# CARPATHIAN J. MATH. **24** (2008), No. 2, 37 - 44

## Some results in *L*-fuzzy metric spaces

## HAKAN EFE

## ABSTRACT.

The purpose of this paper to prove Baire's theorem and uniform limit theorem for  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric spaces. Also, we show every separable  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric spaces are second countable and subspace of a separable  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space is separable.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Since the introduction of the concept of fuzzy set by Zadeh [17] in 1965, many authors have introduced the concept of fuzzy metric space in different ways [2, 5, 9, 11, 12]. George and Veeramani [6, 7] modified the concept of fuzzy metric space introduced by Kromosil and Michalek [12] and defined a Hausdorff topology on this fuzzy metric space. Using to idea of  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy sets [8], Saadati et al. [15] introduced the notion of  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space due to George and Veeramani [6] and intuitionistic fuzzy metric space due to Park and Saadati [13, 14]. Recently, Saadati [16] proved some known results of metric spaces including Uniform continuity theorem and Ascoli–Arzela theorem for  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space. He also proved that every  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space has a countably locally finite basis and used this result to conclude that every  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space is metrizable.

In this paper we show that every  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space is Hausdorff. We also show every compact subset of an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space is  $\mathcal{L}F$ -strongly bounded. Then we prove Baire's theorem for  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric spaces. Furthermore we show that separable  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric spaces are second countable and subspace of a separable  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space is separable. Finally, we prove uniform limit theorem for  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric spaces.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1.** [7] Let  $\mathcal{L} = (L, \leq_L)$  be a complete lattice, and U a non-empty set called universe. An  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy set  $\mathcal{A}$  on U is defined as a mapping  $\mathcal{A} : U \to L$ . For each u in  $U, \mathcal{A}(u)$  represents the degree (in L) to which u satisfies  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** [4] Consider the set  $L^*$  and operation  $\leq_{L^*}$  defined by  $L^* = \{(x_1, x_2) : (x_1, x_2) \in [0, 1]^2 \text{ and } x_1 + x_2 \leq 1\}, (x_1, x_2) \leq_{L^*} (y_1, y_2) \iff x_1 \leq y_1 \text{ and } x_2 \geq y_2,$  for every  $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in L^*$ . Then  $(L^*, \leq_{L^*})$  is a complete lattice.

Received: 14.02.2008; In revised form: 21.04.2008; Accepted: 30.09.2008

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 06B23, 46S40.

Key words and phrases. *L-fuzzy metric space, separable metric space, compactness, boundedness.* 

**Definition 2.2.** [1] An intuitionistic fuzzy set  $A_{\zeta,\eta}$  on a universe U is an object  $A_{\zeta,\eta} = \{(\zeta_A(u), \eta_A(u)) : u \in U\}$ , where, for all  $u \in U$ ,  $\zeta_A(u) \in [0, 1]$  and  $\eta_A(u) \in [0, 1]$  are called the membership degree and the non-membership degree, respectively, of u in  $A_{\zeta,\eta}$ , and furthermore satisfy  $\zeta_A(u) + \eta_A(u) \leq 1$ .

Classically, a triangular norm T on  $([0,1], \leq)$  is defined as an increasing, commutative, associative mapping  $T : [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]$  satisfying T(x,1) = x, for all  $x \in [0,1]$ . These definitions can be straightforwardly extended to any lattice  $\mathcal{L} = (L, \leq_L)$ . Define first  $0_{\mathcal{L}} = \inf L$  and  $1_{\mathcal{L}} = \sup L$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A **triangular norm** (*t***-norm**) on  $\mathcal{L}$  is a mapping  $\mathcal{T} : L^2 \to L$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $(\forall x \in L)(\mathcal{T}(x, 1_{\mathcal{L}}) = x)$ ; (boundary condition)
- (ii)  $(\forall (x,y) \in L^2)(\mathcal{T}(x,y) = \mathcal{T}(y,x); \text{ (commutativity)})$
- (iii)  $(\forall (x, y, z) \in L^3)(\mathcal{T}(x, \mathcal{T}(y, z)) = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T}(x, y), z)$ ; (associativity)
- (iv)  $(\forall (x, x', y, y') \in L^4)(x \leq_L x' \text{ and } y \leq_L y' \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}(x, y) \leq_L \mathcal{T}(x', y'))$  (monotonicity).

A *t*-norm  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  is said to be **continuous** if for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{L}$  and any sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  which converge to x and y we have  $\lim_n \mathcal{T}(x_n, y_n) = \mathcal{T}(x, y)$ .

For example,  $T(x, y) = \min(x, y)$  and T(x, y) = xy are two continuous *t*-norms on [0, 1].

A *t*-norm can also be defined recursively as an (n + 1)-ary operation  $(n \in \mathbb{N})$  by  $\mathcal{T}^1 = \mathcal{T}$  and

$$\mathcal{T}^{n}(x_{1},...,x_{n+1}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T}^{n-1}(x_{1},...,x_{n}),x_{n+1})$$

for  $n \geq 2$  and  $x_i \in L$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [3] A *t*-norm  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $L^*$  is called *t*-representable if and only if there exist a *t*-norm T and a *t*-conorm S on [0,1] such that, for all  $x = (x_1, x_2)$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2) \in L^*$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}(x,y) = (T(x_1,y_1), S(x_2,y_2)).$$

**Definition 2.5.** A **negation** on  $\mathcal{L}$  is any decreasing mapping  $\mathcal{N} : L \to L$  satisfying  $\mathcal{N}(0_{\mathcal{L}}) = 1_{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $\mathcal{N}(1_{\mathcal{L}}) = 0_{\mathcal{L}}$ . If  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}(x)) = x$ , for  $x \in L$ , then  $\mathcal{N}$  is called an involutive negation.

The negation  $N_s$  on  $([0,1], \leq)$  defined as, for all  $x \in [0,1]$ ,  $N_s(x) = 1 - x$ , is called the standard negation on  $([0,1], \leq)$ . We show  $(N_s(x), x) = \mathcal{N}_s(x)$ .

**Definition 2.6.** [15] The 3-tuple  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary (non-empty) set,  $\mathcal{T}$  is a continuous t-norm on  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy set on  $X^2 \times (0, +\infty)$  satisfying the following conditions for every x, y, z in X and t, s in  $(0, +\infty)$ :

- (a)  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) >_L 0_{\mathcal{L}}$ ;
- (b)  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = 1_{\mathcal{L}}$  for all t > 0 if and only if x = y;
- (c)  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(y, x, t);$
- (d)  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M}(x, y, t), \mathcal{M}(y, z, s)) \leq_L \mathcal{M}(x, z, t+s);$
- (e)  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, \cdot) : (0, +\infty) \to L$  is continuous.

In this case  $\mathcal{M}$  is called an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric. If  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{M,N}$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy set (see Definition 2.2) then the 3-tuple  $(X, \mathcal{M}_{M,N}, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space. For  $t \in (0, +\infty)$ , we define the open ball B(x, r, t) with center  $x \in X$  and radius  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ , as

$$B(x,r,t) = \{ y \in X : \mathcal{M}(x,y,t) >_L \mathcal{N}(r) \}.$$

A subset  $A \subseteq X$  is called open if for each  $x \in A$ , there exist t > 0 and  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  such that  $B(x, r, t) \subseteq A$ . Let  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$  denote the family of all open subsets of X. Then  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$  is called the  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy topology induced by the  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Example 2.1.** Let (X,d) be a metric space. Define  $\mathcal{T}(a,b) = (a_1b_1, \min(a_2 + b_2, 1))$  for all  $a = (a_1, a_2)$  and  $b = (b_1, b_2)$  in  $L^*$  and let M and N be fuzzy sets on  $X^2 \times (0, +\infty)$  defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(x,y,t) = (M(x,y,t), N(x,y,t)) = \left(\frac{ht^n}{ht^n + md(x,y)}, \frac{md(x,y)}{ht^n + md(x,y)}\right)$$

for all  $t, h, m, n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then  $(X, \mathcal{M}_{M,N}, \mathcal{T})$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. If h = m = n = 1 then  $(X, \mathcal{M}_{M,N}, \mathcal{T})$  is a standard intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Also, if we define

$$\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(x,y,t) = \left(M(x,y,t), N(x,y,t)\right) = \left(\frac{t}{t + md(x,y)}, \frac{d(x,y)}{t + d(x,y)}\right),$$

where m > 1. Then  $(X, \mathcal{M}_{M,N}, \mathcal{T})$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space in which  $\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(x, x, t) = 1_{L^*}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(x, y, t) <_{L^*} 1_{L^*}$  for  $x \neq y$ .

**Example 2.2.** Let  $X = \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $\mathcal{T}(a, b) = (\max(0, a_1+b_1-1), a_2+b_2-a_2b_2)$  for all  $a = (a_1, a_2)$  and  $b = (b_1, b_2)$  in  $L^*$  and let M and N be fuzzy sets on  $X^2 \times (0, +\infty)$  defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(x,y,t) = (M(x,y,t), N(x,y,t)) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{y-x}{y}\right) & \text{if } x \le y, \\ \left(\frac{y}{x}, \frac{x-y}{y}\right) & \text{if } y \le x, \end{cases}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0. Then  $(X, \mathcal{M}_{M,N}, \mathcal{T})$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space. Then,  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t)$  is nondecreasing with respect to t, for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 2.7.** [16] A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  is called a **Cauchy sequence**, if for each  $\varepsilon \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  and t > 0, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m \ge n \ge n_0$   $(n \ge m \ge n_0)$ 

$$\mathcal{M}(x_m, x_n, t) >_L \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon).$$

The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be **convergent** to  $x \in X$  in the  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  (denoted by  $x_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}} x$ ) if  $\mathcal{M}(x_n, x, t) = \mathcal{M}(x, x_n, t) \to 1_{\mathcal{L}}$  as  $n \to \infty$  for every t > 0. An  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space is said to be **complete** iff every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Henceforth, we assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a continuous *t*-norm on lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  such that for every  $\mu \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ , there is a  $\lambda \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  such that

$$\mathcal{T}^{n-1}(\mathcal{N}(\lambda), ..., \mathcal{N}(\lambda)) >_L \mathcal{N}(\mu).$$

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 3.1.** Every *L*-fuzzy metric space is Hausdorff.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be the given  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space. Let x, y be two distinct points of X. Then  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = \mathcal{N}(r), r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ . Then for each  $r_0, r_0 <_L r$ , we can find a  $r_1 \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  such that  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N}(r_1), \mathcal{N}(r_1)) >_L \mathcal{N}(r_0)$ . Now consider the open balls  $B(x, r_1, t/2)$  and  $B(y, r_1, t/2)$ . Then  $B(x, r_1, t/2) \cap B(y, r_1, t/2) = \emptyset$ . For if there exists  $z \in B(x, r_1, t/2) \cap B(y, r_1, t/2)$  then,

$$\mathcal{N}(r) = \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) \geq_L \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M}(x, z, t/2), \mathcal{M}(z, y, t/2))$$
  
$$\geq {}_L \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N}(r_1), \mathcal{N}(r_1)) >_L \mathcal{N}(r_0) >_L \mathcal{N}(r),$$

which is a contradiction.

**Definition 3.8.** [10] Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space and  $A \subseteq X$ . The  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy diameter of a set A is defined by

$$\delta_A = \sup_{t>0} \inf_{x,y\in A} \sup_{\varepsilon < t} \mathcal{M}(x,y,\varepsilon).$$

If  $\delta_A = 1_{\mathcal{L}}$  then we say that the set *A* is  $\mathcal{L}F$ -strongly bounded.

**Lemma 3.3.** [10] The set  $A \subseteq X$  is  $\mathcal{L}F$ -strongly bounded if and only if for arbitrary negation  $\mathcal{N}(r)$  and each  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  there exists t > 0 such that  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Every compact subset A of a  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space X is  $\mathcal{L}F$ -strongly bounded.

*Proof.* Let *A* be a compact subset of *X*. Fix t > 0 and  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ . Consider an open cover  $\{B(x, r, t) : x \in A\}$  of *A*. Since *A* is compact, there exist  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in A$  such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(x_i, r, t)$ . Let  $x, y \in A$ . Then  $x \in B(x_i, r, t)$  and  $y \in B(x_j, r, t)$  for some i, j. Thus we have  $\mathcal{M}(x, x_i, t) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(y, x_j, t) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$ . Now let  $\alpha = \min\{\mathcal{M}(x_i, x_j, t) : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ . Then  $\alpha \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  and there exists  $s \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  such that  $\mathcal{T}^2(\mathcal{N}(r), \mathcal{N}(r), \alpha) >_L \mathcal{N}(s)$ . Therefore,

$$\mathcal{M}(x,y,t) \geq {}_{L}\mathcal{T}^{2}\left(\mathcal{M}\left(x,x_{i},\frac{t}{3}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(x_{i},x_{j},\frac{t}{3}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(x_{j},y,\frac{t}{3}\right)\right)$$
$$\geq {}_{L}\mathcal{T}^{2}(\mathcal{N}(r),\mathcal{N}(r),\alpha) >_{L} \mathcal{N}(s)$$

for all  $x, y \in A$ . Hence A is  $\mathcal{L}F$ -strongly bounded.

**Remark 3.1.** In an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space every compact set closed and  $\mathcal{L}F$ -strongly bounded.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space. If t > 0 and  $r, s \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  such that  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N}(s), \mathcal{N}(s)) \geq_L \mathcal{N}(r)$ , then  $\overline{B(x, s, t/2)} \subset B(x, r, t)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y \in \overline{B(x, s, t/2)}$  and let B(y, s, t/2) be an open ball with center  $x \in X$  and radius  $s \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ . Since  $B(y, s, t/2) \cap B(y, s, t/2) \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $z \in B(y, s, t/2) \cap B(x, s, t/2)$ . Then we have

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) \geq {}_{L}\mathcal{T}\left(\mathcal{M}\left(x, z, \frac{t}{2}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(y, z, \frac{t}{2}\right)\right)$$
$$> {}_{L}\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N}(s), \mathcal{N}(s)) \geq_{L} \mathcal{N}(r).$$

Hence  $z \in B(x, r, t)$  and thus  $\overline{B(x, s, t/2)} \subset B(x, r, t)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** A subset A of an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  is nowhere dense if and only if every nonempty open set in X contain an open ball whose closure is disjoint from A.

*Proof.* Let *U* be a nonempty open subset of *X*. Then there exists a nonempty open set *V* such that  $V \subset U$  and  $V \cap \overline{A} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in V$ . Then there exist t > 0 and  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  such that  $B(x, r, t) \subset V$ . Choose  $s \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  such that  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N}(s), \mathcal{N}(s)) \geq_L \mathcal{N}(r)$ . By Lemma 3.4  $\overline{B(x, s, t/2)} \subset B(x, r, t)$ . Thus  $B(x, s, t/2) \subset U$  and  $\overline{B(x, s, t/2)} \cap A = \emptyset$ .

Conversely, suppose *A* is not nowhere dense. Then  $int(\overline{A}) \neq \emptyset$ , so there exists a nonempty set *U* such that  $U \subset \overline{A}$ . Let B(x, r, t) be an open ball such that  $B(x, r, t) \subset U$ . Then  $\overline{B(x, r, t)} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.4.** (Baire's Theorem) Let  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a sequence of dense open subsets of a complete  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ . Then  $\cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$  is also dense in X.

*Proof.* Let *V* be a nonempty open set of *X*. Since  $U_1$  is dense in *X*,  $V \cap U_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x_1 \in V \cap U_1$ . Since  $V \cap U_1$  is open, there exist  $r_1 \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  and  $t_1 > 0$ such that  $B(x_1, r_1, t_1) \subset V \cap U_1$ . Choose  $r'_1 <_L r_1$  and  $t'_1 = \min\{t_1, 1\}$  such that  $\overline{B(x_1, r'_1, t'_1)} \subset V \cap U_1$ . Since  $U_2$  is dense in *X*,  $B(x_1, r'_1, t'_1) \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x_2 \in B(x_1, r'_1, t'_1) \cap U_2$ . Since  $B(x_1, r'_1, t'_1) \cap U_2$  is open, there exist  $r_2 \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  and  $t_2 > 0$  such that  $B(x_2, r_2, t_2) \subset B(x_1, r'_1, t'_1) \cap U_2$ . Choose  $r'_2 <_L r_2$  and  $t'_2 = \min\{t_2, 1/2\}$  such that  $\overline{B(x_2, r'_2, t'_2)} \subset B(x_1, r'_1, t'_1) \cap U_2$ . Continuing in this manner we obtain a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in *X* and a sequence  $\{t'_n\}$  such that  $0 < t'_n < \frac{1}{n}$  and  $\overline{B(x_n, r'_n, t'_n)} \subset B(x_{n-1}, r'_{n-1}, t'_{n-1}) \cap U_n$  in which  $r'_n \longrightarrow 0_{\mathcal{L}}$ .

and  $\overline{B(x_n, r'_n, t'_n)} \subset B(x_{n-1}, r'_{n-1}, t'_{n-1}) \cap U_n$  in which  $r'_n \longrightarrow 0_{\mathcal{L}}$ . Now we claim that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. For a given t > 0 and  $\varepsilon \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ , choose  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n_0} < t$  and  $\frac{1}{n_0} <_L \varepsilon$ . Then for  $n \ge n_0$  and  $m \ge n$ ,

$$\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_m, t) \ge_L \mathcal{M}\left(x_n, x_m, \frac{1}{n}\right) \ge_L \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{n}) >_L \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon).$$

Therefore,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $x_n \longrightarrow x$ . Since  $x_k \in B(x_n, r'_n, t'_n)$  for  $k \ge n$ , we obtain  $x \in \overline{B(x_n, r'_n, t'_n)}$ . Hence  $x \in \overline{B(x_n, r'_n, t'_n)} \subset B(x_{n-1}, r'_{n-1}, t'_{n-1}) \cap U_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore  $V \cap (\cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $\cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$  is dense in X.

**Remark 3.2.** Since any complete *L*-fuzzy metric space cannot be represented as the union of a sequence of nowhere dense sets, it is not of the first category.

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space. A collection of sets  $\{F_n\}_{n \in I}$  is said have  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy diameter zero if and only if for arbitrary negation  $\mathcal{N}(r)$  and each  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  and t > 0, there exists  $n \in I$  such that  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$  for all  $x, y \in F_n$ .

**Remark 3.3.** A nonempty subset F of an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space X has  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy diameter zero if and only if F is a singleton set.

**Theorem 3.5.** An  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  is complete if and only if every nested sequence of nonempty closed sets  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  with  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy diameter zero we have nonempty intersection.

*Proof.* First suppose that the given condition is satisfied. We claim that  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  is complete. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in X. Take  $A_n = \{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, ...\}$  and  $F_n = \overline{A_n}$ , then we claim that  $\{F_n\}$  has  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy diameter zero. For given  $s \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}, t > 0$ , we can find a  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ , such that  $\mathcal{T}^2(\mathcal{N}(r), \mathcal{N}(r), \mathcal{N}(r)) >_L \mathcal{N}(s)$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, for  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}, t > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{M}(x_m, x_n, \frac{t}{3}) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$  for all  $m \ge n \ge n_0$  ( $n \ge m \ge n_0$ ). Therefore,  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, \frac{t}{3}) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$  for all  $x, y \in A_{n_0}$ . Let  $x, y \in F_{n_0}$ . Then there exist sequences  $\{x'_n\}$  and  $\{y'_n\}$  in  $A_{n_0}$  such that  $x'_n \longrightarrow x$  and  $y'_n \longrightarrow y$ . Hence  $x'_n \in B(x, r, \frac{t}{3})$  and  $y'_n \in B(y, r, \frac{t}{3})$  for sufficiently large n. Now

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) \geq {}_{L}\mathcal{T}^{2}\left(\mathcal{M}\left(x, x_{n}^{\prime}, \frac{t}{3}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{\prime}, \frac{t}{3}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}^{\prime}, y, \frac{t}{3}\right)\right)$$
$$> {}_{L}\mathcal{T}^{2}(\mathcal{N}(r), \mathcal{N}(r), \mathcal{N}(r))$$
$$> {}_{L}\mathcal{N}(s).$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) >_L \mathcal{N}(s)$  for all  $x, y \in F_{n_0}$ . Thus  $\{F_n\}$  has  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy diameter zero. Hence by hypothesis  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$  is nonempty.

Take  $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ . Then for  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}, t > 0$ , there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{M}(x_n, x, t) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$  for all  $n \ge n_1$ . Therefore, for each t > 0,  $\mathcal{M}(x_n, x, t) \to 1_{\mathcal{L}}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence  $x_n \to x$ . Therefore,  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  is a complete  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space. Conversely, suppose that  $(X, \in \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy complete and  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a

nested sequence of nonempty closed sets with  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy diameter zero. Let  $x_n \in F_n$ , n = 1, 2, ... Since  $\{F_n\}$  has  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy diameter zero, for  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ , t > 0, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$  for all  $x, y \in F_{n_0}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_m, \frac{t}{3}) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$  for all  $m \ge n \ge n_0$  ( $n \ge m \ge n_0$ ). Since  $x_n \in F_n \subset F_{n_0}$  and  $x_n \in F_m \subset F_{n_0}$ ,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. But  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ a complete  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space and hence  $x_n$  converges to x for some  $x \in X$ . Now for each fixed  $n, x_k \in F_n$  for all  $k \ge n$ . Therefore,  $x \in \overline{F_n} = F_n$  for every n, and hence  $x \in \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ . This completes the proof.

**Remark 3.4.** The element  $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$  is unique. For if there are two elements  $x, y \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ , since  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  has  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy diameter zero, for each fixed t > 0,  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) >_L \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{n})$  for each n. This implies  $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = 1_{\mathcal{L}}$  and hence x = y.

## **Theorem 3.6.** Every separable *L*-fuzzy metric space is second countable.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be the given separable  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space. Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable dense subset of X. Consider  $\mathcal{B} = \{B(a_j, r_k, 1/k) : j, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ 

where  $r_k \longrightarrow 0_{\mathcal{L}}$ . Then *B* is countable. We claim that *B* is a base for the family of all open sets in *X*. Let *G* be an arbitrary open set in *X*. Let  $x \in G$ , then there exist  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}, t > 0$  such that  $B(x, r, t) \subset G$ . Since  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ , we can find  $s <_L r$  such that  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N}(s), \mathcal{N}(s)) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$ . Choose  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $1/m <_L \min\{s, t/2\}$ . Since *A* is dense in *X*, there exists  $a_j \in A$  such that  $a_j \in B(x, r_m, 1/m)$  where  $r_m \longrightarrow 0_{\mathcal{L}}$ . Now if  $y \in B(a_j, r_m, 1/m)$  then

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) \geq {}_{L}\mathcal{T}\left(\mathcal{M}\left(x, a_{j}, \frac{t}{2}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(y, a_{j}, \frac{t}{2}\right)\right)$$
$$\geq {}_{L}\mathcal{T}\left(\mathcal{M}\left(x, a_{j}, \frac{1}{m}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(y, a_{j}, \frac{1}{m}\right)\right)$$
$$\geq {}_{L}\mathcal{T}\left(\mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{m}), \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{m})\right)$$
$$\geq {}_{L}\mathcal{T}\left(\mathcal{N}(s), \mathcal{N}(s)\right) >_{L} \mathcal{N}(r).$$

Thus,  $y \in B(x, r, t)$  and hence  $\mathcal{B}$  is a base.

**Remark 3.5.** Every subspace of separable *L*-fuzzy metric space is separable.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be the given separable  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space and Y be a subspace of X. Let  $A = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable dense subset of X. For arbitrary but fixed  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , if there are points  $x \in X$  such that  $\mathcal{M}(x_n, y, 1/k) >_L \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{k})$ , choose one of them and denote it by  $x_{n_k}$ . Let  $B = \{x_{n_k} : n, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , then B is countable. Now we claim that  $Y \subset \overline{B}$ . Let  $y \in Y$ . Given  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  and t > 0, we can find a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{k}), \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{k})) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$ . Since A is dense in X, there exists an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{M}(x_m, y, 1/k) >_L \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{k})$ . But by definition of B, there exists  $x_{m_k} \in A$  such that  $\mathcal{M}(x_{m_k}, x_m, 1/k) >_L \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{k})$ . Now

$$\mathcal{M}(x_{mk}, y, t) \geq {}_{L}\mathcal{T}\left(\mathcal{M}\left(x_{m_{k}}, x_{m}, \frac{t}{2}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(x_{m}, y, \frac{t}{2}\right)\right)$$
$$\geq {}_{L}\mathcal{T}\left(\mathcal{M}\left(x_{m_{k}}, x_{m}, \frac{1}{k}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(x_{m}, y, \frac{1}{k}\right)\right)$$
$$\geq {}_{L}\mathcal{T}\left(\mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{k}), \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{k})\right) >_{L} \mathcal{N}(r).$$

Thus  $y \in \overline{B}$  and hence Y is separable.

**Definition 3.10.** Let *X* be any nonempty set and  $(Y, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space. Then a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of functions from *X* to *Y* is said to be **converge uniformly** to a function *f* from *X* to *Y* if for given  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  and t > 0, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{M}(f_n(x), f(x), t) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$  for all  $n \ge n_0$  and for all  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** (Uniform Limit Theorem) Let  $f_n : X \longrightarrow Y$  be a sequence of continuous functions from a topological space X to an  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space Y. If  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly to f then f is continuous.

*Proof.* Let *X* be a given topological space and  $(Y, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$  be the given  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space. For any open set *V* in *Y*, let  $x_0 \in f^{-1}(V)$  and let  $y_0 \in f(x_0)$ . Since

*V* is open, we can find  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$  and t > 0 such that  $B(y_0, r, t) \subset V$ . Since  $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ , we can find  $s <_L r$  such that  $\mathcal{T}^2(\mathcal{N}(s), \mathcal{N}(s), \mathcal{N}(s)) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)$ .

Since  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly to f, given  $s \in L \setminus \{0_L, 1_L\}$  and t > 0, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{M}(f_n(x), f(x), t/3) >_L \mathcal{N}(s)$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ . Since, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_n$  is continuous we can find a neighborhood U of  $x_0$ , for a fixed  $n \ge n_0$ , such that  $f_n(U) \subset B(f_n(x_0), s, t/3)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{M}(f_n(x), f_n(x_0), t/3) >_L \mathcal{N}(s)$  for all  $x \in U$ . Now

$$\mathcal{M}(f(x), f(x_0), t) \geq {}_{L}\mathcal{T}^2 \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{M}\left(f(x), f_n(x), \frac{t}{3}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(f_n(x), f_n(x_0), \frac{t}{3}\right), \\ \mathcal{M}\left(f_n(x_0), f(x_0), \frac{t}{3}\right) \end{array} \right) \\ \geq {}_{L}\mathcal{T}^2(\mathcal{N}(s), \mathcal{N}(s), \mathcal{N}(s)) >_{L} \mathcal{N}(r).$$

Thus,  $f(x) \in B(f(x_0), r, t) \subset V$  for all  $x \in U$ . Hence  $f(U) \subset V$  and then f is continuous.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Atanassov, K. T., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 20 (1986), 87-96
- [2] Deng, Z. K., Fuzzy pseudo-metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 86 (1982), 74-95
- [3] G. Deschrijver, G., Cornelis, C., Kerre, E. E., On the representation of intuitionistic fuzzy t-norms and t-conorms, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Systems 12 (2004), 45-61
- [4] Deschrijver, G., Kerre, E. E., On the relationship between some extensions of fuzzy set theory, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 133 (2003), 227-235
- [5] Erceg, M. A., Metric spaces in fuzzy set theory, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 69 (1979), 205-230
- [6] George, A. and Veeramani, P., On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 64 (1994), 395-399
- [7] George, A. and Veeramani, P., On some results of analysis for fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 90 (1997), 365-368
- [8] Goguen, J., *L-fuzzy sets*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 18 (1967), 145-174
- [9] Grabiec, M., Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 27 (1988), 385-389
- [10] Ješić, S. N. and Babačev, N. A., Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and L-fuzzy metric spaces with nonlinear contractive condition, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 37 (2008), 675-687
- [11] Kaleva, O. and Seikkala, S., On fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 12 (1984), 225-229
- [12] Kramosil, O. and Michalek, J., Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetica 11 (1975), 326-334
- [13] Park, J. H., Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 22 (2004), 1039-1046
- [14] Saadati, R., Park, J. H., On the intuitionistic topological spaces, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 27 (2006), 331-344
- [15] Saadati, R., Razani, A., Adibi, H., A common fixed point theorem in *L*-fuzzy metric spaces, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 33 (2007), 358-363
- [16] Saadati, R., On the L-fuzzy topological spaces Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 37 (2008), 1419-1426
- [17] Zadeh, L. A., Fuzzy sets, Inform. and Control 8 (1965), 338-353

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ARTS GAZI UNIVERSITY TEKNIKOKULLAR, 06500 ANKARA, TURKEY *E-mail address*: hakanefe@gazi.edu.tr