CARPATHIAN J. MATH. **20** (2004), No. 2, 169 - 175

Generalized Inverses of Means

IULIA COSTIN

ABSTRACT. A mean N is called complementary to M with respect to P if it verifies the relation

$$P(M(a,b), N(a,b)) = P(a,b), \forall a, b > 0.$$

The complementary of M with respect to the geometric mean was called by C. Gini the inverse of M. We call the complementary of M with respect to a weighted geometric mean, generalized inverse of M. We study some generalized inverses, using the series expansion of means.

1. INTRODUCTION

Usually the means are given by the following

Definition 1.1. A mean is a function $M : \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$, which has the property

 $\min(a,b) \le M(a,b) \le \max(a,b), \ \forall a,b > 0 .$

We use here weighted Gini means defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_{r,s;\lambda}(a,b) = \left[\frac{\lambda \cdot a^r + (1-\lambda) \cdot b^r}{\lambda \cdot a^s + (1-\lambda) \cdot b^s}\right]^{\frac{1}{r-s}}, \ r \neq s$$

with $\lambda \in [0,1]$ fixed. Weighted Lehmer mean, $C_{r;\lambda} = \mathcal{B}_{r,r-1;\lambda}$ and weighted power means $\mathcal{P}_{r,\lambda} = \mathcal{B}_{r,0;\lambda}$ are also used. We remark that

$$\mathcal{B}_{r,s;0} = \mathcal{C}_{r;0} = \mathcal{P}_{r,0} = \Pi_2 \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_{r,s;1} = \mathcal{C}_{r;1} = \mathcal{P}_{r,1} = \Pi_1 ,$$

where we denoted by Π_1 and Π_2 the first respectively the second projections defined by

$$\Pi_1(a,b) = a, \ \Pi_2(a,b) = b, \ \forall a,b \ge 0.$$

Given three means M, N and P, their composition

$$P(M, N)(a, b) = P(M(a, b), N(a, b)), \ \forall a, b > 0,$$

defines also a mean P(M, N).

Definition 1.2. A mean N is called **complementary to** M with respect to P (or P-complementary to M) if verifies

$$P(M,N) = P.$$

More comments on this notion and its importance can be found in [6]. We study the complementariness with respect to weighted geometric means $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda} = \mathcal{P}_{0,\lambda}$. We denote the \mathcal{G}_{λ} - complementary of M by $M^{\mathcal{G}(\lambda)}$ and we call it the generalized inverse of M.

Received: 26.09.2004; In revised form: 10.01.2005

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 26E60.

Key words and phrases. Generalized inverse of a mean, series expansion of a mean, complementary of a mean with respect to another, weighted Gini means.

Iulia Costin

2. Series expansion of means

For the study of some problems related to means in [5] is used the power series expansion. In fact, for a mean M is considered the series of the normalized functions $M(1, 1-x), x \in (0, 1)$.

For example, in [3] is given the series expansion of the weighted Gini mean

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}_{p,p-r;t}(1,1-x) &= 1 - (1-t) \cdot x + t \, (1-t) \, (2p-r-1) \cdot \frac{x^2}{2!} - t \, (1-t) \\ &\cdot \left\{t[6p^2 - 6p \, (r+1) + (r+1) \, (2r+1)] - 3p \, (p-r) - (r-1) \, (r+1)\right\} \cdot \frac{x^3}{3!} \\ &- t \, (1-t) \cdot \left\{t^2[-24p^3 + 36p^2 \, (r+1) - 12p \, (r+1) \, (2r+1) + (r+1) \, (2r+1) \right. \\ &\cdot (3r+1)] + t[24p^3 - 12p^2 \, (3r+1) + 12p \, (r+1) \, (2r-1) - 3 \, (r+1) \, (2r+1) \\ &\cdot (r-1)] - -4p^3 + 6p^2 \, (r-1) - 2p \, (2r^2 - 3r-1) + (r-2) \, (r-1) \, (r+1)\right\} \\ &\cdot \frac{x^4}{4!} - -t \, (1-t) \cdot \left[t^3 \, (120p^4 - 240p^3 \, (r+1) + 120p^2 (r+1) (2r+1) \\ &- 20p (r+1) (2r+1) (3r+1) + (r+1) (2r+1) (3r+1) (4r+1)\right) \\ &+ t^2 \, (-180p^4 + 180p^3 (2r+1) - 90p^2 (r+1) (4r-1) + 30p (r+1) (2r+1) (3r-2) \\ &- 6(r-1) (r+1) (2r+1) (3r+1)) + t \, (70p^4 - 20p^3 (7r-2) + 10p^2 (14r^2 - 6r-9) \\ &- 10p (r+1) (7r^2 - 12r+3) + (r-1) (2r+1) (7r-11) (r+1) - 5p^4 + 10p^3 (r-2) \\ &- 5p^2 (2r^2 - 6r+3) + 5p (r-2) (r^2 - 2r-1) - (r+1) (r-1) (r-2) (r-3) \right] \cdot \frac{x^5}{5!} + \cdots \end{split}$$

We need also the following result proved in [2].

Theorem 2.1. If the mean M has the series expansion

$$M(1, 1 - x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n,$$

then the first terms of the series expansion of its generalized inverse $M^{\mathcal{G}(\lambda)}$ are $M^{\mathcal{G}(\lambda)}(1, 1 - x) = 1 - (1 + \alpha \cdot a_1) \cdot x + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left[(\alpha + 1) \cdot a_1^2 + 2(a_1 - a_2) \right] \cdot x^2$ $- \frac{\alpha}{6} \left[(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2) \cdot a_1^3 + 3(\alpha + 1) \cdot a_1(a_1 - 2a_2) + 6(a_3 - a_2) \right] \cdot x^3$ $+ \frac{\alpha}{24} [(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)(\alpha + 3) \cdot a_1^4 + 4a_1^2(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)(a_1 - 3a_2)$ $+ 12(\alpha + 1)(a_2^2 - 2a_1(a_2 - a_3)) + 24(a_3 - a_4)] \cdot x^4 - \frac{\alpha}{5!} [(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2) \cdot (\alpha + 3)(\alpha + 4) \cdot a_1^5 + 5a_1^3(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)(\alpha + 3)(a_1 - 4a_2) - 60a_1^2$ $\cdot (\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)(a_2 - a_3) + 60a_1(\alpha + 1)((\alpha + 2)a_2^2 + 2(a_3 - a_4)) + 60a_2(\alpha + 1) \cdot (a_2 - 2a_3) - 120(a_4 - a_5)] \cdot x^5 + \cdots$ where

$$\alpha = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}.$$

170

Generalized Inverses of Means

3. Generalized inverses of Gini means

As a consequence of the previous result, we get the following

Corollary 3.1. The first terms of the series expansion of the generalized inverse of the Gini mean $\mathcal{B}_{p,p-r;\mu}$ are

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}_{p,p-r;\mu}^{\mathcal{G}(\lambda)}\left(1,1-x\right) &= 1 - (\alpha\mu + \alpha - 1) \cdot x - \alpha \left(1-\mu\right) \left[(\alpha + 2p - r) \mu - (\alpha - 1)\right] \cdot \frac{x^2}{2!} \\ &+ \alpha \left(1-\mu\right) \left\{ \left[3! \cdot p^2 + 6 \left(\alpha - r\right) p + (\alpha - r) \left(\alpha - 2r\right)\right] \mu^2 - \left[3p^2 - 3 \left(r - 2\alpha\right) p\right. \right. \right. \\ &+ \left(2\alpha - r\right) \left(\alpha - r\right)\right] \mu + \left(\alpha - 1\right) \left(\alpha + 1\right) \right\} \cdot \frac{x^3}{3!} - \alpha \left(1-\mu\right) \left\{ \left[4! \cdot p^3 + 36 \left(\alpha - r\right) p^2 \right. \\ &+ \left(2\alpha - r\right) \left(\alpha - 2r\right) p + (\alpha - r) \left(\alpha - 2r\right) \left(\alpha - 3r\right)\right] \mu^3 + \left[-24p^3 + 12 \left(3r - 4\alpha - 1\right) p^2 \right. \\ &- \left(2\alpha - 2r + 1\right) \left(\alpha - r\right) p - \left(\alpha - 2r\right) \left(\alpha - r\right) \left(3\alpha + 2 - 3r\right)\right] \mu^2 + \left[4p^3 + 6\left(2\alpha - r\right) \right. \\ &+ \left. 1\right) p^2 + 2 \left(6\alpha \left(2\alpha - 2r + 1\right) - 3r + 2r^2 - 1\right) p + \left(\alpha - r\right) \left(3\alpha^2 + 4\alpha - 3r\alpha - 2r\right) \right. \\ &+ \left. r^2 - 1\right] \mu - \left(\alpha - 1\right) \left(\alpha + 1\right) \left(\alpha + 2\right) \right\} \cdot \frac{x^4}{4!} + \alpha \left(1-\mu\right) \left\{ \left[5! \cdot p^4 + 240 \left(\alpha - r\right) p^3 \right] \right. \\ &+ \left. 120 \left(\alpha - r\right) \left(\alpha - 2r\right) p^2 + 20 \left(\alpha - r\right) \left(\alpha - 2r\right) \left(\alpha - 3r\right) p + \left(\alpha - r\right) \left(\alpha - 2r\right) \left(\alpha - 3r\right) \right. \\ &+ \left. \left(\alpha - 4r\right) \right] \mu^4 + \left[-180p^4 + 60 \left(6r - 7\alpha - 2\right) p^3 - 90 \left(\alpha - r\right) \left(3\alpha - 4r + 2\right) p^2 \right. \\ &- \left. 30 \left(\alpha - r\right) \cdot \left(\alpha - 2r\right) \left(2\alpha + 2 - 3r\right) p - \left(\alpha - r\right) \left(\alpha - 2r\right) \left(\alpha - 3r\right) \left(4\alpha + 5 - 6r\right) \right] \mu^3 \\ &+ \left[70p^4 + 20 \left(10\alpha - 7r + 6\right) p^3 + 10 \left(-30r\alpha + 18\alpha^2 + 24\alpha + 3 + 14r^2 - 18r\right) p^2 \right. \\ &+ \left. 10 \left(\alpha - r\right) \left(6\alpha^2 + 12\alpha - 12r\alpha + 7r^2 - 12r + 3\right) p + \left(6\alpha^2 - 12r\alpha + 15\alpha + 5 + 7r^2 \right. \\ &- \left. 15r\right) \left(\alpha - 2r\right) \left(\alpha - r\right) \right] \mu^2 + \left[-5p^4 + 10 \left(r - 2 - 2\alpha\right) p^3 + \left(30r\alpha - 30\alpha^2 - 60\alpha \right. \\ \\ &- \left. 15 - 10r^2 + 30r\right) p^2 - 5 \left(2\alpha + 2 - r\right) \left(2\alpha^2 - 2r\alpha + 4\alpha - 2r + r^2 - 1\right) p \right. \\ &- \left(\alpha - r\right) \left(4\alpha^3 - 6r\alpha^2 + 15\alpha^2 - 15r\alpha + 10\alpha + 4r^2\alpha - 5 + 5r^2 - r^3 - 5r\right) \right] \mu \\ &+ \left(\alpha - 1\right) \left(\alpha + 1\right) \left(\alpha + 2\right) \left(\alpha + 3\right) \right\} \cdot \frac{x^5}{5!} + \cdots .$$

Using it, we can prove the following

Theorem 3.2. If

$$\mathcal{B}_{p,p-r;\mu}^{\mathcal{G}(\lambda)} = \mathcal{B}_{q,q-s;\nu}, \text{ for } r \neq 0, s \neq 0,$$

then we are in one of the following cases:

$$\begin{aligned} &(i) \ \mathcal{B}_{p,p-r;\mu}^{\mathcal{G}(0)} = \mathcal{B}_{q,q-s;0}; \\ &(ii) \ \mathcal{B}_{p,p-r;1}^{\mathcal{G}(\lambda)} = \mathcal{B}_{q,q-s;0}; \\ &(iii) \ \mathcal{B}_{p,p-r;1}^{\mathcal{G}(\frac{2}{3})} = \mathcal{B}_{q,q-s;1}; \\ &(iv) \ \mathcal{B}_{p,p-r;\mu}^{\mathcal{G}(\frac{1}{3})} = \mathcal{B}_{-p,r-p;1-\mu}; \\ &(v) \ \mathcal{B}_{p,p-r;0}^{\mathcal{G}(\frac{1}{3})} = \mathcal{B}_{q,-q}, \ or \\ &(vi) \ \alpha = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} = \frac{\nu}{1-\mu}, \ \mu = \frac{(2q-s)(1-\nu)}{r-2p} \ (r \neq 2p), \\ &\nu = \frac{q(q-s)(12p^2 - 12pr + 5r^2) + (2p-r)(2q-s)(3p^2 - 3pr + r^2) + s^2(r^2 - 2pr + 2p^2)}{2(rq - ps)(rq - rs + ps)}, \nu \neq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Iulia Costin

$$\begin{split} & rq \neq ps, rq + ps \neq rs, (36pr - 7r^2 - 36p^2)q^3(q - 2s) + 3(r - 2p) \\ & \cdot (6p^2 - 6pr + r^2)q^2(2q - 3s) + (2r^2 - 7pr + 7p^2)s^2(p(r - p) + 2sq) \\ & + (-72p^3r + 50p^2r^2 + 36p^4 - 14pr^3 + r^4)q(s - q) + \\ & + (50pr - 9r^2 - 50p^2)s^2q^2 + (-2r^2s^3 + 3(r - 2p)(r^2 - 8pr + 8p^2)s^2)q \\ & + p(r - p)s^4 + 3p(r - p)(r - 2p)s^3 = 0, \ with s \neq 2q. \end{split}$$

The cases (i) - (v) are always true.

Proof. Equating the coefficients of x in the series of the two members, we get:

$$\nu = \alpha \left(1 - \mu \right).$$

Then, the coefficients of x^2 give

(3.2)
$$\nu \left[(2q-s) \left(1-\nu \right) + (2p-r) \mu \right] = 0$$

If $\nu = 0$, then, from (3.1) we have one of the cases:

- $\alpha = 0$, therefore $\lambda = 0$, from where we obtain the case (i), or

- $\mu = 1$, that leads to the case (*ii*).

If $\nu \neq 0$, then we have from (3.2):

(3.3)
$$(2q-s)(1-\nu) = (r-2p)\mu$$

1. If r = 2p, then one of the following situations must hold: 1.1. $\nu = 1$ that implies, from (3.1), $\mu = \frac{2\lambda - 1}{\lambda}$, with $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Equating the coefficients of x^3 , using the relation (3.1) and replacing $\nu = 1$ and r = 2p, it follows that:

$$p^2\mu \left(2\mu - 1\right) = 0.$$

We have again three possible situations:

1.1.1. p = 0, therefore r = 0, which contradicts with the hypothesis $r \neq 0$;

1.1.2. $\mu = 0$, so $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, therefore it is the case (iv), in which r = 2p and $\mu = 0$;

1.1.3. $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$, so $\lambda = \frac{2}{3}$, thus the case *(iii)*.

1.2. 2q = s. Equating the coefficients of x^3 and taking into account the previous relations, the following condition is obtained:

(3.4)
$$p^{2}\mu(2\mu-1) = (2\nu-1)(\nu-1)q^{2}.$$

1.2.1. In this relation, if $\nu = 1$, then one of the following situations must hold:

1.2.1.1. $\mu = 0$, then $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, therefore the case (iv), for r = 2p, s = 2q and $\mu = 0$; 1.2.1.2. $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$, then $\lambda = \frac{2}{3}$, therefore the case (iii), for s = 2q;

1.2.1.3. p = 0, then r = 0, which contradicts with the hypothesis.

1.2.2. In the relation (3.4) if $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$, then again one of the following situations must hold:

1.2.2.1. $\mu = 0$, then $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$, which leads to the case (v), for r = 2p; 1.2.2.2. $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$, then $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, that is the case (*iv*), for r = 2p and $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$; 1.2.2.3. p = 0, then r = 0, which contradicts with the hypothesis $r \neq 0$.

1.2.3. If $\nu \neq 1$ and $\nu \neq \frac{1}{2}$ in the relation (3.4), then we can write:

(3.5)
$$q^{2} = \frac{p^{2}\mu(2\mu - 1)}{(2\nu - 1)(\nu - 1)}$$

This has real solutions if (a) $\mu \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and $\nu \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, or (b) $\mu \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ and $\nu \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. In the case (a), we obtain $\lambda \in \left(\frac{1}{3-2\mu}, \frac{1}{2-\mu}\right) \subset \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right)$. We continue

172

(3.1)

with equating the coefficients of the reduced Taylor series of $\mathcal{B}_{p,p-r;\mu}^{\mathcal{G}(\lambda)}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{q,q-s;\nu}$. The coefficients of x^4 and the relations (3.1), r = 2p and s = 2q lead us to

$$(1+2\nu)\left(q^2-2p^2\mu^2+p^2\mu-3q^2\nu+2q^2\nu^2\right)=0,$$

which again is (3.4). Equating the coefficients of x^5 and taking into account the same relations and (3.5), we obtain the condition:

$$p^{4}\mu \left(2\mu - 1\right) \left(\nu + \mu - 1\right) \left(-10\mu + 12\mu\nu + 1 - 2\nu\right) = 0.$$

Hence, we have the following possible situations:

1.2.3.1. p = 0, then q = 0, but also r = 0 = s, which contradicts with the hypothesis;

1.2.3.2. $\mu = 0$, then (from (3.5)) q = 0, so s = 0, which contradicts with $s \neq 0$; 1.2.3.3. $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$, then (from (3.5)) q = 0, so s = 0, same as previous;

1.2.3.4. $\nu = 1 - \mu$, then (from (3.5)) $q = \pm p$, and from (3.1), $\alpha = 1$, hence $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$. This implies (*iv*), for r = 2p and taking into account that $\mathcal{B}_{m,n;\gamma} = \mathcal{B}_{n,m;\gamma}$; 1.2.3.5. $-10\mu + 12\mu\nu + 1 - 2\nu = 0$, hence $\nu = \frac{10\mu - 1}{12\mu - 2}$, with $\mu \in [0, \frac{1}{10}] \cup [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ (in

order that $0 \le \nu \le 1$). But, for this value of ν , there is no real q from the relation (3.5) (none of the cases (a) and (b) are satisfied).

2. If
$$r \neq 2p$$
, from (3.3) we obtain:

(3.6)
$$\mu = \frac{(2q-s)(1-\nu)}{r-2p}$$

Equating the coefficients of x^3 and taking into account (3.1) and (3.6), we obtain:

$$(1-\nu)\left[-2(rq-ps)(rq-rs+ps)\nu + (12p^2 - 12pr + 5r^2)q(q-s) + (1-p^2)(rq-rs+ps)\nu + (1-p^2)(rq-rs+ps)(rq-rs+ps)\nu + (1-p^2)(rq-rs+ps)(rq-rs+ps)\nu + (1-p^2)(rq-rs+ps)(rq-rs+ps)\nu + (1-p^2)(rq-rs+ps)(rq-rs+ps)\nu + (1-p^2)(rq-rs+ps)(r$$

(3.7)
$$+ (r-2p) \left(3p^2 - 3pr + r^2 \right) (s-2q) + \left(r^2 - 2pr + 2p^2 \right) s^2 \right] = 0$$

2.1. If $\nu = 1$, from (3.6) it follows $\mu = 0$, hence, from (3.1), $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, which leads to the case (iv) for $\mu = 0$.

2.2. If $\nu \neq 1$, from (3.7) it follows that the following relation must hold:

(3.8)
$$2(rq - ps)(rq - rs + ps)\nu = -(12p^2 - 12pr + 5r^2)q(q - s) +$$

(3.9)
$$+(r-2p)\left(3p^2-3pr+r^2\right)(s-2q)+\left(r^2-2pr+2p^2\right)s^2$$

2.2.1. If

$$(3.10) rq = ps,$$

then the left side of the relation (3.9) is null, hence the right side must also be null. We have two possible situations:

2.2.1.1. q = 0. Then, from (3.10), p = 0 ($s \neq 0$), therefore the right side of (3.9) becomes

$$r^2s\left(r+s\right) = 0.$$

Then, because $r \neq 0$ and $s \neq 0$, we have r + s = 0, which means s = -r, from

where $\mu = 1 - \nu$ and $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, obtaining the case (*iv*), when p = 0. 2.2.1.2. $q \neq 0$, then, from (3.10), $r = \frac{ps}{q}$. Replacing it in the right side of the relation (3.9) and equating with 0 we obtain:

$$p^{2}(p+q)(2q-s)^{2}(3q^{2}-3qs+s^{2}) = 0$$

Iulia Costin

We can have one of the cases:

2.2.1.2.a. p = 0, thus r = 0, that cannot be fulfilled, because $r \neq 0$;

2.2.1.2.b. p + q = 0, thus $r = -s \Rightarrow$ (from (3.6)) $\mu = 1 - \nu \Rightarrow$ (from (3.1)) $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, therefore we obtained the case (*iv*);

2.2.1.2.c. s=2q , or $r=\frac{ps}{q}=2p,$ that cannot be fulfilled, because we are in the case $r\neq 2p;$ or

2.2.1.2.d. $3q^2 - 3qs + s^2 = 0$, that cannot be fulfilled unless s = q = 0, which contradicts with the hypothesis.

2.2.2. If in (3.9) we have $rq \neq ps$, but rq - rs + ps = 0, then

$$rq = s\left(r - p\right).$$

We have $r \neq 0$, therefore

$$q = \frac{s\left(r-p\right)}{r}$$

Replacing it in the right side of the relation (3.9) and equating with 0, we obtain

$$s(r-2p)^{2}(3p^{2}-3pr+r^{2})(s-r) = 0.$$

But $s \neq 0$, $r \neq 2p$ and $3p^2 - 3pr + r^2 \neq 0$, hence the previous relation can be fulfilled only if s = r. In this case, we have q = r - p, therefore $\mu = 1 - \nu$ and $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, obtaining the case (*iv*), because $\mathcal{B}_{m,n;\gamma} = \mathcal{B}_{n,m;\gamma}$.

2.2.3. If in (3.9) we have $rq \neq ps$ and $rq - rs + ps \neq 0$, then we have:

(3.11)
$$\nu = [q(q-s)(12p^2 - 12pr + 5r^2) + (2p-r)(3p^2 - 3pr + r^2) \cdot (2q-s) + s^2(r^2 - 2pr + 2p^2)] / [2(rq-ps)(rq-rs+ps)].$$

Equating now the coefficients of x^4 and successively applying the relations (3.1), (3.6) and (3.11), we obtain:

$$\begin{array}{l} (s-2q)\left\{(36pr-7r^2-36p^2)q^3(q-2s)+3(r-2p)(6p^2-6pr+r^2)q^2\right.\\ \left.\cdot(2q-3s)+(2r^2-7pr+7p^2)s^2[p(r-p)+2sq]+(-72p^3r+50p^2r^2+36p^4-14pr^3+r^4)q(s-q)+(50pr-9r^2-50p^2)s^2q^2+[-2r^2s^3+3(r-2p)(r^2-8pr+8p^2)s^2]qp(r-p)s^4+3p(r-p)(r-2p)s^3\right\}=0. \end{array}$$

2.2.3.1. If s = 2q, from (3.11) we obtain $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$, from (3.6) $\mu = 0$, hence $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$. Therefore, the case (v) is obtained.

2.2.3.2. If $s \neq 2q$, then the case (vi) of the conclusion has to be satisfied. By direct computation it can be seen that the relations (i) - (v) are verified. \Box

Corollary 3.2. The equality

$$\mathcal{C}_{p,\mu}^{\mathcal{G}(\lambda)} = \mathcal{C}_{q,\nu}$$

is valid if and only if we are in one of the situations:

$$\begin{array}{l} (i) \ \mathcal{C}_{p,\mu}^{\mathcal{G}(0)} = \mathcal{C}_{q,0}; \\ (ii) \ \mathcal{C}_{p,1}^{\mathcal{G}(\lambda)} = \mathcal{C}_{q,0}; \\ (iii) \ \mathcal{C}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\mathcal{G}(\frac{2}{3})} = \mathcal{C}_{q,1}; \\ (iv) \ \mathcal{C}_{p,\mu}^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{C}_{1-p,1-\mu} \ , \ or \\ (v) \ \mathcal{C}_{p,0}^{\mathcal{G}(\frac{1}{3})} = \mathcal{C}_{\frac{1}{2}} \ . \end{array}$$

174

Proof. It follows from the previous theorem, by taking r = s = 1 and verifying that in the case (vi) there are no real solutions.

Corollary 3.3. The equality

$$\mathcal{B}_{p,p-r;\mu}^{\mathcal{G}(\lambda)} = \mathcal{P}_{q,\nu} \ (for \ p \neq r, q \neq 0)$$

is valid if and only if we are in one of the situations:

(i)
$$\mathcal{B}_{p,p-r;\mu}^{\mathcal{G}(0)} = \mathcal{P}_{q,0};$$

(ii) $\mathcal{B}_{p,p-r;1}^{\mathcal{G}(\lambda)} = \mathcal{P}_{q,0};$
(iii) $\mathcal{B}_{p,p-r;1}^{\mathcal{G}(\frac{2}{3})} = \mathcal{P}_{q,1}, \text{ or }$
(iv) $\mathcal{B}_{p,0;\mu}^{\mathcal{G}(\frac{2}{3})} = \mathcal{P}_{-p,1-\mu}.$

Proof. It follows from the previous theorem, by taking s = q and seeing that in the case (v) we would have q = 0, whereas in the case (vi) there are no real solutions.

References

- [1] Bullen, P. S., *Handbook of Means and Their Inequalities*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht Boston London, 2003
- [2] Costin, I., Series expansion of means, Proc. of the International Symposium: Specialization, Integration and Development, Section: Quantitative Economics, Babeş-Bolyai University Cluj-Napoca, Romania (2003), 123-136
- [3] Costin, I., Toader, Gh., A weighted Gini mean, Proceedings of the International Symposium: Specialization, Integration and Development, Section: Quantitative Economics, Babeş-Bolyai University Cluj-Napoca, Romania (2003), 137-142
- [4] Gould, H. W., Coefficient identities for powers of Taylor and Dirichlet series, Amer. Math. Monthly, 81 (1974), 3-14
- [5] Lehmer, D. H., On the compounding of certain means, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 36 (1971), 183-200
- [6] Toader, Gh., Complementariness with respect to Greek means, Aut. Comp. Appl. Math., 13(3) (2004), 13-18

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF CLUJ-NAPOCA DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE BARIȚIU 28, 400027, CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA *E-mail address*: Iulia.Costin@cs.utcluj.ro