

On a set-valued integral

ANCA CROITORU

ABSTRACT. We have introduced in [5] an integral for multifunctions with respect to a multimeasure, both the multifunction and the multimeasure are taking values in the family of all nonempty compact convex subsets of a real Banach algebra. In this work we establish some relations between the integral defined in [5] and the integrals of Aumann [1], Brink - Maritz [3], Brooks [4] and Martellotti - Sambucini [9]. Then we give a Fichtenholz - Kantorovič type theorem using the integral defined in [5].

1. PRELIMINARIES AND DEFINITIONS

Throughout this paper, S be a nonempty set, \mathcal{A} will be an algebra of subsets of S and $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ will be a real Banach algebra (with the identity $e \neq 0$). $\mathcal{P}_{kc}(X) = \mathcal{P}_{kc}$ is the family of all nonempty compact convex subsets of X and D is the Hausdorff metric defined on \mathcal{P}_{kc} . We define $\|B\| = D(B, O) = \sup_{x \in B} \|x\|$ for any $B \in \mathcal{P}_{kc}$, where $O = \{0\}$.

If $A, B \subseteq X$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then $A \cdot B = \{xy | x \in A, y \in B\}$, $\lambda A = \{\lambda x | x \in A\}$,

$$(*) \quad A + B = \{x + y | x \in A, y \in B\}.$$

$\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{P}_{kc}$ will be a semigroup with identity O under the operation $(*)$ such that:

$$(K_1) \quad A \cdot B \in \mathcal{K} \text{ for any } A, B \in \mathcal{K},$$

$$(K_2) \quad A \cdot (B + C) = A \cdot B + A \cdot C \text{ for any } A, B, C \in \mathcal{K},$$

$$(K_3) \quad \lambda A \in \mathcal{K} \text{ for any } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+, A \in \mathcal{K},$$

$$(K_4) \quad \{e\} \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Example 1.1. If $X = \mathbb{R}$, then $\mathcal{K} = \{A | A \in \mathcal{P}_{kc}(\mathbb{R}), A \subset \mathbb{R}_+\}$ satisfies $(K_1) - (K_4)$.

Received: 21.04.2003; In revised form: 13.12.2003

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28B20.

Key words and phrases. *multimeasure, integrable multifunctions, a Fichtenholz - Kantorovič type theorem.*

Definition 1.1. A multifunction $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}$ is said to be a multimeasure (cf. [4]) if:

$$(i) \quad \varphi(\phi) = O,$$

$$(ii) \quad \varphi(E_1 \cup E_2) = \varphi(E_1) + \varphi(E_2), \forall E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{A}, E_1 \cap E_2 = \phi.$$

Definition 1.2. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}$. The variation of φ is the function $\nu(\varphi, \cdot) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$,

$$\nu(\varphi, A) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|\varphi(E_i)\|; (E_i)_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{A}, E_i \cap E_j = \phi (i \neq j), \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i = A \right\},$$

$\forall A \in \mathcal{A}$.

If φ is a multimeasure, then $\nu(\varphi, \cdot)$ is finitely additive.

We extend $\nu(\varphi, \cdot)$ to $\mathcal{P}(S)$ as follows:

$$\tilde{\nu}(\varphi, E) = \inf \{ \nu(\varphi, A) \mid E \subset A, A \in \mathcal{A} \}, \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{P}(S).$$

Definition 1.3. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}$ be a multimeasure. A sequence $(f_n)_n$ of real functions defined on S converges in φ -measure to a function $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ written $f_n \xrightarrow{\varphi} f$ if $f_n \xrightarrow{\nu(\varphi, \cdot)} f$ i.e. for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\nu}(\varphi, \{s \in S; |f_n(s) - f(s)| > \varepsilon\}) = 0$$

(cf. [7]).

Definition 1.4. Let $F, G : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}$ be multifunctions and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote by $\lambda F : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}$, $F + G : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}$, $D(F, G) : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\|F\| : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the functions defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda F)(s) &= \lambda F(s), \\ (F + G)(s) &= F(s) + G(s), \\ (D(F, G))(s) &= D(F(s), G(s)), \\ (\|F\|)(s) &= D(F(s), O), s \in S \end{aligned}$$

Throughout this paper $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ will be a multimeasure and $\nu(\varphi, \cdot) = \nu$ will be the variation of φ such that $\nu(S) < +\infty$.

Definition 1.5. ([5]) (i) A multifunction $F : S \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is said to be a simple multifunction if

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^n C_i \cdot \chi_{A_i},$$

where $C_i \in \mathcal{K}$, $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ ($i \neq j$), $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = S$ and χ_{A_i} is the characteristic function of A_i .

The integral of F over $E \in \mathcal{A}$ with respect to φ is:

$$\int_E F d\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n C_i \cdot \varphi(A_i \cap E) \in \mathcal{K}.$$

(ii) A multifunction $F : S \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is said to be φ -totally measurable if there exists a sequence $(F_n)_n$ of simple multifunctions $F_n : S \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that:

(1) $D(F_n, F)$ is ν -measurable (cf. [7]) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,

(2) $D(F_n, F) \xrightarrow{\nu} 0$ (cf. [7]).

(iii) Let $F : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}$ be a φ -totally measurable multifunction. F is said to be φ -integrable on S if there exists a sequence $(F_n)_n$ of simple multifunctions $F_n : S \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ satisfying the conditions (1), (2) of (ii) and:

(3)

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \int_S D(F_n, F_m) d\nu = 0$$

(the integral is here in the sense of [7]).

Such a sequence $(F_n)_n$ will be said to be a defining sequence for F . In this case we define $\int_S F d\varphi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_S F_n d\varphi$ to be the integral of F over S with respect to φ .

Theorem 1.1. ([5]) Let $F, G : S \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be φ -integrable multifunctions. Then

$$D\left(\int_E F d\varphi, \int_E G d\varphi\right) \leq \int_E D(F, G) d\nu, \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Remark ([5])

i) If $X = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{K} = \{\{x\} | x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $F = \{f\}$ (f is a function), $\varphi = \{\mu\}$ (μ is a finitely additive measure) and F is φ -integrable, then

$$\int_S F d\varphi = \left\{ \int_S f d\mu \right\},$$

where $\int_S f d\mu$ is the Dunford integral ([7]).

ii) If $X = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{K} = \{\{x\} | x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $F = \{f\}$ (f is a function) and F is φ -integrable, then f is Brooks integrable with respect to φ and

$$(B) \int_S f d\varphi = \int_S F d\varphi,$$

where $(B) \int_S f d\varphi$ is the Brooks integral ([4]).

iii) If $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi = \{\mu\}$ (μ is a finitely additive measure), then we get $(MS) \int_S F d\mu$, the Martellotti - Sambucini integral of F with respect to μ ([9]).

Example 1.2. Let $X = \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{K} = \{A \subset \mathbb{R}_+ | A \in \mathcal{P}_{kc}\}$ and let $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}, \varphi(E) = [\mu_1(E), \mu_2(E)]$ for any $E \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\mu_1, \mu_2 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ are bounded finitely additive measures such that $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$.

Let $F : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}$ be a multifunction. Then F can be written in the form $[f, g]$ where $f, g : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions satisfying $f \leq g$.

(a) If F is φ -integrable the integral of F with respect to φ is:

$$\int_E F d\varphi = \left[\int_E f d\mu_1, \int_E g d\mu_2 \right], \forall E \in \mathcal{A}.$$

(b) Suppose there exists $(f_n)_n$ and $(g_n)_n$ defining sequences (with respect to μ_2) for f and g respectively, such that $0 \leq f_n \leq g_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then F is φ -integrable and:

$$\int_E F d\varphi = \left[\int_E f d\mu_1, \int_E g d\mu_2 \right], \forall E \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proposition 1.1. Let $F, G : S \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$.

(i) If F is φ -integrable and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ then λF is φ -integrable and:

$$\int_E \lambda F d\varphi = \lambda \int_E F d\varphi, \forall E \in \mathcal{A}.$$

(ii) If F, G are φ -integrable such that $F + G$ is φ -totally measurable then $F + G$ is φ -integrable and:

$$\int_E (F + G) d\varphi = \int_E F d\varphi + \int_E G d\varphi, \forall E \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. We use the fact that if $(F_n)_n$ and $(G_n)_n$ are defining sequences for F and G then $(\lambda F_n)_n, (F_n + G_n)_n$ are defining sequences for $\lambda F, F + G$ respectively. \square

2. RELATIONS WITH OTHER INTEGRALS

Theorem 2.2. Let $F : S \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be a φ -integrable multifunction. Then the function $\|F\|$ is Brooks-integrable with respect to φ . Moreover, if $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{K} = \{A \subset \mathbb{R}_+ | A \in \mathcal{P}_{kc}\}$ we have:

$$(2.2) \quad (B) \int_E \|F\| d\varphi \subseteq \int_E F d\varphi, \forall E \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. Let $(F_n)_n$ be a defining sequence for F . Then:

$$(2.3) \quad \int_S F d\varphi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_S F_n d\varphi.$$

It follows that $(\|F_n\|)_n$ is a defining sequence (in the sense of [4]) for $\|F\|$, so $\|F\|$ is Brooks-integrable with respect to φ and:

$$(2.4) \quad (B) \int_S \|F\| d\varphi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (B) \int_S \|F_n\| d\varphi.$$

Since F_n is simple,

$$(2.5) \quad (B) \int_S \|F_n\| d\varphi \subseteq \int_S F_n d\varphi, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and the properties of Hausdorff metric it follows (2.2). \square

Theorem 2.3. *If the multifunction $F : S \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is φ -integrable then F is Martellotti-Sambucini-integrable with respect to ν . Moreover, if $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{K} = \{A \subset \mathbb{R}_+ | A \in \mathcal{P}_{kc}\}$ it follows:*

$$(MS) \int_E F d\nu \subseteq \int_E F d\varphi, \forall E \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of previous theorem. \square

Definition 2.6. *For a multimeasure $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, we denote by $\mathcal{S}(\varphi)$ the set of all selection finitely additive measures of φ , i.e. $\mathcal{S}(\varphi) = \{\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X | \mu$ is a finitely additive measure and $\mu(E) \in \varphi(E), \forall E \in \mathcal{A}\}$.*

In the sequel, we suppose $\mathcal{S}(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $F : S \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be a φ -integrable multifunction, let $\mu \in \mathcal{S}(\varphi)$ and we denote the variation of μ by $|\mu|$. Then F is Martellotti-Sambucini-integrable with respect to $|\mu|$. Moreover, if $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{K} = \{A \subset \mathbb{R}_+ | A \in \mathcal{P}_{kc}\}$ then we have:*

$$(MS) \int_E F d\mu \subseteq \int_E F d\varphi, \forall E \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. Let $(F_n)_n$ be a defining sequence for F (cf. definition 1.6 - (iii)). Since $\|\mu(A)\| \leq \|\varphi(A)\|$ and $|\mu|(E) \leq \nu(E), \forall E \in \mathcal{A}$, it follows that $(F_n)_n$ is a defining sequence (in the sense of [9]) for F hence F is Martellotti-Sambucini-integrable with respect to $|\mu|$ and we have:

$$(2.6) \quad \int_E F d\varphi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E F_n d\varphi, \forall E \in \mathcal{A},$$

$$(2.7) \quad (MS) \int_E F d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (MS) \int_E F_n d\varphi, \forall E \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We prove the last statement for $E = S$. Since F_n is simple,

$$(2.8) \quad (MS) \int_S F_n d\mu \subseteq \int_S F d\varphi, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) it results:

$$(MS) \int_S F d\mu \subseteq \int_S F d\varphi$$

which completes the proof. \square

Definition 2.7. (i) For a multifunction $F : S \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ and a finitely additive measure $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$, let $\mathcal{S}^1(F) = \{f \in L^1(\mu) \mid f(s) \in F(s)\mu - a.e. s \in S\}$.

(ii) Let $F : S \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be a multifunction with $\mathcal{S}^1(F) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{S}(\varphi)$.

The Aumann integral of F with respect to μ ([1]) is

$$(A) \int_S F d\mu = \left\{ \int_S f d\mu \mid f \in \mathcal{S}^1(F) \right\}.$$

The integral of a function $f : S \rightarrow X$ with respect to φ is

$$\tilde{\int}_S f d\varphi = \left\{ \int_S f d\mu \mid \mu \in \mathcal{S}(\varphi) \right\}.$$

The Brink - Maritz integral of F with respect to φ ([3]) is

$$(BM) \int_S F d\varphi = \left\{ \int_S f d\mu \mid f \in \mathcal{S}^1(F), \mu \in \mathcal{S}(\varphi) \right\}.$$

Remark

$$(BM) \int_S F d\varphi = \cup_{\mu \in \mathcal{S}(\varphi)} (A) \int_S F d\mu = \cup_{f \in \mathcal{S}^1(F)} \tilde{\int}_S f d\varphi.$$

Theorem 2.5. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{S}(\varphi) = \{\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \mid \mu \text{ is a selection finitely additive measure of } \varphi\}$. If $F : S \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is a simple multifunction

with $\mathcal{S}^1(F) \neq \emptyset$, then $(BM) \int_S F d\varphi \subseteq \int_S F d\varphi$.

Proof. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{S}(\varphi)$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}^1(F)$. Let $F = \sum_{i=1}^n C_i \cdot 1_{A_i}$, $C_i \in \mathcal{K}$, $A_i \in$

\mathcal{A} , $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset (i \neq j)$, $\cup_{i=1}^n A_i = S$.

Since $f(s) \in F(s)\mu - a.e. s \in S$,

$$\int_S f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{A_i} f d\mu \in \sum_{i=1}^n C_i \cdot \varphi(A_i) = \int_S F d\varphi.$$

It follows $(BM) \int_S F d\varphi \subseteq \int_S F d\varphi$. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, $F : S \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is a φ -integrable multifunction with $\mathcal{S}^1(F) \neq \phi$ and there exists a defining sequence $(F_n)_n$ for F such that $F(s) \subseteq F_{k_n}(s)\nu$ -a.e. $s \in S$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, for a subsequence $(F_{k_n})_n$ of $(F_n)_n$. Then $(BM) \int_S F d\varphi \subseteq \int_S F d\varphi$.*

Proof. Since $(F_n)_n$ is a defining sequence of F , $\int_S F d\varphi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_S F_n d\varphi$. Thus

$$(2.9) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } D\left(\int_S F_n d\varphi, \int_S F d\varphi\right) < \varepsilon, \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}^1(F)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{S}(\varphi)$. From the hypothesis it results:

$$(2.10) \quad F(s) \subseteq F_{k_n}(s)\mu\text{-a.e. } s \in S, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Cf. (2.10), $f(s) \in F_{k_n}(s)\mu$ -a.e., $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. From theorem 2.7, we have:

$$(2.11) \quad \int_S f d\mu \in \int_S F_{k_n} d\varphi, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From (2.9) and (2.11) it follows

$$0 \leq d\left(\int_S f d\mu, \int_S F d\varphi\right) \leq D\left(\int_S F_{k_n} d\varphi, \int_S F d\varphi\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Thus,

$$d\left(\int_S f d\mu, \int_S F d\varphi\right) = 0 \Rightarrow \int_S f d\mu \in \int_S F d\varphi \Rightarrow (BM) \int_S F d\varphi \subseteq \int_S F d\varphi.$$

Theorem 2.7. *Let $X = \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{K} = \{A \subset \mathbb{R}_+ | A \in \mathcal{P}_{kc}\}$ and $\mathcal{S}(\varphi) = \{\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ | \mu \text{ is a selection finitely additive measure of } \varphi\}$. If $F : S \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is φ -integrable and $\mathcal{S}^1(F) \neq \emptyset$, then:*

$$(2.12) \quad (BM) \int_E F d\varphi \subseteq \int_E F d\varphi, \forall E \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{S}(\varphi)$ and $F(s) = [f(s), g(s)], s \in S$. Cf. theorem 2.4, F is Martellotti-Sambucini integrable with respect to μ and:

$$(2.13) \quad (MS) \int_S F d\mu \subseteq \int_S F d\varphi.$$

Then f and g are μ -integrable functions and

$$(MS) \int_S F d\mu = \left[\int_S f d\mu, \int_S g d\mu \right].$$

Let $h \in \mathcal{S}^1(F)$.

Then we have

$$\int_S f d\mu \leq \int_S h d\mu \leq \int_S g d\mu \text{ and thus}$$

$$(2.14) \quad \int_S h d\mu \in (MS) \int_S F d\mu.$$

From (2.13) and (2.14) we obtain: $\int_S h d\mu \in \int_S F d\varphi$ and the theorem is proved. \square

3. A FICHTENHOLZ-KANTOROVIČ TYPE THEOREM

Definition 3.8. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}$ and $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. φ is said to be μ -continuous, written $\varphi \ll \mu$, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $E \in \mathcal{A}, \mu(E) < \delta$ implies $v(\varphi, E) < \varepsilon$.

Definition 3.9. For any $A \subseteq S$ let $\gamma_A : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}, \gamma_A = \{e\} \cdot 1_A$.

Definition 3.10. Let $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a countably additive measure. We introduce the following spaces:

$$\tilde{L}^\infty = \{F : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc} \mid \|F\| \in L^\infty(\mu)\},$$

$$\tilde{L}(\varphi) = \{F : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc} \mid F \text{ is } \varphi\text{-integrable and } F \in \tilde{L}^\infty\}.$$

Let $d(F, G) = \|D(F, G)\|_\infty, \forall F, G \in \tilde{L}(\varphi)$. Then d is a pseudometric over $\tilde{L}(\varphi)$.

Theorem 3.8. Let $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a bounded countably additive measure such that $\varphi \ll \mu$ and we define $T : \tilde{L}(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ by $T(F) = \int_S F d\varphi, \forall F \in \tilde{L}(\varphi)$. Then:

- (a) $T(\lambda F) = \lambda T(F), \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+, F \in \tilde{L}(\varphi)$
- (b) $T(F + G) = T(F) + T(G), \forall F, G \in \tilde{L}(\varphi)$ with $F + G \in \tilde{L}(\varphi)$
- (c) there exists $r > 0$ such that $D(T(F), T(G)) \leq r d(F, G)$ whenever $F, G \in \tilde{L}(\varphi)$ and $D(F, G)$ is \mathcal{A} -measurable.

Proof. (a) and (b) result from (a) and (b) of Proposition 1.10 respectively.

(c) Let $F, G \in \tilde{L}(\varphi)$ such that $D(F, G)$ is \mathcal{A} -measurable. From theorem 1.7 it follows:

$$D(T(F), T(G)) = D\left(\int_S F d\varphi, \int_S G d\varphi\right) \leq \int_S D(F, G) d\nu \leq \nu(S) d(F, G).$$

Now we set $\nu(S) = r$ and the statement is proved. \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let S be a nonempty set, let \mathcal{A} be a σ -algebra of subsets of S and let $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a bounded countably additive measure. Let $T : \tilde{L}^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}$ be a multifunction such that:*

- (i) $T(\lambda F) = \lambda T(F)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+, F \in \tilde{L}^\infty$,
- (ii) $T(F + G) = T(F) + T(G)$ for any $F, G \in \tilde{L}^\infty$ satisfying $F + G \in \tilde{L}^\infty$,
- (iii) there exists $r > 0$ such that $D(T(F), T(G)) \leq r(\|F\| + \|G\|), \forall F, G \in \tilde{L}^\infty$,
- (iv) $\|T(\gamma_E)\| \leq \mu(E), \forall E \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (v) $F \in \tilde{L}^\infty, F : S \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \Rightarrow T(F) \in \mathcal{K}$.

Then there exists a multimeasure $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that $\nu(S) < +\infty$ (where ν is the variation of φ) with properties:

- (a) $\varphi \ll \mu$,
- (b) $T(\|F\|) = (B) \int_S \|F\| d\varphi, \forall F \in \tilde{L}(\varphi)$.

Proof. (a) Let $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}, \varphi(E) = T(\gamma_E), \forall E \in \mathcal{A}$. From (ii) it results that φ is a multimeasure and from (iv) we have $\|\varphi(E)\| \leq \mu(E), \forall E \in \mathcal{A}$.

If $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{A}$ is any partition of S then $\sum_{i=1}^n \|\varphi(E_i)\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i) = \mu(S)$. Since $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is arbitrary, $\nu(E) \leq \mu(E) < +\infty$. Analogously it follows:

$$(3.15) \quad \nu(E) \leq \mu(E), \forall E \in \mathcal{A}.$$

From (3.15) it results $\varphi \ll \mu$.

(b) Let $F \in \tilde{L}(\varphi)$. So, $\|F\|$ is Brooks-integrable (cf. theorem 2.1). Let

$$b = \text{esssup}\|F\|, a = \text{essinf}\|F\|,$$

$$A_i = \{s \in S \mid a + \frac{(b-a)i}{n} \leq \|F(s)\| < a + \frac{(b-a)(i+1)}{n}\}, i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-2\},$$

$$A_{n-1} = \{s \in S \mid a + \frac{(b-a)(n-1)}{n} \leq \|F(s)\| \leq b\}, n \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ and let}$$

$$f_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [a + \frac{(b-a)i}{n}] \cdot 1_{A_i}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Then:

$|f_n - \|F\|| < \frac{b-a}{n}$ μ -a.e. for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Hence

$$T(\|F\|) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(f_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\{f_n \cdot e\}) =$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [a + \frac{(b-a)i}{n}] \cdot \gamma_{A_i}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [a + \frac{(b-a)i}{n}] \cdot \varphi(A_i) =$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (B) \int_S f_n d\varphi = \int_S \|F\| d\varphi$$

and thus the theorem is proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Aumann, R. J., *Integrals of set-valued maps*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 12(1965), 1-12
- [2] Banach, S., *Théorie des opérations linéaires*, Monografie Matematyczne, Warsaw, 1932
- [3] Brink, H.E., Maritz, P., *Integration of multifunctions with respect to a multimeasure*, Glasnik Matematički, 35(55), 2000, 313 - 334
- [4] Brooks, J. K., *An integration theory for set-valued measures I+II*, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège, 37(1968), 312-319+375-380
- [5] Croitoru, A., *A set-valued integral*, Analele Științifice ale Univ. "Al. I. Cuza", Iași, s. I-a Matem., 44(1998), fasc. 1, 101-112
- [6] Croitoru, A., *A Radon-Nikodym theorem for multimeasures*, Analele Științifice ale Univ. "Al. I. Cuza", Iași, s. I-a Matem., 44(1998), fasc. 2, 395-402
- [7] Dunford, N., Schwartz, J., *Linear operators I: General Theory*, Interscience, N. Y., 1958
- [8] Fichtenholz, G., Kantorovič, L., *Sur les opérations linéaires dans l'espace des fonctions bornées*, Studia Math., 5(1934), 69-98
- [9] Martellotti, A., Sambucini, A. R., *A Radon-Nikodym theorem for multimeasures*, Atti. Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, 42(1994), 579-599
- [10] Precupanu, A. M., *A Brooks type integral with respect to a set-valued measure*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 3(1996), 533-546
- [11] Titchmarsh, E. C., *The theory of functions*, Oxford University Press, 1939
- [12] Yosida, K., Hewitt, E., *Finitely additive measures*, Trans. AMS, 72(1952), 46-66

"AL. I. CUZA" UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS
IAȘI, 700506, ROMANIA
E-mail address: croitoru@uaic.ro