Fixed point theorems for Zamfirescu mappings in metric spaces endowed with a graph

FLORIN BOJOR and MAGNOLIA TILCA

ABSTRACT. Let (X, d) be a metric space endowed with a graph G such that the set V(G) of vertices of G coincides with X. We define the notion of G-Zamfirescu maps and obtain a fixed point theorem for such mappings. This extends and subsumes many recent results which were obtained for mappings on metric spaces endowed with a graph and for cyclic operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

We remind the reader few basic notions concerning graphs, the connectivity of graphs, G-contraction and Picard operator. Let (X, d) be a metric space and let G be a graph with no parallel edges. The set V(G) denotes the vertices (or nodes) of the graph G and E(G) denotes the set of its edges. In Jachymski's results ([13]), the graph G is a directed graph for which the set V(G) coincides with X and the set E(G) contains all loops, i.e. $E(G) \supseteq \Delta$, where Δ is the diagonal of the Cartesian product $X \times X$. Because G has no parallel edges, G can be identify with the pair (V(G), E(G)).

According to the basic definition ([14], Def.8.2.1.), a *path* of length N between two vertices x, y of a directed graph G is a sequence $(x_i)_{i=0}^N$ of N + 1 vertices such that $x_0 = x$, $x_N = y$ and $(x_{n-1}, x_n) \in E(G)$ for i = 1, ..., N. It is known that a graph G is *connected* if there is a path between any two vertices. If the undirected graph \tilde{G} , obtained from G by ignoring the direction of edges, is connected, then the graph G is said to be *weakly connected*.

One of the statement of an important theorem of Jachymski ([13], Th.3.2.) uses the equivalence class $[x]_G$ of the relation *R* defined on V(G) by rule:

yRz if there is a path in *G* from *y* to *z*.

If *G* is such that E(G) is symmetric and *x* is a vertex in *G*, then $V(G_x) = [x]_G$ ([13]), where the subgraph G_x consisting of all edges and vertices which are contained in some path beginning at *x* is called the *component* of *G* containing *x*. In this case, G_x is connected.

Recently, some results have appeared giving sufficient conditions for f to be a PO if (X, d) is endowed with a graph (following Petruşel and Rus [18], we say f is a *Picard* operator PO if f has a unique fixed point x^* and $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^n x = x^*$ for all $x \in X$ and it is a *weakly Picard operator* WPO if the sequence $(f^n x)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges, for all $x \in X$ and the limit, which may depend on x, is a fixed point of T). The first result in this direction was given by J. Jachymski [13]. He also presented a new proof for the Kelisky-Rivlin theorem on iterates of the Bernstein operators on the space C[0, 1]. The idea concerning of the Bernstein operators comes from I. A. Rus [24]. See also [23].

Received: 05.10.2014; In revised form: 20.03.2015; Accepted: 22.03.2015

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C38, 15A15, 05A15, 15A18.

Key words and phrases. Fixed point, Picard operator, connected graph, contractive mappings.

Corresponding author: Florin Bojor; florin.bojor@yahoo.com

Definition 1.1 ([13], Def. 2.1). We say that a mapping $f : X \to X$ is a Banach G-contraction or simply a G-contraction if f preserves edges of G, i.e.,

(1.1)
$$\forall x, y \in X ((x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (f(x), f(y)) \in E(G))$$

and *f* decreases weights of edges of *G* in the following way:

 $(1.2) \qquad \exists \alpha \in (0,1), \forall x, y \in X ((x,y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha d(x,y)).$

Theorem 1.1 ([13], Th 3.2). Let (X, d) be complete, and let the triple (X, d, G) have the following property:

(*P*:) for any $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in *X*, if $x_n \to x$ and $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then there is a subsequence $(x_{k_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $(x_{k_n}, x) \in E(G)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $f : X \to X$ be a Banach G-contraction, and $X_f = \{x \in X | (x, fx) \in E(G)\}$. Then the following statements hold:

- 1. card $Fix f = \text{card} \{ [x]_{\tilde{G}} | x \in X_f \}.$
- 2. Fix $f \neq \emptyset$ iff $X_f \neq \emptyset$.
- 3. *f* has a unique fixed point if there exists $x_0 \in X_f$ such that $X_f \subseteq [x_0]_{\tilde{G}}$.
- 4. For any $x \in X_f$, $f|_{[x]_{\tilde{G}}}$ is a PO.
- 5. If $X_f \neq \emptyset$ and G is weakly connected, then f is a PO.
- 6. If $X' := \bigcup \{ [x]_{\tilde{G}} | x \in X_f \}$ then $f |_{X'}$ is a WPO.
- 7. If $f \subseteq E(G)$, then f is a WPO.

In 2011, Nicolae, O'Regan and Petruşel [16] extended the notion of multi-valued contraction on a metric space with a graph in considering the fixed point theorem shown below.

Theorem 1.2 ([16]). Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a multi-valued map with nonempty closed values. Assume that

- (1) there exists $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $D(F(x), F(y)) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in E(G)$, where $D(A, B) = max\{sup_{x \in A}inf_{y \in B}d(x, y), sup_{y \in B}inf_{x \in A}d(x, y)\}, \forall A, B \in CB(X)$, is Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric;
- (2) for each $(x, y) \in E(G)$, each $u \in F(x)$ and $v \in F(y)$ satisfying $d(u, v) \le a \cdot d(x, y)$ for some $a \in (0, 1)$, $(u, v) \in E(G)$ holds; (3) X has the Property P

(3) *X* has the Property *P*.

If there exists $x_0, x_1 \in X$ such that $x_1 \in [x_0]_G^1 \cap F(x_0)$, then F has a fixed point.

An existence theorem of a fixed point for set valued mappings (not necessarily unique) in metric spaces endowed with a graph was given by Beg, Butt and Radojević.

Theorem 1.3 ([1], Th 3.1). Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and suppose that the triple (X, d, G) has the Property P. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a G-contraction and

 $X_F := \{x \in X : (x, u) \in E(G) \text{ for some } u \in F(x)\}.$

Then the following statements hold:

- (1) For any $x \in X_F$, $F|_{[x]_{\tilde{G}}}$ has a fixed point.
- (2) If $X_F \neq \emptyset$ and G is weakly connected, then F has a fixed point in X.
- (3) If $X' := \bigcup \{ [x]_{\tilde{G}} : x \in X_F \}$ then $F|_{X'}$ has a fixed point.
- (4) If $F \subseteq E(G)$ then F has a fixed point.
- (5) $Fix F \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $X_F \neq \emptyset$.

We recall that:

Definition 1.2 ([27]). Let (X, d) be a metric space. $T : X \to X$ is called a Zamfirescu operator if there exist the real numbers α , β and γ satisfying $0 \le \alpha < 1$, $0 \le \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 \le \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$, such that, for each $x, y \in X$, at least one of the following is true:

$$(z_1) \ d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha \cdot d(x, y);$$

- $(z_2) \ d(Tx,Ty) \leq \beta \left[d(x,Tx) + d(y,Ty) \right];$
- $(z_3) \ d(Tx,Ty) \leq \gamma \left[d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx) \right].$

Zamfirescu [27] proved that if X is complete, then every Zamfirescu mapping has a unique fixed point. The aim of this paper is to study the existence of fixed points for Zamfirescu mappings in metric spaces endowed with a graph G by introducing the concept of G-Zamfirescu mappings. Several theorems concerning the existence and uniqueness of the fixed point for contractive mappings in metric spaces endowed with a graph have been considered recently in [1, 7, 8, 10, 13, 16].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this section we assume that (X, d) is a metric space, and G is a graph such that V(G) = X, $E(G) \supseteq \Delta$ and the graph G has no parallel edges. The set of all fixed points of a mapping T is denoted by FixT.

Following the idea of Jachymski [13], we will define a new mapping, *G*-Zamfirescu mapping:

Definition 2.3. Let (X, d) be a metric space. The mapping $T : X \to X$ is said to be a G-Zamfirescu mapping if:

- 1. $\forall x, y \in X ((x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (Tx, Ty) \in E(G)).$
- 2. there exist the real numbers a, b and c satisfying $0 \le a < 1$, $0 \le b < \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 \le c < \frac{1}{2}$, such that, for each $(x, y) \in E(G)$, at least one of the following is true: $(z_1) \ d(Tx, Ty) \le a \cdot d(x, y)$;
 - $(z_2) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq b \left[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) \right];$
 - $(z_3) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leqslant c \left[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx) \right].$

Remark 2.1. If the mapping *T* satisfies the condition (z_1) , $\forall (x, y) \in E(G)$ then *T* is a Banach *G*-contraction (see [13], Definition 2.1) and if the mapping *T* satisfies the condition (z_2) , $\forall (x, y) \in E(G)$ then *T* is a *G*-Kannan mapping (see [8], Definition 4).

Remark 2.2. If T is a *G*-Zamfirescu mapping, then T is both a G^{-1} -Zamfirescu mapping and a \tilde{G} -Zamfirescu mapping.

Example 2.1. Any Zamfirescu mapping is a G_0 -Zamfirescu mapping, where the graph G_0 is defined by

$$V(G_0) = X$$
 and $E(G_0) = X \times X$.

Example 2.2. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be endowed with the Euclidean metric d(x, y) = |x - y|. The mapping $T : X \to X$, Tx = 0, for $x \in \{0, 1\}$ and Tx = 1, for $x \in \{2, 3\}$ is a *G*-Zamfirescu mapping satisfying (z_1) from Definition 2.3 with the constant $a = \frac{2}{3}$, where

V(G) = X and $E(G) = \{(0,1); (0,2); (2,3); (0,0); (1,1)\}; (2,2); (3,3)\},\$

but is not a Zamfirescu mapping because

- d(T1, T2) = 1 and d(1, 2) = 1 so (z_1) from Definition 1.2 is false;
- d(T1, T2) = 1 and d(1, T1) + d(2, T2) = 2 so (z_2) from Definition 1.2 is false;
- d(T1, T2) = 1 and d(1, T2) + d(2, T1) = 2 so (z_3) from Definition 1.2 is false.

We prove some lemmas first. The first one is derived from elementary calculus, so we skip the proof of that.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\alpha \in [0,1)$ and let $\{x_n\}$ converge to zero. Then the sequence $\{y_n\}$, defined by

$$y_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^{n-i} x_i$$

converges to zero.

Lemma 2.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space endowed with a graph G and $T : X \to X$ be a G-Zamfirescu mapping. If $x, y \in X$ satisfy the condition $(x, y) \in E(\tilde{G})$ then we have

(2.3)
$$d(Tx,Ty) \leq \alpha d(x,y) + 2\alpha d(x,Tx),$$

and

(2.4)
$$d(Tx,Ty) \leq \alpha d(x,y) + 2\alpha d(x,Ty),$$

where $\alpha = \max\left\{a, \frac{b}{1-b}, \frac{c}{1-c}\right\}$.

Proof. Since *T* is a *G*-Zamfirescu mapping, by Remark 2.2, *T* is a \tilde{G} Zamfirescu mapping. But $(x, y) \in E\left(\tilde{G}\right)$ so at least one of the conditions $(z_1), (z_2), (z_3)$ is satisfied.

If the pair (x, y) satisfies (z_1) we get $d(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(x, y)$ so both conditions (2.3) and (2.4) are satisfied.

If the pair (x, y) satisfies (z_2) then

$$\begin{split} d\left(Tx,Ty\right) &\leqslant b\left[d\left(x,Tx\right) + d\left(y,Ty\right)\right] \\ &\leqslant b\left[d\left(x,Tx\right) + d\left(y,x\right) + d\left(x,Tx\right) + d\left(Tx,Ty\right)\right] \end{split}$$

which implies that

$$d\left(Tx,Ty\right) \leqslant \frac{b}{1-b}d\left(x,y\right) + \frac{2b}{1-b}d\left(x,Tx\right)$$

so the condition (2.3) is true. In the same manner we can prove that the condition (2.4) is true.

If the pair (x, y) satisfies (z_3) the proof is identical as above.

Lemma 2.3. Let (X, d) be a metric space endowed with a graph G and $T : X \to X$ be a G-Zamfirescu mapping. If $x \in X$ satisfies the condition $(x, Tx) \in E(\tilde{G})$ then we have

(2.5)
$$d\left(T^{n}x,T^{n+1}x\right) \leqslant \alpha^{n}d\left(x,Tx\right)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, where $\alpha = \max\left\{a, \frac{b}{1-b}, \frac{c}{1-c}\right\}$.

Proof. Because *T* is a *G*-Zamfirescu mapping, using Remark 2.2, *T* is a \tilde{G} Zamfirescu mapping.

Let $(x, Tx) \in E(\tilde{G})$. An easy induction shows that $(T^nx, T^{n+1}x) \in E(\tilde{G})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for all n > 0, by (2.4), we get

$$d\left(T^{n+1}x,T^{n}x\right) \leqslant \alpha d\left(T^{n}x,T^{n-1}x\right) + 2\alpha d\left(T^{n}x,T^{n}x\right) = \alpha d\left(T^{n}x,T^{n-1}x\right)$$

which implies

$$d\left(T^{n}x, T^{n+1}x\right) \leqslant \alpha d\left(T^{n-1}x, T^{n}x\right)$$

where $\alpha = \max\left\{a, \frac{b}{1-b}, \frac{c}{1-c}\right\}$, so we get

$$d(T^nx,T^{n+1}x) \leq \alpha^n d(x,Tx), \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

Lemma 2.4. Let (X, d) be a metric space endowed with a graph G and $T : X \to X$ be a G-Zamfirescu operator. If there exist $x, y \in X$ such that $(x, y) \in E(\tilde{G})$ then

$$d\left(T^{n}x,T^{n}y\right) \leq \alpha^{n}d\left(x,y\right) + 2\alpha\sum_{i=1}^{n}\alpha^{n-i}d\left(T^{i-1}x,T^{i}x\right)$$
for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, where $\alpha = \max\left\{a,\frac{b}{1-b},\frac{c}{1-c}\right\}$.

Proof. Since *T* is a *G*-Zamfirescu mapping, by Remark 2.2, *T* is a \tilde{G} Zamfirescu mapping. Let $x, y \in X$ such that $(x, y) \in E\left(\tilde{G}\right)$. An easy induction shows that $(T^n x, T^n y) \in E\left(\tilde{G}\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then by Lemma 2.2 we have

(2.6)
$$d(T^{n}x, T^{n}y) \leq \alpha d(T^{n-1}x, T^{n-1}y) + 2\alpha d(T^{n-1}x, T^{n}x).$$

Using the relation (2.6) and elementary calculus we get

(2.7)
$$d(T^{n}x,T^{n}y) \leq \alpha^{n}d(x,y) + 2\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha^{n-i}d(T^{i-1}x,T^{i}x)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\alpha = \max\left\{a, \frac{b}{1-b}, \frac{c}{1-c}\right\}$.

The main result of this paper is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space endowed with a graph $G, T : X \to X$ be a *G*-Zamfirescu operator. We suppose that:

- (*i.*) *G* is weakly connected;
- (ii.) $X_T = \left\{ x \in X \mid (x, Tx) \in E(\tilde{G}) \right\} \neq \emptyset;$
- (iii.) for any $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in X, if $x_n \to x$ and $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(\tilde{G})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then there is a subsequence $(x_{k_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $(x_{k_n}, x) \in E(\tilde{G})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then T is a PO.

Proof. By (ii.) the set $X_T \neq \emptyset$. This means that there exists at least one $x \in X$ such that $(x,Tx) \in E(\tilde{G})$. Because a < 1, $b, c < \frac{1}{2}$ then $\alpha = \max\left\{a, \frac{b}{1-b}, \frac{c}{1-c}\right\} \in [0,1)$. From Lemma 2.3 we have that the sequence $\{T^nx\}_{n\geq 0}$ is Cauchy. Since (X,d) is complete, the sequence $\{T^nx\}_{n\geq 0}$ converges to $x^* \in X$. By (iii.) there is a subsequence $\{T^{k_n}x\}_{n\geq 0}$ such that $(T^{k_n}x,x^*) \in E(\tilde{G}), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the definition of the *G*-Zamfirescu operator we have that $(T^{k_n+1}x,Tx^*) \in E(G)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From Lemma 2.2 we obtain

$$(2.8) d\left(T^{k_n+1}x,Tx^*\right) \leq \alpha d\left(T^{k_n}x,x^*\right) + 2\alpha d\left(T^{k_n}x,T^{k_n+1}x\right).$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ in the relation (2.8), we get

$$d\left(x^*, Tx^*\right) \leqslant 0$$

which implies that $d(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$, so $Tx^* = x^*$ and $x^* \in FixT$.

 \square

Let $x \in X_T$ and $y \in X$. Since G is a weakly connected graph, there exists a path $(x_i)_{i=0}^N$ in X from $x \in X_T$ to y i.e. $x_0 = x, x_N = y$, and $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E(G)$ for all $i = \overline{1, N}$. We have proved that the sequence $\{T^n x\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $x^* \in FixT$. But $(x, x_1) \in E(G)$, so from Lemma 2.3 we get

$$d(T^{n}x, T^{n}x_{1}) \leq \alpha^{n}d(x, x_{1}) + 2\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha^{n-i}d(T^{i-1}x, T^{i}x)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, where $\alpha = \max\left\{a, \frac{b}{1-b}, \frac{c}{1-c}\right\} < 1$.

Using Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha^{n-i} d\left(T^{i-1}x, T^{i}x\right) = 0$$

so $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(T^nx, T^ny) = 0$, in conclusion the sequence $\{T^nx_1\}$ converges to x^* . Moreover the sequences $\{T^nx_i\}$ converge to x^* for all $i = \overline{1, N}$. In particular for i = N the sequence $\{T^nx_N\} = \{T^ny\}$ converges to x^* . Thus

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} T^n y = x^*$$

for all $y \in X$.

If there exists $y^* \in FixT$ then the sequences $\{T^ny^*\}$ converge to x^* which implies $y^* = x^*$ so T is a PO.

The following example shows that the condition "G is weakly connected graph" is necessary for the G-Zamfirescu mapping to be a PO.

Example 2.3. Let X := [0,1] be endowed with the Euclidean metric d_E . We define the graph *G* by

$$E(G) = \{(x, y) \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1] \mid x \ge y\} \cup \{(0, 0)\}.$$

Set

$$Tx = \frac{x}{4}$$
 for $x \in (0, 1]$, and $T0 = \frac{1}{4}$.

It is obvious that (X, d) is a complete metric space, G is not weakly connected because there is no path in \tilde{G} from $x_0 = 0$ to $x_N = 1$ and T is a G-Zamfirescu mapping which satisfies (z_2) with $b = \frac{1}{3}$. Clearly, $T^n x \to 0$ for all $x \in X$, but T has no fixed points.

The next example underlines the importance of the nonempty property for the set X_T , property which ensures that the *G*-Zamfirescu mapping *T* is a PO.

Example 2.4. Let $X = \{3, 4, 5, ...\} = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1, 2\}$ be endowed with the Euclidean metric d_E . We define the graph G by

$$V(G) = X \text{ and } E(G) = \{ (2^k n, 2^k (n+1)) : k \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1, 2\} \} \cup \Delta.$$

Then (X, d) is a complete metric space and G is a weakly connected graph since for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m < n we have that the sequence $x_0 = m, x_1 = m + 1, ..., x_{n-m} = n$ is a path in G from m to n.

Set

$$Tx = 2x.$$

For all $(x, y) \in E(G)$ we have

$$(Tx, Ty) = (2x, 2y) \in E(G)$$

and the mapping *T* satisfies (z_2) with $\beta = \frac{1}{3}$ since for $(x, y) \in E(G)$ there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \{3, 4, 5, ...\}$ such that $(x, y) = (2^k n, 2^k (n + 1))$ and

$$d(Tx,Ty) = |2^{k+1}n - 2^{k+1}(n+1)| = 2^{k+1} = \frac{1}{3}2^k \cdot 6 < \frac{1}{3}2^k (2n+1)$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} (2^k n + 2^k (n+1)) = \frac{1}{3} (d(x,Tx) + d(y,Ty)).$$

If we suppose that there exists $x \in X$ such that $(x, Tx) \in E(\tilde{G})$, then there exist $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 3$ and $x = 2^k n, Tx = 2^k (n+1)$ which implies that n = 1. So the assumption is false, in conclusion $X_T = \emptyset$.

The property (ii.) from Theorem 2.4 is satisfied because every convergent sequence is a constant sequence. Clearly, $(T^n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not convergent for all $x \in X$.

Corollary 2.1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space endowed with a graph $G, T : X \to X$ be a Banach *G*-contraction. We suppose that:

- (*i.*) *G* is weakly connected;
- (ii.) $X_T = \{x \in X \mid (x, Tx) \in E(G)\} \neq \emptyset;$
- (iii.) for any $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X, if $x_n \to x$ and $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then there is a subsequence $(x_{k_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $(x_{k_n}, x) \in E(G)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then T is a PO.

Proof. If *T* is a Banach *G*-contraction with the constant $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ then the operator *T* satisfies the condition (z_1) from Definition 2.3 for all $(x, y) \in E\left(\tilde{G}\right)$. *T* is a *G*-Zamfirescu operator and from Theorem 2.4 the mapping *T* is a PO.

Corollary 2.2. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space endowed with a graph $G, T : X \to X$ be a G-Kannan mapping (see [8]). We suppose that:

- (*i*.) *G* is weakly connected;
- (*ii.*) $X_T = \{x \in X | (x, Tx) \in E(G)\} \neq \emptyset;$
- (iii.) for any $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X, if $x_n \to x$ and $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then there is a subsequence $(x_{k_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $(x_{k_n}, x) \in E(G)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then T is a PO.

Proof. If *T* is a *G*–Kannan with the constant α then *T* is a *G*-Zamfirescu operator. From Theorem 2.4 we have that the mapping *T* is a PO.

From Theorem 2.4, we obtain the following corollary concerning the fixed point of Zamfirescu operator in partially ordered metric spaces.

Corollary 2.3. Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and d be a metric on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $T : X \to X$ be an increasing operator such that the following three assertions hold true:

- (i.) There exist the real numbers a, b and c satisfying 0 ≤ a < 1, 0 ≤ b < ¹/₂ and 0 ≤ c < ¹/₂, such that, for each x, y ∈ X with x ≤ y, at least one of the following is true:
 (z₁) d (Tx, Ty) ≤ ad (x, y);
 - $(z_2) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq b \left[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) \right];$
 - $(z_3) \ d(Tx,Ty) \leqslant c \left[d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx) \right].$

- (ii.) For each $x, y \in X$, incomparable elements of (X, \leq) , there exists $z \in X$ such that $x \leq z$ and $y \leq z$;
- (iii.) If an increasing sequence (x_n) converges to x in X, then $x_n \leq x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then T is a PO.

Proof. Consider the graph G with V(G) = X, and

$$E(G) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x \leq y\}.$$

Because the mapping *T* is an increasing one and (i.) holds true we get that the mapping *T* is a G-Zamfirescu mapping. By (ii.) *G* is a weakly connected graph and the condition (iii.) implies the condition (ii.) from Theorem 2.4. The conclusion follows now from Theorem 2.4.

The next result shows that the fixed point theorem for cyclic Zamfirescu operators, proved in [19] by Petric and Zlatanov, is a consequence of Theorem 2.4.

Let $p \ge 2$ and $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^p$ be nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric space X. A mapping $T : \bigcup_{i=1}^p A_i \to \bigcup_{i=1}^p A_i$ is called a *cyclical operator* if

(2.9)
$$T(A_i) \subseteq A_{i+1}, \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, ..., p\}$$

where $A_{p+1} := A_1$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $A_1, A_2, ..., A_p, A_{p+1} = A_1$ be nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric space (X, d) and suppose that $T : \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} A_i \to \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} A_i$ is a cyclical operator, and there exist real numbers $a \in [0, 1)$, $b \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $c \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that for each pair $(x, y) \in A_i \times A_{i+1}$, for $i \in \{1, 2, ..., p\}$, at least one of the following is true:

 $\begin{array}{l} (z_1) \ d\,(Tx,Ty) \leqslant ad\,(x,y); \\ (z_2) \ d\,(Tx,Ty) \leqslant b\,[d\,(x,Tx) + d\,(y,Ty)]; \\ (z_3) \ d\,(Tx,Ty) \leqslant c\,[d\,(x,Ty) + d\,(y,Tx)]. \end{array}$

Then T is a PO.

Proof. Let $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} A_i$ then (Y, d) is a complete metric space. Let the graph *G* be such that V(G) = Y, and

 $E(G) = \{(x, y) \in Y \times Y : \exists i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\} \text{ such that } x \in A_i \text{ and } y \in A_{i+1}\}$

$$\cup \left\{ (x, x) : x \in Y \right\}.$$

Because T is a cyclic operator we get

 $(Tx, Ty) \in E(G)$, for all $(x, y) \in E(G)$

and from hypothesis, the operator T is a G-Zamfirescu mapping and G is a weakly connected graph.

Now let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X. If $x_n \to x$ and $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then there is $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ such that $x \in A_i$. However in view of (2.9), the sequence $\{x_n\}$ has an infinite number of terms in each A_i , for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. The subsequence of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ formed by the terms which are in A_{j-1} satisfies the condition (ii.) from Theorem 2.4. In conclusion the operator T is a PO.

304

REFERENCES

- Beg, I., Butt, A. R. and Radojević, S., The contraction principle for set valued mappings on a metric space with a graph, Comput. Math. Appl., 60 (2010), 1214–1219
- Berinde, V., Approximation fixed points of weak contractions using the Picard iteration, Nonlinear Analysis Forum, 9 (2004), No. 1, 43–53
- [3] Berinde, V., Iterative Approximation of Fixed Points, Springer, 2007
- [4] Berinde, V., Approximating fixed points of weak φ -contractions, Fixed Point Theory, 4 (2003), No. 2, 131–142
- [5] Berinde, V., On the approximation of fixed points of weak contractive mappings, Carpathian J. Math., 19 (2003), No. 1, 7–22
- [6] Berinde, V. and Berinde, M., On Zamfirescu's fixed point theorem, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., 50 (2005), No. 5-6, 443–453
- [7] Bojor, F., Fixed point of φ-contraction in metric spaces endowed with a graph, Ann. Univ. Craiova, Math. Comput. Sci. Ser., 37 (2010), No. 4, 85–92
- [8] Bojor, F., Fixed points of Kannan mappings in metric spaces endowed with a graph, An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta, 20 (2012), No 1, 31–40
- Bojor, F., Fixed point theorems for Reich type contractions on metric spaces with a graph, Nonlinear Anal, 75 (2012), No. 9, 3895–3901
- [10] Bojor, F., Fixed points of Bianchini mappings in metric spaces endowed with a graph, Carpathian. J. Math., 28 (2012), No. 2, 207–214
- [11] Ćirić, L. B., A generalization of Banach's contraction principle, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 45 (1974), 267–273
- [12] Hardy, G. E. and Rogers, T. D., A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich, Canad. Math. Bull. 1, 45 (1973), 201–206
- [13] Jachymski, J., The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 136 (2008), No. 4, 1359–1373
- [14] Johnsonbaugh, R., Discrete Mathematics, Prentice-Hall, Inc., New Jersey, 1997
- [15] Kirk, W. A., Srinivasan, P. S. and Veeramany, P., Fixed points for mappings satisfying cyclical contractive conditions, Fixed Point Theory, 4 (2003), No. 1, 79–89
- [16] Nicolae, A., O'Regan, D. and Petruşel, A., Fixed point theorems for single-valued and multivalued generalized contractions in metric spaces endowed with a graph, Georgian Math. J., 18 (2011), 307–327
- [17] O'Regan, D. and Petruşel, A., Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in ordered metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 341 (2008), 1241–1252
- [18] Petruşel, A. and Rus, I. A., Fixed point theorems in ordered L-spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 134 (2006), 411–418
- [19] Petric, M. A., Some remarks concerning Ciric-Reich-Rus operators, Creative Math. & Inf., 18, (2009), No. 2, 188–193
- [20] Reich, S., Fixed points of contractive functions, Bollettino della Unione Matematica Italiana, (1972), vol. 5, 26–42
- [21] Rhoades, B. E., A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 226 (1977), 257–290
- [22] Rus, I. A., Generalized Contractions and Applications, Cluj Univ. Press, 2001
- [23] Rus, I. A., Iterates of Stancu operators via contraction principle, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., 47 (2002), No. 4, 101–104
- [24] Rus, I. A., Iterates of Bernstein operators via contraction principle, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 292, (2004), 259–261
- [25] Rus, I. A., Cyclic representations and fixed points, Annals of the Tiberiu Popoviciu Seminar of Functional Equations, Approximation and Convexity, 3 (2005), 171–178
- [26] Rus, I. A., Petruşel, A. and Petruşel, G., Fixed Point Theory, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2008
- [27] Zamfirescu, T., Fixed point theorems in metric spaces, Arch. Math. (Basel), 23 (1972), 292–298

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS "GHEORGHE ŞINCAI" NATIONAL COLLEGE GH. ŞINCAI STREET 25, ROMANIAN, BAIA MARE *E-mail address*: florin.bojor@yahoo.com

"VASILE GOLDIŞ" WESTERN UNIVERSITY OF ARAD THE FACULTY OF ECONOMICS, DEPARTMENT OF MARKETING REVOLUŢIEI BLVD. 94, 310025 ROMANIAN, ARAD *E-mail address*: tilca.magnolia@uvvq.ro