

## Positive solutions for nonlinear integral equations of Hammerstein type

ANDREI HORVAT-MARC

### ABSTRACT.

We apply a variant of Krasnoselskii's compression-expansion theorem for nonlinear operators which satisfy a compact condition of Mönch type. Our approach makes possible to establish conditions which ensure the existence of positive solutions of abstract integral equations of Hammerstein type.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a real Banach space,  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$  be the set of positive real numbers and  $h > 0$ .

The goal of this paper is to establish sufficient conditions for the existence of nonnegative solutions to the nonlinear integral equation of Hammerstein type

$$(1.1) \quad u(t) = \int_0^h k(t, s) F(u(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, h],$$

where  $k : [0, h] \times [0, h] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $F : U \subset X \rightarrow X$  is Bochner integrable on  $[0, h]$ .

Let  $X$  be endowed with the norm  $|\cdot|$  and  $K \subset X$  be a cone of  $X$  which induces a partial order on  $X$ , i.e., " $x \leq y$ " if and only if  $y - x \in K$ . We say that the norm  $|\cdot|$  is increasing with respect to  $K$  if  $|x| \leq |y|$  whenever  $0 \leq x \leq y$ . For  $0 < r < R$  we use the notation  $\Omega_r = \{x \in X : |x| < r\}$ ,  $K_r = \{x \in K : |x| < r\}$ ,  $S_r = \{x \in K : |x| = r\}$ ,  $K_{r,R} = \{x \in K : r \leq |x| \leq R\}$ . We observe that  $K_r = K \cap \Omega_r$  and  $K_{r,R} = K \cap (\overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r)$ .

In this paper, we introduce the new notion of  $\mu_2$ -bounded map. If we want to localize a positive solution of (1.1) in a positive cone  $K$ , then we must be sure that  $\int_0^h k(\cdot, s) F(u(s)) ds$  is an element of  $K$ . This condition is implied by the hypothesis that  $k$  is  $\mu_2$ -bounded. In fact, the maps which are  $\mu_2$ -bounded ensure that the values of some integral operators are situated in a positive cone of a Banach space.

To localize a positive solution of (1.1) we use the compression-expansion fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii's type. This technique has been applied in the literature to scalar equations, when  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , see [10, 12, 13], and recently to nonlinear equations in Banach spaces, see [3, 16, 4]. In all this works, the nonlinear integral equations were studied assuming that the associated operator is compact

---

Received: 13.05.2008; In revised form: 23.08.2008; Accepted: 30.09.2008

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 45D05, 47J05.

Key words and phrases. *Nonlinear integral equations in abstract spaces, Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem, Mönch's fixed point theorem.*

or completely continuous. Our existence result do not require completely continuity of  $T$  and is based upon the continuation theorem of Mönch [14] and the corresponding compression theorem, stated in the following:

**Theorem 1.1** (A. Horvat-Marc [9]). *Let  $X$  be a real Banach space, endowed with the norm  $|\cdot|$ ,  $K$  be a cone in  $X$ ,  $0 < r < R$  and the continuous operator  $T : K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_R \setminus \Omega_r) \rightarrow K$ . Assume that*

MK1) *the norm  $|\cdot|$  is increasing with respect to  $K$ ,*

MK2) *there exist  $x_0 \in K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_R \setminus \Omega_r)$  and  $C \subset K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_R \setminus \Omega_r)$  such that*

$$(1.2) \quad C \subset \overline{co}(\{x_0\} \cup T(C)) \text{ implies } \overline{C} \text{ compact.}$$

MK3)  *$T$  is such that*

$$|T(u)| \leq |u| \text{ on } K \cap \Omega_R \text{ and } |T(u)| \geq |u| \text{ on } K \cap \Omega_r.$$

*Then  $T$  has at least one fixed point in  $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_R \setminus \Omega_r)$ .*

The proof of this result may be found in [9] and some examples of operators which satisfy MK2) are presented in [5, 6]. In fact, if an operator  $T$  satisfies MK2), we say that  $T$  is operator of Mönch type.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In what follows we introduce the notion of  $\mu$ 2-bounded map.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mu \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\kappa : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $[a', b'] \subset [a, b]$ . We say that the map  $k : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is  $\mu$ 2-bonded on  $[a, b]$  with respect to  $\kappa$  and  $[a', b']$  if

i) for every  $t \in [a, b]$  we have

$$(2.3) \quad k(t, s) \leq \kappa(s) \text{ for all } s \in [a, b],$$

ii) for every  $t' \in [a', b']$  the inequality

$$(2.4) \quad \mu\kappa(s) \leq k(t', s) \text{ for all } s \in [a, b].$$

holds.

The next lemmas give some examples of  $\mu$ 2-bounded maps.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $k : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a map and  $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$ . Assume that:*

Li) *for all  $s \in [a, b]$  the map  $k(\cdot, s) : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is concave on  $[c, d]$ , i.e. for any  $s \in [a, b]$  and  $t_1, t_2 \in [c, d]$  we have*

$$k((1 - \lambda)t_1 + \lambda t_2, s) \geq (1 - \lambda)k(t_1, s) + \lambda k(t_2, s), \quad \lambda \in [0, 1];$$

Lii) *for all  $s \in [a, b]$  the map  $k(\cdot, s) : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is increasing on  $[a, b]$ , i.e. for any  $t_1, t_2 \in [a, b]$  with  $t_1 < t_2$  and  $s \in [a, b]$  we have*

$$k(t_1, s) \leq k(t_2, s).$$

*Then  $k$  is  $\mu$ 2-bounded on  $[a, b]$  with respect to  $\kappa$  and  $[c, d]$ , where  $\mu = \frac{c-a}{b}$  and  $\kappa(s) = k(b, s)$  for all  $s \in [a, b]$ .*

*Proof.* From Li) we have

$$(2.5) \quad k(t, s) \leq k(b, s) = \kappa(s) \text{ for all } t, s \in [a, b].$$

So, (2.3) holds.

Let  $t^* \in [c, d]$ . We can consider  $t^* = \frac{c-a}{b} \cdot b + \left(1 - \frac{c-a}{b}\right) \frac{t^* - (c-a)}{b - (c-a)} \cdot b$ , where  $\frac{c-a}{b} \in (0, 1)$  and  $\frac{t^* - (c-a)}{b - (c-a)} \cdot b \in [a, b]$ . Now, from Li) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} k(t^*, s) &= k\left(\frac{c-a}{b} \cdot b + \left(1 - \frac{c-a}{b}\right) \frac{t^* - (c-a)}{b - (c-a)} \cdot b, s\right) \\ &\geq \frac{c-a}{b} k(b, s) + \left(1 - \frac{c-a}{b}\right) k\left(\frac{t^* - (c-a)}{b - (c-a)} \cdot b, s\right), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $s \in [a, b]$ . Then for every  $t^* \in [c, d]$  we have

$$(2.6) \quad k(t^*, s) \geq \frac{c-a}{b} k(b, s) \text{ for all } s \in [a, b].$$

Hence, Li) guarantees ii).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $G : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  defined by

$$(2.7) \quad G(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{(C+D-Ct)(B+As)}{CB+AC+AD}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \\ \frac{(C+D-Cs)(B+At)}{CB+AC+AD}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $G$  is  $\mu$ -2-bounded with respect to  $\kappa$  and  $I$ , where  $\kappa \in C[0, 1]$  with  $\kappa(s) = G(s, s)$

for  $s \in [0, 1]$ ,  $I = \left[\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\right]$  for every  $\varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$  and

$$(2.8) \quad \mu = \min \left\{ \frac{C(1-2\varepsilon) + 2D}{2(C+D)}, \frac{A(1-2\varepsilon) + 2B}{2(A+B)} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ . We prove that (2.3) and (2.4) are satisfied for  $[a, b] = [0, 1]$ ,

$[a', b'] = \left[\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\right]$ ,  $k = G$ ,  $\kappa(s) = G(s, s)$  with  $s \in [0, 1]$  and  $\mu \in (0, 1)$  given by (2.8).

If  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$ , then  $C+D-Cs \geq C+D-Ct$ , so

$$(2.9) \quad G(s, s) = \frac{(C+D-Cs)(B+As)}{CB+AC+AD} \geq \frac{(C+D-Ct)(B+As)}{CB+AC+AD} = G(t, s).$$

If  $0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1$ , then  $B+As \geq B+At$ , so

$$(2.10) \quad G(s, s) = \frac{(C+D-Cs)(B+As)}{CB+AC+AD} \geq \frac{(C+D-Cs)(B+At)}{CB+AC+AD} = G(t, s).$$

From (2.9) and (2.10) we obtain

$$(2.11) \quad G(t, s) \leq G(s, s) = \kappa(s) \text{ for every } t, s \in [0, 1].$$

If  $t \in \left[0, \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\right]$ , then

$$C + D - Ct \geq C + D - C \left(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{C(1 - 2\varepsilon) + 2D}{2}.$$

Hence for  $s \geq 0$  we have

$$C + D - Ct \geq \frac{C(1 - 2\varepsilon) + 2D}{2} \cdot \frac{C + D - Cs}{C + D} \geq \mu(C + D - Cs).$$

It results that

$$(2.12) \quad G(t, s) \geq \mu G(s, s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}.$$

If  $t \in \left[\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, 1\right]$  then

$$B + At \geq B + A \left(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\right) = \frac{A(1 - 2\varepsilon) + 2B}{2}.$$

Hence for  $s \leq 1$  we have

$$B + At \geq \frac{A(1 - 2\varepsilon) + 2B}{2} \cdot \frac{B + As}{B + A} \geq \mu(B + As).$$

It results that

$$(2.13) \quad G(t, s) \geq \mu G(s, s), \quad \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon \leq t \leq s \leq 1.$$

From (2.12) and (2.13) we obtain

$$(2.14) \quad \mu G(s, s) \leq G(t, s) \text{ for every } t \in \left[\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\right], s \in [0, 1].$$

Now, (2.11) and (2.14) guarantee that  $G$  is  $\mu 2$ -bounded.  $\square$

The function  $G$  considered in Lemma 2.2 is the Green function associated to the boundary values problem

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{cases} y'' = 0 \\ Ay(0) - By'(0) = 0 \\ Cy(1) + Dy'(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

with  $AC + BD + AD > 0$ .

The next example of  $\mu 2$ -bounded map is a Green function associated to a boundary values problem arising in chemical reactor theory, see [1, 2, 7].

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $G : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be the map defined by

$$(2.16) \quad G(t, s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ e^{-\frac{t-s}{\xi}} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

If  $\mu = e^{\frac{\alpha-2}{\xi}}$  and  $\kappa : [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is such that

$$\kappa(s) = e^{\frac{s}{\xi}}, \quad s \in [0, 1],$$

then  $G$  is  $\mu 2$ -bounded on  $[0, 1]$  with respect to  $\kappa$  and every  $[a, b] \subset [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* We have  $G(t, s) \leq 1 \leq \kappa(s)$  for all  $t, s \in [0, 1]$ . So, (2.3) from *i*) holds. Now, let  $[a, b] \subset [0, 1]$  and  $t^* \in [a, b]$ . If  $s \in [0, t^*]$ , then

$$(2.17) \quad G(t^*, s) = 1 \geq e^{\frac{a+s-2}{\xi}} = \mu\kappa(s).$$

If  $s \in [t^*, 1] \subset [a, 1]$ , then  $t^* - s \geq a + s - 2$  and

$$(2.18) \quad G(t^*, s) = e^{\frac{t-s}{\xi}} \geq e^{\frac{a+s-2}{\xi}} = \mu\kappa(s).$$

From (2.17) and (2.18) we obtain that for any  $t^* \in [a, b]$

$$G(t^*, s) \geq \mu\kappa(s), \text{ for any } s \in [0, 1].$$

holds So, Lii) is satisfied and this complete the proof.  $\square$

In what follows, we show how the maps which are  $\mu 2$ -bounded ensure that the values of some integral operators are situated in a positive cone of a Banach space.

We denote by  $C(a, b; K)$  the set of all continuous maps from  $[a, b]$  to  $K$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $K \subset X$  be a cone in  $X$  and let  $\leq$  be the order relation on  $X$  induced by the cone  $K$ . Let  $\mu \in (0, 1)$ ,  $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$ ,  $t' \in [c, d]$ ,  $k : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and the cone*

$$K'_\mu = \{u \in C(a, b; K); \mu u(t) \leq u(t'), t \in [a, b]\}.$$

*If the map  $k$  is  $\mu 2$ -bounded with respect to  $\kappa$  and  $[c, d]$ , then for all  $v \in C(a, b; K)$  we have*

$$(2.19) \quad \int_a^b k(\cdot, s) v(s) ds \in K'_\mu.$$

*Proof.* Let  $v \in C(a, b; K)$  and  $u(t) = \int_a^b k(t, s) v(s) ds$ , for  $t \in [a, b]$ . It is obvious that  $u \in C(a, b; K)$ . Since, the map  $k$  is uppermajorated by  $\kappa$  on  $[a, b]$ , we have

$$\mu u(t) = \mu \int_a^b k(t, s) v(s) ds \leq \int_a^b \mu\kappa(s) v(s) ds, \quad t \in [a, b].$$

Now, because  $\mu\kappa(s) \leq k(t', s)$  for all  $s \in [a, b]$  and  $t' \in [a', b']$ , we obtain

$$\mu u(t) \leq \int_a^b k(t', s) v(s) ds = u(t'), \quad t \in [a, b].$$

So,  $\mu u(t) \leq u(t')$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$  and this ensure that  $u \in K'_\mu$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $K \subset X$  be a cone in  $X$ , which induces on  $X$  the order relation  $\leq$ , and let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Let  $\mu \in (0, 1)$ ,  $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$ ,  $t' \in [c, d]$ ,  $k : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and the cone*

$$K'_{p,\mu} = \{u \in L^p(a, b; K); \mu u(t) \leq u(t') \text{ a.e. on } t \in [a, b]\}.$$

If the map  $k$  is  $\mu$ 2-bounded with respect to  $\kappa$  and  $[c, d]$ , then for all  $v \in C(a, b; K)$  we have

$$(2.20) \quad \int_a^b k(\cdot, s) v(s) ds \in K'_{p, \mu}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $v \in L^p(a, b; K)$  and  $u(t) = \int_a^b k(t, s) v(s) ds$  a.e. on  $[a, b]$ . It is obvious that  $u \in L^p(a, b; K)$ . Since, the map  $k$  is a.e. uppermajorated by  $\kappa$  on  $[a, b]$ , we have

$$\mu u(t) = \mu \int_a^b k(t, s) v(s) ds \leq \int_a^b \mu \kappa(s) v(s) ds, \text{ a.e. on } [a, b].$$

Now, because  $\mu \kappa(s) \leq k(t', s)$  a.e.  $s \in [a, b]$  and  $t' \in [a', b']$ , we obtain

$$\mu u(t) \leq \int_a^b k(t', s) v(s) ds = u(t'), \text{ a.e. on } [a, b].$$

So,  $\mu u(t) \leq u(t')$  a.e. on  $[a, b]$  and this ensure that  $u \in K'_{p, \mu}$ .  $\square$

### 3. MAIN RESULT

In this section we establish conditions which guarantee the localization of positive solution of (1.1) in  $K_{r, R}$ . We say that  $u$  is a positive solution to (1.1) if  $u \in C(0, h; K)$  and satisfies (1.1).

The Banach space  $C(0, h; K)$  is endowed with the norm

$$|u|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, h]} |u(t)|, \quad u \in C(0, h; K).$$

We remind that  $u \leq y$  in  $C(0, h; K)$  if and only if for any  $t \in [0, h]$  we have  $u(t) \leq y(t)$  in  $K$ . For  $M \subset X$  a bounded set we denote by  $\alpha(M)$  the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness on  $X$ , i.e.,

$$\alpha(M) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0; M \text{ admits a finite cover by sets of diameter } \leq \varepsilon \}.$$

To attain our goal we introduce the following hypotheses:

H1) the map  $F : K \rightarrow K$  is  $L^1$ -Carathéodory,  $q \geq 1$  and

$$F(x) \leq F(y) \text{ for every } x, y \in K \text{ with } x \leq y;$$

H2) for any  $t \in [0, h]$ , we have  $k(t, \cdot) \in L^p[0, h]$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ;

H3) the map  $t \mapsto k(t, \cdot)$  is continuous from  $[0, h]$  to  $L^p[0, h]$ ;

H4) there exists  $\omega : [0, 2R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  a  $L^p$ -Carathéodory map such that

- (a)  $\alpha(F(M)) \leq \omega(\alpha(M))$  for any bounded set  $M \subset C(a, b; K)$ ;
- (b) the unique solution of

$$\phi(t) \leq 2 \int_0^h k(t, s) \omega(\phi(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, h]$$

is  $\phi \equiv 0$ .

- H5) there exist  $\mu \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\kappa \in L^p(0, h; \mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $[a, b] \subset [0, 1]$  such that  $k$  is  $\mu 2$ -bounded a.e. on  $[0, h]$  with respect to  $\kappa$  and  $[a, b]$ ;  
H6) there exist  $m_k, M_k > 0$  with  $m_k \leq \kappa(s)$  a.e.  $s \in [a, b]$  and  $\kappa(s) \leq M_k$  a.e.  $s \in [0, h]$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume that H1)-H6) are satisfied and*

(h1) *there exist  $r > 0$  such that*

$$(3.21) \quad \inf \{|F(x)|; x \in K, |x| = \mu r\} > \frac{r}{\mu m_k (b-a)};$$

(h2) *there exist  $R > 0$  such that*

$$(3.22) \quad \sup \{|F(x)|; x \in K, |x| = R\} \leq \frac{R}{h M_k}.$$

*Then the integral equation of Hammerstein type (1.1) has at least one positive solution  $u \in C(0, h; K)$  for which we have*

$$\begin{cases} 0 < r \leq |u|_\infty \leq R, \\ \mu u(t) \leq u(t') \text{ for any } t \in [0, h] \text{ and } t' \in [a, b]. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let be the cone

$$K_\mu = \{u \in C(0, h; K); \mu u(t) \leq u(t'), t \in [0, h], t' \in [a, b]\}$$

and the radial shell

$$K_{r,R} = \{u \in K_\mu; r \leq |u|_\infty \leq R\}.$$

If we consider the operator  $T : K_{r,R} \rightarrow K_\mu$  defined by

$$T(u)(t) = \int_0^h k(t, s) F(u(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, h],$$

then by Lemma 2.4, from H5) we have  $T(u) \in K_\mu$ .

The existence of a positive solution to (1.1) is equivalent to the existence of a fixed point to  $T$ . We will apply Theorem 1.1, so we will prove that MK2) and MK3) hold. Hypotheses H1)-H4) guarantee that  $T$  is an operator of Mönch type, see [15]. So, MK2) holds.

Let  $u \in K_\mu$  with  $|u|_\infty = R$ . By H6), for any  $t \in [0, h]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T(u)(t)| &= \left| \int_0^h k(t, s) F(u(s)) ds \right| \leq \int_0^h k(t, s) |F(u(s))| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^h \kappa(s) |F(u(s))| ds \leq M_\kappa \int_0^h |F(u(s))| ds. \end{aligned}$$

**Results**

$$|T(u)|_\infty \leq h M_\kappa \cdot \sup \{|F(x)|; x \in K, |x| \leq R\}.$$

From (h2) we obtain

$$(3.23) \quad |T(u)|_\infty \leq |u|_\infty.$$

Let  $u \in K_\mu$  with  $|u|_\infty = r$  and  $t^* \in [0, h]$ ,  $|u(t^*)| = r$ . Hence  $u \in K_\mu$ , we can assume that  $\mu u(t^*) \leq u(s)$  for any  $s \in [a, b]$ . From H1), we have

$$(3.24) \quad F(\mu u(t^*)) \leq F(u)(t) \text{ for any } t \in [a, b].$$

Now, for  $t \in [0, h]$  by H6) holds

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} T(u)(t) &= \int_0^h k(t, s) F(u(s)) ds \geq \int_a^b \mu \kappa(s) F(u(s)) ds \\ &\geq \mu F(\mu u(t^*)) \int_a^b \kappa(s) ds \geq \mu m_\kappa (b-a) \cdot F(\mu u(t^*)). \end{aligned}$$

Since the norm  $|\cdot|_\infty$  is increasing with respect to cone  $C(0, h; K)$ , we have

$$|T(u)|_\infty \geq \mu m_\kappa (b-a) \cdot \inf \{|F(x)|; x \in K, |x| = \mu r\}.$$

From (h1) we obtain

$$(3.26) \quad |T(u)|_\infty > |u|_\infty.$$

Now (3.23) and (3.26) guarantee that the inequalities from MK3) are satisfied and this complete the proof.  $\square$

Theorem 3.2 is an useful tool to study the various problems from the theory of abstract ordinary differential equations or abstract nonlinear integral equations.

**Acknowledgements.** This research was supported by the CEEX Grant No. 2-CEEX-06-11-96/19.09.2006 of the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Cohen, D., *Multiple stable solutions of nonlinear boundary value problems arising in chemical reactor theory*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **20** (1971), No. 1, 1-13
- [2] Deimling, K., *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, 1985
- [3] Horvat-Marc, A. and Precup, R., *Nonnegative solutions of nonlinear integral equations in ordered Banach spaces*, Fixed Point Theory **5** (2004), No. 1, 65-70
- [4] Horvat-Marc, A., *Localization results via Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem in cones*, Fixed Point Theory **8** (2007), No. 1, 59-68
- [5] Horvat-Marc, A., Sabo, C. and Toader, C., *Positive solutions of Uryshon integral equations*, Proc. of the 7th WSEAS International Conference on Systems Theory and Scientific Computation, Athens, Greece, 2007, 96-99
- [6] Horvat-Marc, A., *Compression-expansion fixed point theorems*, Automat. Comput. Appl. Math. **15** (2006), No. 2, 171-175
- [7] Horvat-Marc, A. and Ţicală, Cristina *Localization of solutions for a problem arising in the theory of adiabatic tubular chemical reactors*, Carpathian J. Math. **20** (2004), No. 2, 187-192
- [8] Horvat-Marc, A., *Positive solutions of nonlinear functional-integral equations*, Carpathian J. Math. **19** (2003), No. 1, 67-72
- [9] Horvat-Marc, A., *Compression-expansion fixed point theorems*, to appear



- [10] Karakostas, G. L. and Tsamatos, P. Ch., *Multiple positive solutions of some Fredholm integral equations arisen from nonlocal boundary-value problems*, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2002 (2002), No. 30, 1-17
- [11] Krasnoselskii, M. A. and Zabreiko, P. P., *Geometrical methods in nonlinear analysis* (Russian), Moscow, 1975
- [12] Meehan, M. and O'Regan, D., *Multiple Nonnegative Solutions of Nonlinear Integral Equation on Compact and Semi-Infinite Intervals*, Applicable Analysis **74**, 3-4, 2000, 413-427
- [13] Meehan, M. and O'Regan, D., *Positive Solutions of Volterra Integral Equations Using Integral Inequalities*, J. of Inequal. and Appl. **7** (2002), No. 2, 285-307
- [14] Mönch, H., *Boundary values problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations of second order in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **4** (1980), 985-999
- [15] O'Regan, D. and Precup, R., *Existence Criteria for Integral Equations in Banach Spaces*, J. of Inequal. and Appl. **6** (2001), 77-97
- [16] Precup, R., *Positive solutions of semi-linear elliptic problems via Krasnoselskii's theorem in cones and Harnack's inequality*, Mathematical Analysis and Applications, eds. V. Rădulescu and C. Niculescu, Amer. Inst. Physics, AIP Conference Proceedings, **835**, 2006, 125-132

NORTH UNIVERSITY OF BAI A MARE  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS  
STR VICTORIEI 76, 430122, BAI A MARE, ROMANIA  
E-mail address: andrei.horvatmarc@gmail.com