [see [5]]. In such a space, in general  $\|-x\| \neq \|x\|$ .

**Example ([1])** Consider the real linear space

$$C_0([0, 1], 1, 0) = \left\{ x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \text{ is continuous and } \int_0^1 x(t) dt = 0 \right\}.$$

The function  $\|\cdot\| : C_0([0, 1], 1, 0) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $\|x\| = \max\{x(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$  satisfies the properties 1) - 3) of asymmetric norm. The functions  $x_\alpha(t) = \alpha(t - \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  are in  $C_0([0, 1], 1, 0)$  and  $\|x_\alpha\| = \frac{|\alpha|}{2} = \|-x_\alpha\|$ , but the functions  $y_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} - nt^{n-1}$ ,  $n \geq 2$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), which also belong to  $C_0([0, 1], 1, 0)$  satisfy  $\|y_n\| = 1$  and  $\|-y_n\| = n - 1 > 1$ , i.e.  $\|y_n\| \neq \|-y_n\|$ .

By definition, the balls  $B(x, r) = \{y \in X : \|y - x\| \leq r\}$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $r > 0$  form a base of the topology of the space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ . The space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  equipped with this topology need not be a topological linear space, since the multiplication by scalars is not continuous. In the preceding example, for  $x = 0$  and  $\lambda = -1$ ,  $(-1)0 = 0$  and for all  $r > 0$ ,  $-B(0, r) \not\subseteq B(0, 1)$  i.e. the multiplication by scalars is not continuous.

For each asymmetric norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $X$  one defines  $\|x\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|-x\|\}$ . Then  $\|x\| \leq \|x\|$ ,  $x \in X$ . If there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $\|x\| \leq c\|x\|$ , i.e. the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and asymmetric

norm  $\|\cdot\|$  are equivalent, then  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a topological linear space. Such a situation occurs when  $\dim X < \infty$ . In this case all the norms and asymmetric norms are equivalent ([5], I.2.1, pp.21-23). If  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  are equivalent then  $\|\cdot\|$  is continuous on  $X$ .

An example of an asymmetric norm on the normed space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is given by  $\|x\| = \|x\| + \varphi(x)$ ,  $x \in X$  where  $\varphi \in X^*$ ,  $\varphi \neq 0$ , (a linear and continuous functional on  $X$ ).

## 2. Linear and bounded functional on a linear space with asymmetric norm.

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a space with asymmetric norm and  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a linear functional.

The linear functional  $f$  is called *bounded* if

$$\|f\| := \sup \left\{ \frac{f(x)}{\|x\|} < \infty : x \neq 0 \right\} < \infty, \quad (1)$$

(see [5], Ch.9, Sec.5, p.483). If  $f$  is a linear and bounded functional, then

$$f(x) \leq \|f\| \cdot \|x\|, \quad x \in X, \quad (2)$$

and, changing  $x$  with  $-x$ , one obtains  $-f(x) = f(-x) \leq \|f\| \cdot \|-x\|$ . Consequently

$$-\|f\| \cdot \|-x\| \leq f(x) \leq \|f\| \cdot \|x\|, \quad x \in X$$

and in general,  $\|-f\| \neq \|f\|$ . Denote by  $X^\#$  the algebraic dual of the linear space  $X$  and by  $X_+^*$  the set of all linear and bounded functional on the space  $X$  with an asymmetric norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .

For  $f, g \in X_+^*$  one obtains  $f + g \in X_+^*$  and  $\lambda f \in X_+^*$  ( $\lambda \geq 0$ ) ( $\lambda f + \mu g \in X_+^*$ , for all  $f, g \in X_+^*$  and all  $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ ). Consequently  $X_+^*$  is a convex cone in  $X^\#$ .

The functional  $\|\cdot\|: X_+^* \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by formula (1) satisfies the axioms 1) - 3) of an asymmetric norm. Indeed, if  $f \neq 0$  then there exists  $x \in X$ ,  $x \neq 0$  such that  $f(x) > 0$  or  $f(-x) > 0$ . It follows that  $\|f\| = \sup \{f(x)/\|x\|\} > 0$ . If  $\lambda \geq 0$  then  $\|\lambda f\| = \lambda \|f\|$  and  $\|f + g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|$  are evidently fulfilled.

Finally, observe that the function  $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by

$$d(x, y) = \|x - y\|, \quad x, y \in X, \quad (3)$$

where  $X$  is a space with asymmetric norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , is a quasi-metric on  $X$ , i.e.  $d$  satisfies the conditions:

a)  $d(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y$ ; b)  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ ,  $x, y, z \in X$  (see [6])

For  $f \in X_+^*$  and all  $x, y \in X$ , we have  $f(x - y) \leq \|f\| \cdot \|x - y\|$ , so that

$$f(x) - f(y) \leq \|f\| \cdot \|x - y\|, \quad x, y \in X. \quad (4)$$

The last inequality means that every bounded linear functional  $f$  on  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is semi-Lipschitz (see [11]) i.e.  $X_+^* \subset SLip_0 X$ , where

$$SLip_0 X = \left\{ f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(0) = 0, \sup \frac{|f(x) - f(y)| \vee 0}{\|x - y\|} < \infty \right\}$$

is the semi-linear space of semi-Lipschitz real functions defined on  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  (see (11)). Because  $X_+^* \subset SLip_0 X$ , for every  $f \in X_+^*$ , we have

$$\sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{f(x) \vee 0}{\|x\|} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{f(x)}{\|x\|} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{\|x - y\|} = \|f\| \quad (5)$$

i.e. the asymmetric norm of  $f \in X_+^*$  is the smallest semi-Lipschitz constant of  $f$ .

Let  $Y$  be a subspace of the linear space  $X$  with asymmetric norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and let  $f \in Y_+^*$ . Then  $f \in SLip_0 Y$  and, by an analogue of an extension theorem of McShane ([7]), there exists at least one function  $F \in SLip_0 X$  such that  $F|_Y = f$  and  $\|F\| = \|f\|$  (see [8], Th.2). In our case the following result holds:

**Theorem 1.** ([5]). *Let  $X$  be a real linear space with the asymmetric norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $Y$  be a subspace of  $X$ . Then for every  $f \in Y_+^*$  there exists  $F \in X_+^*$  such that*

$$a) F|_Y = f, \quad b) \|F\| = \|f\|.$$

**Proof.** If  $f \in Y_+^*$  let  $p: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $p(x) = \|f\| \cdot \|x\|$ . Then  $f(y) \leq \|f\| \cdot \|y\| = p(y)$ ,  $y \in Y$ , and by Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists  $F \in Y^*$  such that

$$F|_Y = f \quad \text{and} \quad F(x) \leq \|f\| \cdot \|x\|, \quad x \in X.$$

Then

$$\frac{F(x)}{\|x\|} \leq \|f\|, \quad x \in X, x \neq 0$$

and taking the supremum with respect to  $x \in X$  one obtains  $\|F\| \leq \|f\|$ . On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\| &= \sup \left\{ \frac{F(x)}{\|x\|}, x \in X, x \neq 0 \right\} \geq \sup \left\{ \frac{F(y)}{\|y\|}, y \in Y, y \neq 0 \right\} = \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{f(y)}{\|y\|}, y \in Y, y \neq 0 \right\} = \|f\| \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and, consequently  $\|F\| = \|f\|$ .

By Theorem 1 it follows that if  $Y$  is a subspace of  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  then for every  $f \in Y_+^*$  the set

$$\mathcal{E}(f) = \{F \in X_+^* : F|_Y = f \text{ and } \|F\| = \|f\|\} \quad (6)$$

is nonvoid.

Observe that, for every  $f \in Y_+^*$ , the set  $\mathcal{E}(f)$  of all extensions of  $f$ , is included in  $S(0) := \{F \in X_+^* : \|F\| = \|f\|\}$  and  $\mathcal{E}(f)$  is convex.

Indeed, if  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{E}(f)$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  then  $f = \lambda F_1|_Y + (1 - \lambda) F_2|_Y$  and

$$\|f\| = \|\lambda F_1|_Y + (1-\lambda)F_2\| \leq \|\lambda F_1 + (1-\lambda)F_2\| \leq \lambda\|F_1\| + (1-\lambda)\|F_2\| = \lambda\|f\| + (1-\lambda)\|f\| = \|f\|, \text{ so that } \lambda F_1 + (1-\lambda)F_2 \in \mathcal{E}(f).$$

### 3. Extension and approximation

In [10] R.R. Phelps made a connection between the set of the extensions of a linear and continuous functional  $f \in Y^*$  ( $Y^*$  is the algebraic-topological dual of the subspace  $Y$  of a normed space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ ) and the set of elements of best approximation of a functional  $F \in X^*$  by the elements of the annihilator  $Y^\perp = \{G \in X^* : G|_Y = 0\}$ .

If  $F \in X^*$  then the set of elements of best approximation of  $F$  in  $Y^\perp$  is  $P_{Y^\perp}(F) := F - \mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$  where  $\mathcal{E}(F|_Y) = \{H \in X^* : H|_Y = F|_Y \text{ and } \|H\| = \|F|_Y\|\}$ . The extension of a functional  $f \in Y^*$  is unique if and only if  $Y^\perp$  is a Chebyshevian subspace of  $X^*$ .

In the proof of R.R. Phelps' result one uses an essential fact: together with  $F \in X^*$  the functional  $F - G$  belongs to  $X^*$ , for every  $G \in \mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$ , i.e. the fact that  $X^*$  has a structure of linear space.

Because  $X^*$  has only a structure of a convex cone, it could exist a linear and bounded functional  $F \in X^*$ , such that for certain extensions  $G$  from  $\mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$ , or for all of them, we could have  $F - G$  unbounded, i.e.  $F - G \notin X^*$ . Some additional definitions are necessary. For a cone  $\mathcal{K}$  in a linear space  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $x, y \in \mathcal{V}$ , we will write  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $y - x \in \mathcal{K}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a non-empty subset of the cone  $X^*_+$  and  $F \in X^*_+$ . We say that  $F$  admits minorants in  $\mathcal{M}$  if there exists  $G \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $F \geq G$  (i.e.  $F - G \in X^*_+$ ) and we say that  $F$  majorizes the set  $\mathcal{M}$  if  $F \geq G$  for every  $G \in \mathcal{M}$ . (i.e.  $F - \mathcal{M} \subset X^*_+$ ). Obviously, if  $F \in X^*_+$  and majorizes  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $F$  admits minorants in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

For a subspace  $Y$  of the space  $X$  with asymmetric norm, we denote by  $Y^\perp_+$  the annihilator of  $Y$  in  $X^*_+$  i.e., the set

$$Y^\perp_+ = \{G \in X^*_+ : G|_Y = 0\}. \quad (7)$$

We state the following problem of best approximation:

For  $F \in X^*_+$  find  $G_0 \in Y^\perp_+$  such that  $\|F - G_0\| = d_+(F, Y^\perp_+)$  where

$$d_+(F, Y^\perp_+) = \inf \{\|F - G\| : G \in Y^\perp_+, F \geq G\}. \quad (8)$$

Let

$$P_{Y^\perp_+}(F) := \{G \in Y^\perp_+ : F \geq G, \|F - G\| = d_+(F, Y^\perp_+)\}. \quad (9)$$

We say that  $Y^\perp_+$  is  $F$ -proximal if  $P_{Y^\perp_+}(F) \neq \emptyset$ . If, in addition,  $\text{card } P_{Y^\perp_+}(F) = 1$  then  $Y^\perp_+$  is called  $F$ -Chebyshevian.

The following result is similar to Phelps' result ([10]).

**Theorem 2.** Let  $X$  be a space with asymmetric norm,  $Y$  a subspace of  $X$ , and  $F \in X^*_+$ . Let

$$\mathcal{E}(F|_Y) = \{H \in X^*_+ : H|_Y = F|_Y \text{ and } \|H\| = \|F|_Y\|\}. \quad (10)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_+(F|Y) = \{H \in \mathcal{E}(F|Y) : H \leq F\} \quad (11)$$

a) If  $\mathcal{E}_+(F|Y) \neq \emptyset$  then  $Y_+^+$  is  $F$ -proximal and the following equality holds:

$$d_+(F, Y_+^+) = \|F|_Y\|. \quad (12)$$

b) If  $G_0 \in P_{Y_+^+}(F)$  then  $F - G_0 \in \mathcal{E}_+(F|Y)$ .

c) We have  $\mathcal{E}_+(F|Y) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $P_{Y_+^+}(F) \neq \emptyset$  and the following equality holds:

$$F - \mathcal{E}_+(F|Y) = P_{Y_+^+}(F). \quad (13)$$

d)  $Y_+^+$  is  $F$ -Chebyshevian if and only if  $\text{card } \mathcal{E}_+(F|Y) = 1$ .

e)  $F \in \mathcal{E}_+(F|Y)$  if and only if  $0 \in P_{Y_+^+}(F)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G_0$  be a minorant of  $F$  in  $\mathcal{E}(F|Y)$  ( $G_0$  exists, because  $\mathcal{E}_d(F|Y) \neq \emptyset$ ). Then,  $F - G_0 \in X_+^*$  and

$$\|F|_Y\| = \|G_0\| = \|F - (F - G_0)\| \geq d_+(F, Y_+^+).$$

On the other hand, for every  $G \in Y_+^+(F \geq G)$  we have

$$\|F|_Y\| = \|F|_Y - G|_Y\| \leq \|F - G\|.$$

Taking the infimum with respect to  $G \in Y_+^+(F \geq G)$  we find

$$\|F|_Y\| \leq d_+(F, Y_+^+).$$

Therefore, the formula (12) holds, and  $Y_+^+$  is  $F$ -proximal.

b) Let  $G_0 \in P_{Y_+^+}(F)$ . Then  $F \geq G_0$  (according to the definition of  $P_{Y_+^+}(F)$ ),  $(F - G_0)|_Y = F|_Y$  and

$$\|F - G_0\| = \inf \{\|F - G\| : G \in Y_+^+, F \geq G\} = d_+(F, Y_+^+) = \|F|_Y\|$$

(according to a)). Thus  $F - G_0 \in \mathcal{E}_+(F|Y)$ .

c) Follows from a) and b).

If  $H \in \mathcal{E}_+(F|Y)$  then  $F \geq H$ ,  $(F - H)|_Y = 0$  and

$$\|F - (F - H)\| = \|H\| = \|F|_Y\| = d_+(F, Y_+^+),$$

and then  $F - H \in P_{Y_+^+}(F)$ .

Conversely,  $G \in P_{Y_+^+}(F)$  implies  $F \geq G$ , so that  $F - G \in X_+^*$ ,  $(F - G)|_Y = F|_Y$ , and

$$\|F - G\| = \|F|_Y\| = d_+(F, Y_+^+).$$

It follows that  $F - G \in \mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y)$ , i.e.  $G \in F - \mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y)$ .

d) If  $Y_+^\perp$  is  $F$ -Chebyshevian, it results that there exists only one element  $G \in P_{Y_+^\perp}(F)$  such that  $F \geq G$ , so that  $F - G \in X_{+1}^*(F - G)|_Y = F|_Y$  and

$$\|F - G\| = d_+(F, Y_+^\perp) = \|F|_Y\|,$$

i.e.  $\mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y)$  contains only one element, namely  $F - G$ .

e) If  $F \in \mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y)$  then there exists  $H \in \mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y)$  such that  $F = H$ . Thus, according to c)  $F \geq H = F \Rightarrow F = 0 \in P_{Y_+^\perp}(F)$ .

If  $0 \in P_{Y_+^\perp}(F)$  then  $\|F\| = d_-(F, Y_+^\perp) = \|F|_Y\|$ , so  $F \in \mathcal{E}_+(F|_Y)$ . ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] Borodina, P.A.; The Banach-Mazur Theorem for Spaces with Asymmetric Norm and Its Applications in Convex Analysis, *Mathematical Notes* vol. 69, Nr.3 (2001), 298-305
- [2] Dölzhenko, E.P. and E.A. Sevast'yanov, Approximation with sign-sensitive weights, *Izv. Russ. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* [Russian Acad. Sci. *Izv. Math.*] 62 (1998) no.6, 59-102 and 63 (1999) no.3 77-48
- [3] Ferrer, J., Gregori, V. and C. Alegre, Quasi-uniform structures in linear lattices, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 23 (1993), 877-884
- [4] García - Raffi, L.M.; Romaguera S. and Sanchez Pérez E.A., Extension of Asymmetric Norms to Linear Spaces, *Rend. Istit. Mat. Trieste XXXIII*, 113-125 (2001)
- [5] Krein, M.G. and A.A. Nudel'man, The Markov Moment Problem and Extremum Problems [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow, 1973.
- [6] Kopperman, R.D., All topologies come from generalized metrics, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 95 (1988), 89-97
- [7] McShane, E.J., Extension of Range of Functions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 40 (1934), 847-842
- [8] Mustăța, C., Extensions of Semi-Lipschitz functions on quasi-Metric spaces, *Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx.* 30 (2001) No.1, 61-67
- [9] Mustăța, C., Extensions of convex Semi-Lipschitz Functions on quasi-metric linear spaces, *Séminaire de la Théorie de la Meilleure Approximation Convexité et Optimisation*, Cluj-Napoca, le 29 novembre 2001, 85-92.
- [10] Phelps, R.R., Uniqueness of Hahn - Banach Extension and Unique Best Approximation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 95 (1960), 238-255.
- [11] Romaguera, S. and M. Sanchez, Semi-Lipschitz Functions and Best Approximation in quasi-Metric Spaces, *J. Approx. Theory* 103 (2000), 292-301.

Received: 1.09.2002

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
North University of Baia Mare, Str. Victoriai nr. 76  
4800 Baia Mare ROMANIA;  
Email: mmustata@ubbcluj.ro