

A CHARACTERISTIC PROPERTY OF THE BOOLEAN RING $((M), \Delta, \cap)$

Vasile POP

Abstract. In [2] we defined the subordination relation on the set of binary operations which are defined on the family of all subsets of a given set. In this paper we show that the only unitary ring structure $((M), f, g)$ with the property that f is subordinated to the union and g is subordinated to the intersection is the structure of boolean ring.

MSC2000: 03G05, 06E20

Keywords: boolean ring, subordination relation

1 Introduction

Let M be an arbitrary set and $\mathcal{M} = \{A \mid A \subseteq M\}$, the family of the subsets of M . On the set $((M))$ of all binary operations on (M) is defined the subordination relation:

If $f, g \in ((M))$ are binary operation on (M) , we say that f is subordinated to g or that g subordinates f , if $f(X, Y) \subset g(X, Y)$ for all $X, Y \in (M)$. We denote this by $f \leq g$.

Our purpose is to determine those structures of unitary ring $((M), f, g)$ on (M) with the property $f \leq \cup$ and $g \leq \cap$ (where " \cup " is the union and " \cap " is the intersection).

2 Main results

An important algebraic structure on (M) is the boolean ring $((M), \Delta, \cap)$, where Δ is the symmetric difference. Using the results obtained in [1] we can give the following characterization of this ring.

Theorem 1. *If M is a finite set, then the only structure of unitary ring $((M), f, g)$ on (M) with the properties $f \leq \cup$ and $g \leq \cap$ is the boolean ring $((M), \Delta, \cap)$.*

Proof. Since $((M), f)$ is a group and the operation f is subordinated to \cup ($f \leq \cup$), from [2], Theorem 1, it follows that the operation f is unique determined $f(X, Y) = X \Delta Y = (X \cup Y) \setminus (X \cap Y) = (X \setminus Y) \cup (Y \setminus X)$, for all $X, Y \in (M)$.

Let us denote $g(X, Y) = X \circ Y$ and E the unit element of the monoid $((M), \circ)$. We have $X \circ E = X$ and $X \circ E \subset X \cap E$ for all $X \subset M$. So $E = M$ is the unit element of this ring.

If $x, y \in M$, $x \neq y$, then $\{x\} \circ \{y\} \subset \{x\} \cap \{y\} = \emptyset$. Thus $\{x\} \circ \{y\} = \emptyset$.

We have $\{x\} \circ \{x\} \subset \{x\} \cap \{x\} = \{x\}$, whence $\{x\} \circ \{x\} \subset \{\emptyset, \{x\}\}$.

But $\{x\} \circ M = \{x\}$, $M = \{x, y_1, \dots, y_k\} = \{x\} \Delta \{y_1\} \Delta \dots \Delta \{y_k\}$. Using the distributivity we have

$$\{x\} \circ M = (\{x\} \circ \{x\}) \Delta (\{x\} \circ \{y_1\}) \Delta \dots \Delta (\{x\} \circ \{y_k\}) =$$

$$= (\{x\} \circ \{x\}) \Delta \theta \Delta \dots \Delta \theta \theta = \{x\} \circ \{x\}.$$

Thus $\{x\} \circ \{x\} = \{x\}$.

If $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_p\}$, $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_q\}$ using the distributivity we have

$$A \circ B = (\{a_1\} \Delta \dots \Delta \{a_p\}) \circ (\{b_1\} \Delta \dots \Delta \{b_q\}) = A \cap B,$$

so $g = \cap$.

Theorem 2. *If M is a finite set, then the unique structure of unitary ring $((M), u, v)$ on (M) with the properties $\cap \leq u$ and $\cup \leq v$ is given by*

$$u(X, Y) = \overline{X \Delta Y} \text{ and } v(X, Y) = X \cup Y.$$

Proof. We have $\overline{X \cap Y} \subset u(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})$ and $\overline{X \cup Y} \subset v(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})$. Then,

$$\overline{u(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})} \subset \overline{X \cup Y}, \quad \overline{v(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})} \subset \overline{X \cap Y}.$$

The operations $\overline{u(\overline{X}, \overline{y})}$ and $\overline{v(\overline{X}, \overline{y})}$ determines on (M) a ring structure, isomorphic with the ring $((M), u, v)$, induced by the bijective function

$$c : (M) \rightarrow (M), \quad c(X) = \overline{X} = M \setminus X.$$

The operations of this ring verify the hypotheses of Theorem 3, so $\overline{u(\overline{X}, \overline{y})} = \overline{X \Delta Y}$ and $\overline{v(\overline{X}, \overline{y})} = \overline{X \cap Y}$. Then:

$$u(X, Y) = \overline{\overline{X \Delta Y}} = \overline{X \Delta Y}$$

$$v(X, Y) = \overline{\overline{X \cap Y}} = X \cup Y, \quad X, Y \in (M), \quad \square$$

Remark 2. The proofs of the theorems have essentially used the fact that the set M is finite. It is an open problem whether the results hold for infinite sets.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. S. Dummit and R. M. Foote, *Abstract Algebra*, 2nd ed., Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, 1999.
- [2] V. Pop, *A functional characterization of the symmetric difference operation*, Studia Univ. Cluj-Napoca (submitted).
- [3] I. Purdea, Gh. Pic, *Treatise of modern algebra*, I, Ed. Acad., Bucuresti, 1977.

Received: 17.09.2002

Department of Mathematics

Technical University

Str. C. Daicoviciu 15, Cluj-Napoca

Romania

E-mail: vasile.pop@utcluj.ro