

Simultaneous approximation by Schurer type operators

DAN BĂRBOSU and MIHAI BĂRBOSU

ABSTRACT. Let p be a given non negative integer. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\tilde{B}_{m,p} : C([0, 1 + p]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$

$$\left(\tilde{B}_{m,p}f\right)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x)f(k/m)$$

be the Schurer operators (introduced and studied by Schurer, K., in 1962). Some approximation properties were studied in ([4]).

In the present paper we study properties of simultaneous approximation for $\tilde{B}_{m,p}$. As particular cases, we get similar properties for Bernstein operators.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let $p \geq 0$ be a given integer. In ([3]) were introduced the Schurer operators $\tilde{B}_{m,p} : C([0, 1 + p]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$

$$(1.1) \quad \left(\tilde{B}_{m,p}f\right)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x)f(k/m),$$

where $\tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) = \binom{m+p}{k}x^k(1-x)^{m+p-k}$ are the fundamental Schurer polynomials (see also ([2])).

The operators (1.1) generalize the classical Bernstein operators, obtained from (1.1) when $p = 0$.

In ([4]) a convergence theorem for the sequence $\{\tilde{B}_{m,p}f\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and estimations for the rate of convergence under different assumptions on the approximated function were established.

In this present paper we study the simultaneous approximation of a function $f \in C^j([0, 1 + p])$ using the Schurer operators (1.1).

Received: 08.01.2003; In revised form: 11.12.2003

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 41A36, 41A28.

Key words and phrases. *Linear positive operators, simultaneous approximation, Schurer operators, Bernstein operators, first order modulus of smoothness, second order modulus of smoothness.*

Section 2 provides properties in connection with the derivatives of $\tilde{B}_{m,p}f$. As consequences of these properties we get formulas for the derivatives of $\tilde{B}_{m,p}$ in terms of finite and divided differences of approximated function.

In Section 3, we establish a convergence theorem for the sequence $\{D^j \tilde{B}_{m,p}f\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, where D^j denotes the j -th order differential operator.

2. THE DERIVATIVES OF $\tilde{B}_{m,p}f$

In what follows we denote by $\tilde{p}_{m,k}(x)$ the fundamental Schurer polynomials

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) = \binom{m+p}{k} x^k (1-x)^{m+p-k}$$

Lemma 2.1. *The polynomials (2.1) verify*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} D^1(\tilde{p}_{m,k})(x) &= (m+p) \{ \tilde{p}_{m-1,k-1}(x) - \tilde{p}_{m-1,k}(x) \} \\ &= \frac{k - (m+p)x}{x(1-x)} \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{p}_{0,0}(x) := 1$, $\tilde{p}_{s,-1}(x) = \tilde{p}_{s,s+1}(x) := 0$, $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Proof. The assertion follows from (2.1), by direct computation. \square

Lemma 2.2. *The following equalities*

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} D^1(\tilde{B}_{m,p}f)(x) &= (m+p) \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-1} \tilde{p}_{m-1,k}(x) \Delta_{\frac{1}{m}} f \left(\frac{k}{m} \right) \\ &= \frac{m+p}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-1} \tilde{p}_{m-1,k}(x) \left[\frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}, f \right] \end{aligned}$$

hold.

Proof. From definition (1.1), we have

$$D^1(\tilde{B}_{m,p}f) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \frac{d}{dx} (\tilde{p}_{m,k})(x) f(k/m).$$

Next, applying Lemma 2.1, yields

$$\begin{aligned} D^1(\tilde{B}_{m,p}f)(x) &= (m+p) \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \tilde{p}_{m-1,k-1}(x) f(k/m) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \tilde{p}_{m-1,k}(x) f(k/m) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Because $\tilde{p}_{m-1,0}(x) = 0$, the first sum of the right side of the above equality can be written in the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \tilde{p}_{m-1,k-1}(x) f(k/m) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-1} \tilde{p}_{m-1,k}(x) ((k+1)/m).$$

Because $\tilde{p}_{m,m+p-1}(x) = 0$, the second sum can be written in the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \tilde{p}_{m-1,k}(x) f(k/m) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-1} \tilde{p}_{m-1,k}(x) f(k/m).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D^1(\tilde{B}_{m,p}f)(x) &= (m+p) \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-1} \tilde{p}_{m-1,k}(x) \{f((k+1)/m) - f(k/m)\} \\ &= (m+p) \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-1} \tilde{p}_{m-1,k}(x) \Delta_{\frac{1}{m}} f(k/m), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the first equality (2.3) is proved.

Taking into account that

$$[k/m, (k+1)/m; f] = m \Delta_{\frac{1}{m}} f(k/m)$$

we get

$$D^1(\tilde{B}_{m,p}f)(x) = \frac{m+p}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-1} \tilde{p}_{m-1,k}(x) \left[\frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}; f \right]$$

and the proof ends. \square

Theorem 2.1. For any non-negative integer $j \leq m+p$ the operators (1.1) verify

$$(2.4) \quad D^j(\tilde{B}_{m,p}f)(x) = m^{[j]} \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-j} \tilde{p}_{m-j,k}(x) \Delta_{1/m}^j f(k/m),$$

where $m^{[j]} = m(m-1)\dots(m-j+1)$.

Proof. For $j = 1$, the equality holds from Lemma 2.2.

Suppose that (2.4) holds for $j := j-1$, i.e.

$$D^{j-1}(\tilde{B}_{m,p}f)(x) = m^{[j-1]} \sum_{k=0}^{m-j+1} \tilde{p}_{m-j+1,k}(x) \Delta_{1/m}^{j-1} f(k/m).$$

Applying Lemma 1.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
D^j(\tilde{B}_{m,p}f)(x) &= m^{[j-1]} \sum_{j=0}^{m+p-j+1} \frac{d}{dx} (\tilde{p}_{m-j+1}(x)) \Delta_{1/m}^{j-1} f(k/m) \\
&= m^{[j]} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-j+1} \tilde{p}_{m-j+1,k-1}(x) \Delta_{1/m}^{j-1} f(k/m) \right. \\
(2.5) \quad &\quad \left. - \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-j+1} \tilde{p}_{m-j+1,k}(x) \Delta_{1/m}^{j-1} f(k/m) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

After some transformations, from (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
D^j(\tilde{B}_{m,p}f)(x) &= (m+p)^{[j]} \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-j} \tilde{p}_{m-j,k}(x) \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \Delta_{1/m}^{j-1} f\left(\frac{k+1}{m}\right) - \Delta_{1/m}^{j-1} f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right) \right\} \\
&= (m+p)^{[j]} \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-j} \tilde{p}_{m-j+1,k-1}(x) \Delta_{1/m}^j f(k/m),
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. the desired identity is proved by induction after j . \square

Corollary 2.1. *The following*

$$(2.6) \quad (\tilde{B}_{m,p}f)(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m+p} \binom{m+p}{j} \Delta_{1/m}^j f(0) x^j$$

holds.

Proof. Applying the Taylor formula, we get

$$(2.7) \quad (\tilde{B}_{m,p}f)(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m+p} D^j(\tilde{B}_{m,p}f)(0) x^j.$$

From Theorem 2.1, we have

$$(2.8) \quad D^j(\tilde{B}_{m,p}f)(0) = (m+p)^{[j]} \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-j} \tilde{p}_{m-j,k}(0) \Delta_{1/m}^j f(k/m).$$

But $\tilde{p}_{m-j,0}(x) = 1$ and for any $k \geq 1$, $\tilde{p}_{m-j,k}(0) = 0$.

Therefore

$$(2.9) \quad D^j(\tilde{B}_{m,p}f)(0) = (m+p)^{[j]} \Delta_{1/m}^j f(0).$$

Using (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) we arrive to the desired result (2.6). \square

Corollary 2.2. For any integer j satisfying $1 < j \leq m + p$, the operators (1.1) verify (2.10)

$$D^j \left(\tilde{B}_{m,p} f \right) (x) = \frac{(m+p)^{[j]}}{m^j} j! \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-j} \tilde{p}_{m-j,k}(x) \left[\frac{k}{m}, \dots, \frac{k+j}{m}; f \right].$$

Proof. The assertion follows from Theorem 2.1, taking into account of the well known relation between finite and divided differences

$$\left[\frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}, \dots, \frac{k+j}{m}; f \right] = \frac{m^j}{j!} \Delta_{1/m}^j f(k/m).$$

□

3. SIMULTANEOUS APPROXIMATION

The main result of this section is

Theorem 3.1. For any $f \in C^j([0, 1+p])$, the sequence $\{D^j \tilde{B}_{m,p} f\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $f^{(j)}$, uniformly on $[0, 1]$ ($1 < j \leq m + p$).

Proof. Using the symbol of Landau (see for example ([5])), the relation

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mu \left(\frac{1}{m} \right) = 0 \text{ can be expressed in the form } \mu \left(\frac{1}{m} \right) = o(1).$$

From the above remark, we can write

$$(3.1) \quad (m+p)^{[j]} \Delta_{1/m}^j f(k/m) = (m+p)^j \{1 + o(1)\} \cdot j! \left[\frac{k}{m}, \dots, \frac{k+j}{m}; f \right].$$

Using the mean theorem for divided differences, from (3.1) it follows that

exists $\xi_k \in \left] \frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+j}{m} \right[$ so that

$$(3.2) \quad (m+p)^{[j]} \Delta_{1/m}^j f(k/m) = \{1 + o(1)\} f^{(j)}(\xi_k).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$f^{(j)}(\xi_k) = \left\{ f^{(j)}(\xi_k) - f^{(j)}(k/m) \right\} + f^{(j)}(k/m) = o(1) + f^{(j)}(k/m),$$

because $\left| \xi_k - \frac{k}{m} \right| < \frac{1}{m}$ and $f \in C^j([0, 1+p])$. Therefore we get the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \{1 + o(1)\} f^{(j)}(\xi_k) &= \{1 + o(1)\} \left\{ o(1) + f^{(j)}(k/m) \right\} \\ &= o(1) + (1 + o(1)) f^{(j)}(k/m) \\ (3.3) \quad &= f^{(j)}(k/m) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 2.1, taking into account that $\xi_k \in [k/m, k + j/m[$, we get

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} D^j \left(\tilde{B}_{m,p} f \right) (x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-j} \tilde{p}_{m-j,k} \left\{ f^{(j)}(k/m) + o(1) \right\} \\ &= \left(\tilde{B}_{m-j} f^{(j)} \right) (x) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Because the sequence $(\tilde{B}_{m-j,p} g)_{m \geq j}$ converges to g , uniformly on $[0, 1]$ for any $g \in C([0, 1 + p])$, from (3.4) we arrive to the desired result. \square

Corollary 3.1. *Let $B_m := \tilde{B}_{m,0}$ be the Bernstein operator. The sequence $\{D^j(B_m f)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $f^{(j)}$, uniformly on $[0, 1]$, for any $f \in C^j([0, 1])$.*

Proof. We apply Theorem 3.1, for $p = 0$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Agratini, O., *Aproximare prin operatori liniari*, (Romanian), Presa Universitară Clujeană, Cluj-Napoca, 2000
- [2] Bărbosu, D., Bărbosu, M., *Properties of the fundamental Schurer polynomials*, Proceed. of "3rd International Conference on Applied Mathematics" (icam3), Baia Mare-Borșa, Romania, October 10-13, 2002, in: Bul.Știin. Univ. Baia Mare, Ser.B, Fasc. Matematică-Informatică, vol.XVIII, Nr.2, 2002, 133-136.
- [3] Bărbosu, D., *Schurer-Stancu type operators*, to appear in Studia "Univ. Babeș-Bolyai"
- [4] Schurer, F., *Linear positive operators in approximation theory*, Math. Inst. Techn. Univ. Delft: Report, 1962
- [5] Stancu, D.D., Coman, Gh., Agratini, O., Trîmbițaș, R., *Analiză Numerică și Teoria Aproximării*, vol.I, Presa Universitară Clujeană, Cluj-Napoca, 2001 (Romanian).

NORTH UNIVERSITY OF BAIA MARE
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
 VICTORIEI 76, 430122, BAIA MARE, ROMANIA
E-mail address: dbarbosu@ubm.ro
E-mail address: danbarbosu@yahoo.com

SUNY
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
 3500 NEW CAMPUS DR. BROCKPORT,
 NY114420, USA
E-mail address: mbarbosu@brockport.edu