## Another General Fixed Point Principles

ANDREI HORVAT - MARC and MĂDĂLINA BERINDE

ABSTRACT. In the terms of fixed point structures two general fixed point principle was given by I. A. Rus ([3], [4]). In this paper we establish another fixed point principles in terms of fixed point structures.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATIONS

Let X be a nonempty set. We denote by P(X) the set of all nonempty subset of X. If  $Y \in P(X)$ , than (Y) is the set of all mappings  $f: Y \to Y$ . Let be I(f) the set of all inward subset of f, i.e.

 $I(f) = \{ Z \in P(Y) : f(Z) \subset Z \}.$ 

For  $f: Y \to Y$  consider  $F_f = \{x \in Y : f(x) = x\}$ , the set of all fixed point for f, and  $K_f = \{x \in Y : f(x) = 0\}$ . We say that a set X has the fixed point property with respect to  $M(X) \subset (X)$  if for every  $f \in M(X)$  we have  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

**Definition 1.1.** (Rus [3], [4]) A triple (X, S(X), M) is a fixed point structure on the set X if:

 $(S_1)$   $S(X) \subset P(X), S(X) \neq \emptyset;$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} (S_1) & \sim (X) \subseteq I \quad (Y) \quad X \in (Y) \quad Y \in (Y) \\ (S_2) & M : P(X) \rightarrow \bigcup_{Y \in P(X)} \quad (Y) \text{ is a multivalued mapping such that, if } Z \in (Y) \cap I(Y) \text{ and } f \in M(Y) \text{ than} \end{array}$ 

$$f|_{Z} \in M\left(Z\right);$$

 $(S_3)$  every  $Y \in S(X)$  has the fixed point property with respect to M(Y).

**Example 1.1.** [Mönch] Let X be a Banach space,  $S = P_{cl,cv}(X)$  and  $M(Y) = \{f: Y \to Y; f \text{ is continuous and for some } x_0 \in Y \text{ and for all } C \subset Y \text{ countable, the inclusion } C \subset \overline{cv} \{\{x_0\} \cup f(C)\} \text{ implies } \overline{C} \text{ compact } \}.$  The triple (X, S(X), M) is a fixed point structure.

Another examples of fixed point structures it can find in [3], [4], [8] and [2]. In fact, for any fixed point theorem it might to formulate a fixed point structure. The importance of this notion is the unitary point of view to fixed point theorem. In the following we remainder some definition (for details see [3] - [8]).

**Definition 1.2.** Let X be a set and (X, S(X), M) a fixed point structure. Consider the set  $Z \subset P(X)$  such that  $S(X) \subset Z$  and the operators  $\theta : Z \to [0, \infty)$ ,  $\eta : P(X) \to P(X)$ . We said that the pair  $(\theta, \eta)$  is compatible with fixed point structure (X, S(X), M) if:

Received: 26.09.2004; In revised form: 17.01.2005

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H09, 47H99.

Key words and phrases. *metric space, contraction, measure of noncompactness, fixed point structures.* 

Andrei Horvat - Marc and Mădălina Berinde

- $(C_1)$   $A \subset \eta(A)$  for every  $A \in P(X)$ ;
- $(C_2)$  if  $A \subset B$  then  $\eta(A) \subset \eta(B)$  for any  $A, B \in P(X)$ ;
- $(C_3) \ \eta^2 = \eta;$
- (C<sub>4</sub>)  $S(X) \subset \eta(Z) \subset Z$  and  $\theta(\eta(A)) = \theta(A)$  for all  $A \in Z$ ;
- $(C_5)$   $F_{\eta} \cap K_{\theta} \subset S(X).$

Remark that a mapping  $\eta : P(X) \to P(X)$  which verify  $(C_1) - (C_3)$  is a closure operator. Example of pair compatible with a fixed point structure it can find in [3] and [4].

**Definition 1.3.** A function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is a comparison function if:  $(\varphi_1) \ \varphi$  is monotone increasing, i.e.  $t_1 \leq t_2$  implies  $\varphi(t_1) \leq \varphi(t_2)$ ;  $(\varphi_2) \ (\varphi^n(t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to 0 for all  $t \leq 0$ .

For a comparison function we have the next result:

**Lemma 1.1.** (Rus [7]) If  $\varphi$  is a comparison function then

$$\varphi(t) < t$$
 for all  $t > 0$ .

In the sequel we make the next notations":

- $P_b(X)$  is the set of all nonempty and bounded subsets of X;
- $P_{cp}(X)$  is the set of all nonempty and compact subsets of X;
- $P_{b,cl}(X)$  is the set of all nonempty, close and compact subsets of X;

**Definition 1.4.** (Rus [7]) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. A mapping  $\alpha : P_b(X) \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is called a measure of noncompactness on X if and only if

- $(\alpha_1) \ \alpha(A) = 0 \text{ implies } \bar{A} \in P_{cp}(X),$  $(\alpha_2) \ \alpha(A) = \alpha(\bar{A}) \text{ for all } A \in P_b(X),$
- $(\alpha_2) \quad \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text{if } (\alpha_2) \quad \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$
- $(\alpha_4)$  if  $A_n \in P_{b,cl}(X)$ ,  $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha(A_n) = 0$ , imply that  $\bigcap A_n \neq \emptyset$  and  $\alpha \left(\bigcap A_n\right) = 0$

$$\bigcap_{n\geq 1} A_n \neq \emptyset, \text{ and } \alpha \left(\bigcap_{n\geq 1} A_n\right) = 0$$

**Definition 1.5.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. A mapping  $\alpha_P : P_b(X) \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is called a *Pasicki's measure of noncompactness* if satisfies the conditions  $(\alpha_1) - (\alpha_3)$  and

 $(\alpha_5) \ \alpha_P (A \cup \{x\}) = \alpha_P (A) \text{ for all } A \in P_b (X), \text{ and } x \in X.$ 

Axioms from Definition 1.4 admit to consider measures of noncompactness which is not permitted by Definition 1.5, see [7] for examples.

**Definition 1.6.** (Rus [7]) Let (X, d) be a metric space,  $\alpha$  a measure of noncompactness and  $\varphi$  a comparison function. A mapping  $f : X \to X$  is a  $(\alpha, \varphi)$ contraction if and only if

$$\alpha\left(f\left(A\right)\right) \leq \varphi\left(\alpha\left(A\right)\right) \text{ for all } A \in I_{b}\left(f\right).$$

The next result is The First General Fixed Point Principle.

**Theorem 1.1.** (Rus [4]) Let (X, S(X), M) be a fixed point structure and  $(\theta, \eta)$  a compatible pair with (X, S(X), M). Let  $Y \in \eta(Z)$  and  $f \in M(Y)$ . Assume that:

46

(H<sub>1</sub>) the map  $\theta: Z \to [0, \infty)$  is such that for every sequence  $\{A_n\}_{n \ge 1} \subset Z$  with  $A_{n+1} \subset A_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim \theta(A_n) = 0$ , imply that

$$A_{\infty} = \bigcap_{n \ge 1} A_n \neq \emptyset, \ A_{\infty} \in \mathbb{Z} \ and \ \theta(A_{\infty}) = 0;$$

(H<sub>2</sub>) f is a  $(\theta, \varphi)$ -contraction.

Then

a)  $F_f \neq \emptyset;$ 

b) if  $F_f \in Z$  then  $\theta(F_f) = 0$ .

We remainder that a functional  $\theta: Z \to [0, \infty)$  which verify  $(H_1)$  is called a functional with intersection property.

The next result is known as The Second General Fixed Point Principle.

**Theorem 1.2.** (Rus [4]) Let (X, S(X), M) be a fixed point structure and  $(\theta, \eta)$  a compatible pair with (X, S(X), M). Let  $Y \in \eta(Z)$  and  $f \in M(Y)$ . Assume that  $(K_1)$  for any  $A \in Z$  and  $x \in X$  we have  $A \cup \{x\} \in Z$  and  $\theta(A \cup \{x\}) = \theta(A)$ ;  $(K_2)$  inequality  $\theta(f(A)) < \theta(A)$  holds for any  $A \in I(f) \cap Z$  with  $\theta(A) \neq 0$ . Then

a)  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ ;

b) if  $F_f \in Z$  then  $\theta(F_f) = 0$ .

In both this theorems, we can replace the hypothesis  $Y \subset \eta(Z)$  and  $f \in M(Y)$  with

 $Y \in F_n$  and  $f \in M(Y)$  such that  $f(Y) \in Z$ 

2. Another general fixed point principle

For convenience, we introduce a new notion:

**Definition 2.7.** Let X be a nonempty set  $Y, Z \in P(X)$ ,  $\theta : Z \to [0, \infty)$  and  $\eta : P(X) \to P(X)$  a map which verifies  $(C_1) - (C_3)$ . The map  $f \in M(Y)$  is  $(\theta, \eta)$ -*Mönch operator* if for some  $x_0 \in Y$  and for any  $A \in P(Y) \cap Z$ , A countable, the equality

 $A = \eta \left( \{x_0\} \cup f(A) \right) \text{ implies } \theta(A) = 0.$ 

**Example 2.2.** Let (X, d) be a metric space,  $Y, Z \in P(X)$ . Consider the mappings  $f \in M(Y), \theta : Z \to [0, \infty)$  and  $\eta : P(X) \to P(X)$  where the last one verifies  $(C_1) - (C_3)$ . Suppose that  $(K_1)$  and  $(K_2)$  are true, then f is an  $(\theta, \eta)$ -Mönch operator. Indeed, for  $A \in P(Y) \cap Z$  with  $\theta(A) \neq 0$  we have

 $\theta\left(A\right) = \theta\left(\eta\left(\{x_0\} \cup f\left(A\right)\right)\right) = \theta\left(\{x_0\} \cup f\left(A\right)\right) = \theta\left(f\left(A\right)\right) < \theta\left(A\right)$ This is impossible, so  $\theta\left(A\right) = 0$ .

**Example 2.3.** Let (X, d) be a metric space,  $Y, Z \in P(X)$ . Consider the mappings  $f \in M(Y), \theta : Z \to [0, \infty)$  and  $\eta : P(X) \to P(X)$  where the last one verifies  $(C_1) - (C_3)$ . Suppose that  $(K_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  are true, then f is an  $(\theta, \eta)$ -Mönch operator. Indeed, for  $A \in I(f) \cap Z$  with we have

$$\theta(A) = \theta(\eta(\{x_0\} \cup f(A))) = \theta(\{x_0\} \cup f(A)) = \theta(f(A)) \le \varphi(\theta(A))$$
  
This is a contradiction with  $\varphi(\theta(A)) < \theta(A)$ . So,  $\theta(A) = 0$ .

47

In general, if  $\theta = \alpha_P$  is a Pasicki's measure of noncompactness then a  $(\alpha_P, \varphi)$ contraction or  $\alpha_P$ -condensing is a  $(\theta, \eta)$ -Mönch operator.

**Example 2.4.** Let (X, d) be a metric space,  $x_0 \in X$  and the functional  $\delta$  :  $P(X) \to [0, \infty)$ , given by

$$\delta(A) = \sup \left\{ d\left(a, b\right); a, b \in A \right\}.$$

Obviously,  $\delta(A) = 0$  if and only if A has a single one element, i.e.  $A = \{x_0\}$ . Hence,  $f \in M(X)$  is  $(\delta, \eta)$ -Mönch operator implies  $f(x) = x_0$  for all  $x \in X$ . So, f is  $(\delta, \eta)$ -Mönch operator if and only if f is constant.

The main theorem of this paper is the next result:

**Theorem 2.3.** Let (X, S(X), M) be a fixed point structure and  $(\theta, \eta)$  a compatible pair with (X, S(X), M). Let  $Y \subset \eta(Z)$  and  $f \in M(Y)$ . Assume that f is an  $(\theta, \eta)$ -Mönch operator. Then

a) 
$$I(f) \cap S(X) \neq \emptyset;$$
  
b)  $F_f \neq \emptyset.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in Y$ . We use the following lemma

**Lemma 2.2.** Let X be a nonempty set and  $\eta : P(X) \to P(X)$  a map which satisfies  $(C_1) - (C_3)$ . Let  $Y \in F_{\eta}$ ,  $A \in P(Y)$  and  $f : Y \to Y$ . Then, there is a set  $A_0 \subset Y$  for which the next affirmation are true:

 $\begin{array}{ll} (L_1) & A \subset A_0; \\ (L_2) & A_0 \in F_{\eta}; \\ (L_3) & A_0 \in I(f); \\ (L_4) & \eta \left( f \left( A_0 \right) \cup A \right) = A_0, \end{array}$ 

and deduce there exists  $A_0 \subset Y$  such that  $A_0 \in F_\eta \cap I(f)$  and  $A_0 = \eta(\{x_0\} \cup f(A_0))$ . Since f is an  $(\theta, \eta)$ -Mönch operator, results  $\theta(A_0) = 0$ . Hence  $A_0 \in F_\eta \cap K_\theta$ . But the pair  $(\theta, \eta)$  is compatible with fixed point structure, therefore  $A_0 \in S(X)$ . So  $A_0 \in S(X) \cap I(f)$ , i.e.  $I(f) \cap S(X) \neq \emptyset$ .

From  $f|_{A_0} \in M(A_0)$  and  $A_0 \in S(X)$  results  $F_f \neq \emptyset$ .

As a consequence, we have

**Theorem 2.4.** Let (X, S(X), M) be a fixed point structure and  $(\theta, \eta)$  a compatible pair with (X, S(X), M). Let  $Y \subset \eta(Z)$  and  $f \in M(Y)$ . Assume that  $(H_2)$  and  $(K_1)$  hold. Then

a)  $I(f) \cap S(X) \neq \emptyset;$ b)  $F_f \neq \emptyset;$ c) if  $F_f \in Z$  then  $\theta(F_f) = 0.$ 

*Proof.* If the statements  $(H_2)$  and  $(K_1)$  hold, then f is  $(\theta, \eta)$ -Mönch operator, so the conclusions result by Theorem 2.3.

Example 2.4 shows that Theorem 2.3 do not generalize Theorem 1.1.

48

## References

- [1] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham and X. Liu, *Nonlinear Integral Equation in Abstract Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1996
- [2] A. Horvat-Marc, Retraction Methods in Fixed Point Theory, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory Cluj Napoca, 1 (2000), 39-54
- [3] I.A. Rus, Fixed point structures, Mathematica, 28 (1986), 59-64
- [4] I.A. Rus, Further remarks on the fixed point structures, Studia Univ. Babeş Bolyai,  ${\bf 31}$  (1986), 41-43
- [5] I.A. Rus, Retraction Method in the Fixed Point Theory in Ordered Structures, Seminar of Fixed Point Theory, (1988), 1-8
- [6] I.A. Rus, Some Open Problems of Fixed Point Theory, Seminar of Fixed Point Theory, (1999), 19-39
- [7] I.A. Rus, Generalized Contraction and Application, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 2001
- [8] I.A. Rus, A. Petruşel, G. Petruşel, Fixed Point Theory 1950-2000 Romanian Contributions, House of the Book of Science, Cluj-Napoca, 2002

North University of Baia Mare Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Victoriei 76, 430122 Baia Mare, Romania *E-mail address*: hmandrei@rdslink.ro

Babeş Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca Faculty of Economics Department of Statistics - Analysis - Forecast - Mathematics *E-mail address*: madalina\_berinde@yahoo.com