CARPATHIAN J. MATH. **23** (2007), No. 1 - 2, 63 - 72

Dedicated to Professor Ioan A. RUS on the occasion of his 70th anniversary

The fixed points method for the stability of some functional equations

LIVIU CĂDARIU and VIOREL RADU

ABSTRACT. We use the fixed point method to obtain stability theorems of Ulam-Hyers type for some functional equations. The method uses the fixed point alternative as a meaningful device on the road to a better understanding of the stability property, plainly related to some fixed point of a concrete operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of stability problems for functional equations originated from a question of S. M. Ulam concerning the stability of group homomorphisms:

Let G be a metric group with a metric d. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, does there exist a k > 0 such that **for every** function $f: G \to G$ satisfying the inequality

$$d(f(x \cdot y), f(x) \cdot f(y)) < \varepsilon, \forall x, y \in G,$$

there exists an automorphism a of G with

$$d(f(x), a(x)) < k\varepsilon, \forall x \in G?$$

D. H. Hyers gave an affirmative answer to the question of Ulam for Cauchy equation, in Banach spaces. Then T. Aoki, D. Bourgin as well as other authors considered the stability problem with unbounded Cauchy differences (see e.g. [12] and [17]). Their results include the following

Theorem 1.1. (Hyers-Aoki-Gajda). Suppose that *E* is a real normed space, *F* is a real Banach space and $f: E \to F$ is a given function, such that the following condition holds

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\|_{F} \le \theta(\|x\|_{E}^{p} + \|y\|_{E}^{p}), \forall x, y \in E,$$

for some $p \in [0,\infty) \setminus \{1\}$. Then there exists a unique additive function $a : E \to F$ such that

(2_p)
$$||f(x) - a(x)||_F \le \frac{2\theta}{|2 - 2^p|} ||x||_E^p, \forall x \in E.$$

This phenomenon is called *generalized Ulam-Hyers stability* and has been extensively investigated for different functional equations. It is worth mentioning that almost all proofs used the idea conceived by D. H. Hyers. Namely, the additive

Received: 12.12.2006; In revised form: 05.02.2007; Accepted: 19.02.2007

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 39B52, 39B62, 39B82, 47H09.

Key words and phrases. Functional equation, fixed points, stability.

function $a : E \to F$ is constructed, starting from the given function f, by the following formulae

(2_{p<1})
$$a(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$
, if $p < 1$;

$$(\mathbf{2_{p>1}}) \qquad \qquad a(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), \text{ if } p > 1$$

This method is called *the direct method* or *Ulam's method*. It is often used to construct a solution of a given functional equation and is seen to be a powerful tool for studying the stability of many functional equations (cf. [12] and [17] for details). On the other hand, in [20], [8] and [6] a *fixed point method* was proposed, by showing that many theorems concerning the stability of Cauchy and Jensen equations are consequences of the fixed point alternative. Subsequently, the method has been successfully used, e.g., in [7], [9], [11], [21] or [19].

Our aim is to highlight *generalized stability results* (of Hyers-Aoki-Bourgin type) for some functional equations obtained by using the fixed point alternative. The method introduces a metrical context and better clarifies the ideas of stability, which is seen to be plainly related to some fixed point of a suitable operator: our control conditions (below denoted by $(\mathbf{H_i})$ and $(\mathbf{H_i^*})$ or $(\mathbf{H_j})$ and $(\mathbf{H_j^*})$), are responsible for the following fundamental facts: They ensure

1) the *contraction property* of a Schröder type operator J and

2) the first two successive approximations, *f* and *J f*, to be at a *finite distance*. Moreover,

3) they force the fixed point of *J* to be a *solution of the initial equation*. Some illustrative applications to concrete (quadratic and monomial) functional equations are also given.

2. A SIMPLE FIXED POINT METHOD

For the sake of convenience, we recall the following.

Theorem 2.2. (The alternative of fixed point [18], see also [23], ch. 5]). Suppose we are given a complete generalized metric space (\mathcal{E}, d) -i.e. one for which d may assume infinite values- and a strictly contractive mapping $A : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$, with the Lipschitz constant L. Then, for each given element $f \in \mathcal{E}$, either

(A₁) $d(A^n f, A^{n+1} f) = +\infty$, $\forall n \ge 0$, or (A₂) There exists a natural number n_0 such that (A₂₀) $d(A^n f, A^{n+1} f) < +\infty, \forall n \ge n_0$; (A₂₁) The sequence $(A^n f)$ is convergent to a fixed point f^* of A; (A₂₂) f^* is the unique fixed point of A in the set $\mathcal{E}^* = \{g \in \mathcal{E}, d(A^{n_0} f, g) < +\infty\};$ (A₂₃) $d(g, f^*) \le \frac{1}{1-L} d(g, Ag), \forall g \in \mathcal{E}^*.$

Remark 2.1. The fixed points f^* , if any, need not be uniquely determined *in the whole space* \mathcal{E} and do depend on the initial guess f.

2.1. A simple proof of Theorem 1.1. We consider the set

$$\mathcal{E} := \{ g : E \to F, \ p \cdot g \left(0 \right) = 0 \}$$

and introduce the *generalized metric* $d = d_p : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ by the formula

$$d_{p}(g,h) = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|g(x) - h(x)\|_{F}}{\|x\|_{E}^{p}}$$

It is well-known and easy to verify that (\mathcal{E}, d) is complete.

Now we will consider the (linear) mapping

$$A: \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}, \ Ag\left(x\right) := \frac{1}{q}g\left(qx\right)$$

where q = 2 if p < 1, and $q = 2^{-1}$ if p > 1. Obviously, for any $q, h \in \mathcal{E}$:

$$\frac{\|Ag\left(x\right) - Ah\left(x\right)\|_{F}}{\|x\|_{E}^{p}} = \frac{1}{q} \frac{\|g\left(qx\right) - h\left(qx\right)\|_{F}}{\|x\|_{E}^{p}} = q^{p-1} \frac{\|g\left(qx\right) - h\left(qx\right)\|_{F}}{\|qx\|_{E}^{p}} \le q^{p-1}d\left(g,h\right),$$

for all $g, h \in \mathcal{E}$. Therefore

$$d(Ag, Ah) \le q^{p-1}d(g, h), \forall g, h \in \mathcal{E},$$

so that A is *strictly contractive* with the Lipschitz constant $L = q^{p-1}$. Now, if we set y = x in the hypothesis (1_p) , then

$$||2f(x) - f(2x)|| \le 2\theta ||x||^p, \forall x \in E,$$

which says that

$$\begin{array}{lll} d\left(f,Af\right) &\leq & \theta < \infty, \text{ for } p < 1, \text{ and} \\ d\left(f,Af\right) &\leq & \frac{\theta}{2^{p-1}} < \infty, \text{ for } p > 1 \end{array}$$

Using the fixed point alternative we obtain the existence of a mapping $a : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$ such that:

 $1^0 a$ is a fixed point of *A*:

(2.1)
$$a(2x) = 2a(x), \forall x \in E.$$

The mapping a is the unique fixed point of A in the set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{g \in \mathcal{E}, \ d(f,g) < +\infty\}.$$

This means that *a* is the unique mapping $g: E \to F$ verifying both (2.1) – (2.2), where

(2.2)
$$\exists c \in [0,\infty) \text{ such that } \|g(x) - f(x)\|_F \le c \|x\|_E^p, \forall x \in E.$$

 $2^0 d(A^n f, a) \to 0$, which implies

(2.3)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(q^n x)}{q^n} = a(x), \quad \forall x \in E.$$

Therefore at least one of the statements $(\mathbf{2}_{p<1})$ and $(\mathbf{2}_{p>1})$ is seen to be true. $3^0 d(f,a) \leq \frac{1}{1-q^{p-1}} d(f,Af) \leq \frac{2\theta}{|2-2^p|}$, that is the inequality $(\mathbf{2}_p)$ takes place.

Finaly, as it is well-known, the additivity of *a* follows immediately from (1_p) and (2.3):

If in $(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{p}})$ we replace x by $q^n x$ and y by $q^n y$, then we obtain

$$\left\|\frac{f(q^n (x+y))}{q^n} - \frac{f(q^n x)}{q^n} - \frac{f(q^n y)}{q^n}\right\|_F \le L^n \theta(\|x\|_E^p + \|y\|_E^p), \forall x, y \in E,$$

and, letting $n \to \infty$, we get

$$a(x+y) = a(x) + a(y), \forall x, y \in E.$$

3. A GENERAL FIXED POINT METHOD

Firstly, we prove an auxiliary stability result (compare with [1], [3] and [14]) for the single variable equation $w \circ g \circ \eta = g$, where

1. the unknown is a mapping $g: G \to Y$.

2. η is a self-mapping of the Abelian group *G*;

3. *w* is a Lipschitzian self-mapping (with Lipschitz constant ℓ_w) of the β -normed space *Y*, where, as usual, a mapping $|| \cdot ||_{\beta} : Y \to \mathbb{R}_+$, with $\beta \in (0, 1]$, is called a β -norm iff it has the properties $(n_{\beta}^I) : ||y||_{\beta} = 0 \Leftrightarrow y = 0, (n_{\beta}^{II}) : ||\lambda \cdot y||_{\beta} = |\lambda|^{\beta} \cdot ||y||_{\beta}$, and $(n_{\beta}^{III}) : ||y + z||_{\beta} \leq ||y||_{\beta} + ||z||_{\beta}$, for all $y, z \in Y$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $f : G \to Y$ satisfies

$$(\mathbf{C}_{\psi}) \qquad \qquad \|(w \circ f \circ \eta)(x) - f(x)\|_{\beta} \le \psi(x), \, \forall x \in G,$$

with some fixed mapping $\psi: G \to [0, \infty)$. If there exists L < 1 such that

$$(\mathbf{H}_{\psi}) \qquad \qquad \ell_{w} \cdot (\psi \circ \eta)(x) \le L\psi(x), \forall x \in G,$$

then there exists a **unique mapping** $c : G \rightarrow Y$ which satisfies both **the equation**

$$(\mathbf{E}_{w,\eta}) \qquad (w \circ c \circ \eta)(x) = c(x), \forall x \in G$$

and the estimation

$$(\mathbf{Est}_{\psi}) \qquad \qquad \|f(x) - c(x)\|_{\beta} \leq \frac{\psi(x)}{1 - L}, \forall x \in G$$

Namely, the solution mapping c can be acquired through the Hyers method:

$$c(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (w^n \circ f \circ \eta^n)(x), \forall x \in G.$$

Proof. Let us consider the set $\mathcal{E} := \{g : G \to Y\}$ and introduce a *complete generalized metric* on \mathcal{E} (as usual, $inf \ \emptyset = \infty$): (**GM**_{ψ})

$$d(g,h) = d_{\psi}(g,h) = \inf \left\{ K \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \left\| g(x) - h(x) \right\|_{\beta} \le K \psi(x), \forall x \in G \right\}.$$

Now, define the mapping

(**OP**)
$$J: \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}, Jg(x) := (w \circ g \circ \eta)(x)$$

Step I. Using the hypothesis (\mathbf{H}_{ψ}) it follows that *J* is strictly contractive on \mathcal{E} . Indeed, for any $g, h \in \mathcal{E}$ we have:

$$d(g,h) < K \Longrightarrow \left\| g\left(x \right) - h\left(x \right) \right\|_{\beta} \le K \psi(x), \forall x \in G$$

and

$$\|Jg(x) - Jh(x)\|_{\beta} = \|w(g(\eta(x))) - w(h(\eta(x)))\|_{\beta} \le \ell_w \cdot \|g(\eta(x)) - h(\eta(x))\|_{\beta}$$

Therefore

$$\|Jg(x) - Jh(x)\|_{\beta} \le \ell_w \cdot K \cdot \psi(\eta(x)) \le K \cdot L \cdot \psi(x), \forall x \in G$$

so that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq LK$, which implies

$$(\mathbf{CC}_{\mathbf{L}}) \qquad \qquad d\left(Jg, Jh\right) \leq Ld\left(g, h\right), \forall g, h \in \mathcal{E}.$$

This says that *J* is a *strictly contractive* self-mapping of \mathcal{E} , with the constant L < 1. **Step II.** Obviously, $d(f, Jf) < \infty$. In fact, using the relation (\mathbf{C}_{ψ}) it results that $d(f, Jf) \leq 1$.

Step III. We can apply the fixed point alternative (see [18], [23] or [6]), and we obtain the existence of a mapping $c : G \to Y$ such that:

• *c* is a fixed point of *J*, that is

$$(\mathbf{E}_{w,\eta}) \qquad (w \circ c \circ \eta)(x) = c(x) , \forall x \in G.$$

The mapping c is the unique fixed point of J in the set

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{E}, \; d\left(f,g
ight) < \infty
ight\}.$$

This says that c is the unique mapping verifying *both* ($\mathbf{E}_{w,\eta}$) and (3.4), where

(3.4)
$$\exists K < \infty \text{ such that } \|c(x) - f(x)\|_{\beta} \le K\psi(x), \forall x \in G.$$

• $d(J^n f, c) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$, which implies

$$c(x) = \lim (w^n \circ c \circ \eta^n)(x), \forall x \in G.$$

• $d(f,c) \leq \frac{1}{1-L}d(f,Jf)$, which implies the inequality

$$d(f,c) \le \frac{1}{1-L},$$

that is (\mathbf{Est}_{ψ}) is seen to be true.

3.1. **Applications to the quadratic equation.** We will consider the following equation, where the "unknowns" are functions $f : X \to Y$, between two vector spaces:

(3.5)
$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y), \text{ for all } x, y \in X$$

Since the real functions defined on \mathbb{R} by $x \to kx^2$ satisfy the equation (3.5), the above functional equation is called **quadratic**. Every solution of a quadratic functional equation is called a *quadratic function*.

Recall that a 2-divisible group is an Abelian group (X, +) such that for any $x \in X$ there exists a unique $a \in X$ with the property x = 2a; this unique element a is denoted by $\frac{x}{2}$.

Theorem 3.4. Let X be a 2-divisible group, Y a Banach space, and $r_i = \begin{cases} 2, i = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}, i = 1 \end{cases}$. Suppose that the mapping $f : X \to Y$ satisfies the condition f(0) = 0 and an inequality of the form

$$\begin{split} (\mathbf{Q}_{\varphi}) & \|f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)\|_{Y} \leq \varphi(x,y), \forall x, y \in X, \\ \text{where } \varphi : X \times X \to [0,\infty) \text{ is a given function.} \end{split}$$

If there exists L = L(i) < 1 *such that the mapping*

$$x \to \Omega(x) = \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)$$

has the property

$$(\mathbf{H}_i) \qquad \qquad \Omega(x) \le L \cdot r_i^2 \cdot \Omega\left(\frac{x}{r_i}\right), \forall x \in X,$$

and if the mapping φ has the property

$$(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{i}}^{*}) \qquad \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\varphi\left(r_{i}^{n} x, r_{i}^{n} y\right)}{r_{i}^{2n}} = 0, \forall x, y \in X,$$

then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $q: X \to Y$ which satisfies the functional equation (3.5) and the inequality

$$(\mathbf{Est}_i) \qquad \qquad \|f(x) - q(x)\|_Y \le \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\Omega(x), \forall x \in X.$$

Proof. Note that $r_0 = 2$ if (\mathbf{H}_0) holds, and $r_1 = 2^{-1}$ if (\mathbf{H}_1) holds. If the hypothesis (\mathbf{H}_0) holds, and we set x = y in the relation (\mathbf{Q}_{φ}) , then we see that

$$(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{\Omega},\mathbf{0}}) \qquad \qquad \left\|\frac{f(2x)}{4} - f(x)\right\|_{Y} \le \frac{\Omega(2x)}{4}, \forall x \in X.$$

If the hypothesis (\mathbf{H}_1) holds, and we replace both x and y by $\frac{x}{2}$ in the relation (\mathbf{Q}_{φ}) , then we obtain

$$\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{\Omega},\mathbf{1}}\right) \qquad \left\|4f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f(x)\right\|_{Y} \le \Omega(x), \forall x \in X.$$

Now we can apply Theorem 3.3 (for $\beta = 1$), with $w : X \to Y$, $\eta : X \to X$, $\psi : X \to [0, \infty)$,

$$w(x) := \frac{x}{r_i^2}, \ \eta(x) := r_i x, \ \psi(x) := \frac{\Omega(2^{1-i}x)}{2^{2(1-i)}}$$

and $r_i = \begin{cases} 2, i = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}, i = 1 \end{cases}$. Clearly, $l_w = \frac{1}{r_i^2}$ and, by using $(\mathbf{Q}_{\Omega, \mathbf{i}})$ and the hypothesis (\mathbf{H}_i) , we obtain that (\mathbf{C}_{ψ}) and (\mathbf{H}_{ψ}) hold.

Then there exists a unique mapping $q: X \to Y$,

(3.6)
$$q(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(w^n \circ q \circ \eta^n \right)(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{q\left(r_i^n x\right)}{r_i^{2n}}, \forall x \in X,$$

which satisfies the following equation

$$(w \circ q \circ \eta)(x) = q(x) \Leftrightarrow q(2x) = 2^2 q(x), \ \forall x \in X$$

and the inequality

$$\|f(x) - q(x)\|_{Y} \le \frac{\psi(x)}{1 - L} = \frac{1}{1 - L} \cdot \frac{\Omega(2^{1 - i}x)}{2^{2(1 - i)}} \le \frac{L^{1 - i}}{1 - L} \cdot \Omega(x), \forall x \in X.$$

The statement that *q* is a quadratic mapping follows immediately from (\mathbf{Q}_{φ}) and (3.6): If in (\mathbf{Q}_{ω}) we replace *x* by $r_i^n x$ and *y* by $r_i^n y$, then we obtain

$$\left\|\frac{f(r_i^{\,n}\,(x+y))}{r_i^{\,2n}} + \frac{f(r_i^{\,n}\,(x-y))}{r_i^{\,2n}} - 2\frac{f(r_i^{\,n}x)}{r_i^{\,2n}} - 2\frac{f(r_i^{\,n}y)}{r_i^{\,2n}}\right\|_Y \le \frac{\varphi\left(r_i^{\,n}x, r_i^{\,n}y\right)}{r_i^{\,2n}},$$

for all x, y in X.

Taking into account the hypothesis ($\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{i}}^*$) and letting $n \to \infty$, we get

$$q(x+y) + q(x-y) - 2q(x) - 2q(y) = 0, \forall x, y \in X.$$

which ends the proof.

3.2. The general case of the monomoial equation and β -normed spaces. For an Abelian group X and a vector space Y consider the difference operators defined, for each $y \in X$ and any mapping $f : X \to Y$, in the following manner:

$$\Delta_y^1 f(x) := f(x+y) - f(x), \text{ for all } x \in X,$$

and, inductively, $\Delta_y^{n+1} = \Delta_y^1 \circ \Delta_y^n$, for all $n \ge 1$. A mapping $f : X \to Y$ is called a *monomial function of degree* N if it is a solution of the monomial functional equation.

$$\Delta_y^N f(x) - N! f(y) = 0, \ \forall x, y \in X.$$

Notice that the monomial equation of degree 1 is exactly the Cauchy equation, while for N=2 the monomial equation has the form f(x+2y) - 2f(x+y) + f(x) - 2f(x+y) + f(x) - 2f(x+y) + f(x) - 2f(x+y) + f(x) - 2f(x+y) + 2f(x+y)2f(y) = 0, which is equivalent to the well-known quadratic functional equation.

In the sequel, the positive integer N will be fixed.

Let *X* be a 2-divisible group, let *Y* be a (real) complete β -normed space and assume we are given a function $\varphi: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ with the following property:

$$\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{j}}^{*}\right) \qquad \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\varphi\left(r_{j}^{m}x, r_{j}^{m}y\right)}{r_{j}^{mN\beta}} = 0, \forall x, y \in X, \text{ for } r_{j} := 2^{1-2j}, j \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Theorem 3.5. Suppose the mapping $f : X \to Y$, with f(0) = 0, verifies the control condition

(3.8)
$$\|\Delta_y^N f(x) - N! \cdot f(y)\|_{\beta} \le \varphi(x, y) , \, \forall x, y \in X.$$

If there exists a positive constant L < 1 such that the mapping

$$x \to \Theta(x) = \frac{1}{(N!)^{\beta}} \left(\varphi(0, x) + \sum_{i=0}^{N} \binom{N}{N-i} \cdot \varphi\left(\frac{ix}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \right) , \, \forall x \in X,$$

satisfies the inequality

 $(\mathbf{H_{i}})$

$$\Theta(r_{j}x) \leq L \cdot r_{j}^{N\beta} \cdot \Theta(x), \forall x \in X$$

then there exists a unique monomial mapping $g: X \to Y$ with the following fitting property:

$$(\mathbf{Est}_{\mathbf{j}}) \qquad \qquad \|f(x) - g(x)\|_{\beta} \le \frac{L^{1-j}}{1-L}\Theta(x), \forall x \in X.$$

For the proof of our theorem, we need the following fundamental lemma (cf. [10]:

Lemma 3.1. Let us consider an Abelian group X, a β -normed linear space Y and a mapping $\varphi : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$. If the function $f : X \to Y$ satisfies (3.8) then, for all $x \in X$,

(3.9)
$$\left\| \left| \frac{f(2x)}{2^N} - f(x) \right| \right\|_{\beta} \le \frac{1}{2^{N\beta} \cdot (N!)^{\beta}} \cdot \left(\varphi(0, 2x) + \sum_{i=0}^N \binom{N}{N-i} \cdot \varphi(ix, x) \right).$$

Proof of Theorem 3.5. For j=0, by Lemma 3.1, we have, $\forall x \in X : (\mathbf{Q}_{\Theta,0})$

$$\left|\left|\frac{f(2x)}{2^N} - f(x)\right|\right|_{\beta} \le \frac{1}{2^{N\beta} \cdot (N!)^{\beta}} \left(\varphi(0, 2x) + \sum_{i=0}^N \binom{N}{N-i} \cdot \varphi(ix, x)\right) = \frac{\Theta(2x)}{2^{N\beta}}.$$

For j=1, one can show, for all $x \in X$ ($\mathbf{Q}_{\Theta,1}$)

$$\left|\left|f(x) - 2^N f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right|\right|_{\beta} \le \frac{1}{(N!)^{\beta}} \left(\varphi(0, x) + \sum_{i=0}^N \binom{N}{N-i} \cdot \varphi\left(\frac{ix}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)\right) = \Theta(x)$$

Now we can apply Theorem 3.3, with $w: X \to Y$, $\eta: X \to X$, $\psi: X \to [0, \infty)$,

$$w(x) := \frac{x}{r_j^N}, \ \eta(x) := r_j x, \ \psi(x) := \frac{\Theta(2^{1-j}x)}{2^{N\beta(1-j)}}$$

and $r_j = \begin{cases} 2, j = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}, j = 1 \end{cases}$. Cleary, $l_w = \frac{1}{r_j^{N\beta}}$ and, by using $(\mathbf{Q}_{\Theta, \mathbf{j}})$ and the hypothesis (\mathbf{H}_j) , we obtain that (\mathbf{C}_{ψ}) and (\mathbf{H}_{ψ}) hold.

Then there exists a unique mapping $g: X \to Y$,

(3.10)
$$g(x) := \lim_{m \to \infty} \left(w^m \circ g \circ \eta^m \right)(x) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{g\left(r_j^m x \right)}{r_j^{mN}}, \forall x \in X,$$

which satisfies the following equation

$$(w \circ g \circ \eta)(x) = g(x) \Leftrightarrow g(2x) = 2^{N}g(x), \ \forall x \in X$$

and the inequality

$$\|f(x) - g(x)\|_{\beta} \le \frac{\psi(x)}{1 - L} = \frac{1}{1 - L} \cdot \frac{\Theta(2^{1 - j}x)}{2^{N\beta(1 - j)}} \le \frac{L^{1 - j}}{1 - L} \cdot \Theta(x), \forall x \in X.$$

We show that *g* is a *monomial function of degree N*. To this end, we replace *x* with $r_j^m x$ and *y* with $r_j^m y$ in relation (3.8), then divide the obtained relation by r_j^{mN} and we obtain

$$\left|\left|\frac{\Delta_{r_j^m y}^N f(r_j^m x)}{r_j^{mN}} - N! \frac{f(r_j^m y))}{r_j^{mN}}\right|\right|_{\beta} \le \frac{\varphi(r_j^m x, r_j^m y)}{r_j^{mN\beta}} \ , \ \forall x, y \in X.$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{\Delta_{r_j^m y}^N f(r_j^m x)}{r_j^{mN}} = \sum_{k=0}^N (-1)^{N-k} \binom{N}{k} \frac{f(r_j^m x + kr_j^m y)}{r_j^{mN}} =$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^N (-1)^{N-k} \binom{N}{k} g_m(x+ky) =$$
$$= \Delta_y^N g_m(x), \forall x, y \in X.$$

And we get

$$\left|\left|\Delta_y^N g_m(x) - N! \cdot g_m(y)\right|\right|_{\beta} \le \frac{\varphi(r_j^m x, r_j^m y)}{r_j^{mN\beta}} , \ \forall x, y \in X.$$

By letting $m \to \infty$ in the above relation and using $(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{i}}^*)$, we obtain

$$\Delta_y^N g(x) - N! \cdot g(y) = 0, \ \forall x, y \in X.$$

Remark 3.2. For N = 1 in Theorem 3.5, we obtain a generalized stability result for the additive Cauchy equation and functions with values in complete β -normed spaces, with

$$\Theta(x) = \varphi(0, x) + \varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right), \forall x \in X.$$

If N = 2 in the above theorem it results (as in Theorem 3.4 for $\beta = 1$) that the quadratic functional equation (again for functions with values in complete β -normed spaces) is stable in the Ulam-Hyers-Bourgin sense, with

$$\Theta(x) = \frac{1}{2^{\beta}} \left(\varphi\left(0, x\right) + \varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{2}\right) + 2\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(x, \frac{x}{2}\right) \right), \forall x \in X.$$

It is worth noting that the estimations obtained directly for particular values of N (as in [6], [7], [8] or [11]) are generally better than those resulting from (Est_j) , which in its turn is applicable for all N.

References

- Agarwal R. P., Xu B. and Zhang W., Stability of functional equations in single variable, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 288 (2003), 852-869
- [2] Aoki T., On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, J. M. Soc. Japan 2 (1950), 64-66
- [3] Baker J. A., The stability of certain functional equations, Proc. AMS 112 (3) (1991), 729-732
- [4] Bourgin D. G., Classes of transformations and bordering transformations, Bull. AMS 57 (1951), 223-237
 [5] Cădariu L., A general theorem of stability for the Cauchy's equation, Bul. Stiint. Univ. Politeh.
- Timişoara., Ser. Mat.-Fiz. 47 (61), No. 2 (2002), 14-28
- [6] Cădariu L. and Radu V., Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation, JIPAM, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 4 (1) (2003), Art.4 (http://jipam.vu.edu.au)
- [7] Cădariu L. and Radu V., Fixed points and the stability of quadratic functional equations, An. Univ. Timişoara, Ser. Mat.-Inform., 41 (1) (2003), 25-48
- [8] Cădariu L. and Radu V., On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed points approach, Iteration Theory (ECIT 02) (J. Sousa Ramos, D. Gronau, C. Mira, L. Reich, A.N. Sharkovsky -Eds.), Grazer Math. Ber., Bericht Nr. 346 (2004), 323-350
- [9] Cădariu L. and Radu V., A Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability theorem for a quartic functional equation, Automat. Comp. and Appl. Math., 13 (2004), No. 1, 31-39
- [10] Cădariu L. and Radu V., Stability properties for monomial functional equations, An. Univ. Timişoara, Ser. Mat.-Inform., 43 (1) (2005)

- [11] Cădariu L. and Radu V., Fixed points in generalized metric spaces and the stability of a cubic functional equation, (Y. J. Cho, J. K. Kim & S. M. Kang - Eds.), Fixed Point Theory and Appl. 7, Nova Science Publ., 2006, 67-86
- [12] Forti G. L., Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations in several variables, Aeq. Math. 50 (1995), 143-90
- [13] Forti G. L., Comments on the core of the direct method for proving Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 295 (1) (2004), 127-133
- [14] Forti G. L., Elementary remarks on Ulam-Hyers stability of linear functional equations (to appear)
- [15] Gajda Z., On stability of additive mappings, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 14 (1991), 431-434
- [16] Găvruță P., A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 184 (1994), 431-436
- [17] Hyers D. H., Isac G. and Rassias Th. M., Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables, Basel, 1998
- [18] Margolis B. and Diaz J. B., A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1968), 305-309
- [19] Mirzavaziri M. and Moslehian M. S., A Fixed Point Approach to Stability of a Quadratic Equation, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. 37, No. 3, (2006), 361-376
- [20] Radu, V., The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations, Fixed Point Theory, Cluj-Napoca IV(1) (2003), 91-96
- [21] Rassias J. M., Alternative contraction principle and Ulam stability problem, Math. Sci. Res. J., 9, No. 7, (2005), 190-199
- [22] Rassias Th. M., On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978), 297-300
- [23] Rus, I. A., Principii și Aplicații ale Teoriei Punctului Fix, Ed. Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, 1979
- [24] Rus, I. A., Generalized Contractions and Applications, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2001
- [25] Rus, I. A., Petruşel, A. and Petruşel, G., *Fixed Point Theory:* 1950 2000, *Romanian Contribution*, House of the Book of Science, Cluj-Napoca, 2002
- [26] Rus, I. A., Picard operators and applications, Sci. Math. Jpn. 58 (2003), 191-219
- [27] Rus, I. A., Fixed point structure theory, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2006

"POLITEHNICA" UNIVERSITY OF TIMIŞOARA DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS PIAŢA REGINA MARIA 1, 300004, TIMIŞOARA, ROMANIA *E-mail address*: lcadariu@yahoo.com, liviu.cadariu@mat.upt.ro

WEST UNIVERSITY OF TIMIŞOARA FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS VASILE PÂRVAN 4, 300223, TIMIŞOARA, ROMANIA *E-mail address*: radu@math.uvt.ro