

Dedicated to Professor Yeol Je Cho on the occasion of his retirement

Coupled coincidence and coupled common fixed point theorems on a fuzzy metric space with a graph

PHUMIN SUMALAI¹, POOM KUMAM^{2,3} and DHANANJAY GOPAL⁴

ABSTRACT. Inspired by the work of Dakjum et al. [Eshi, D., Das, P. K. and Debnath, P., *Coupled coincidence and coupled common fixed point theorems on a metric space with a graph*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 37 (2016), 1–14], we introduce a new class of $G - f$ -contraction mappings in complete fuzzy metric spaces endowed with a directed graph and prove some existence results for coupled coincidence and coupled common fixed point theorems of this type of contraction mappings in complete fuzzy metric spaces endowed with a directed graph.

1. INTRODUCTION

Zadeh [18] introduced the concept of fuzzy set theory. There are many viewpoints of the notion of the metric space in fuzzy topology. We can divide them into following two groups. First group relate these results to the fuzzy metrics on a set X is treated as a map $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, where X represents the totality of all fuzzy points of a set and satisfy some axioms which are analogous to the ordinary metric axioms. In such an approach numerical distances are set up between fuzzy objects. Second group focussed on those results in which the distance between objects is fuzzy and the objects themselves may or may not be fuzzy. Erceg [4], Kaleva and Seikkala [12] and Kramosil and Michalek [13] discussed in length about fuzzy metric spaces. Grabiec's [8] proved a fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space. Subramanyam [16] generalized Grabiec's result for a pair of commuting maps in the setting of Jungck 1976 [11]. George and Veermani [6] modified the concept of fuzzy metric spaces and defined a Hausdorff topology on this fuzzy metric space which has some applications in quantum particle physics. The concept of coupled fixed point was introduced by Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [7] which has been further generalized and extended by many authors. Sedghi et al. [14] initiated the study of coupled fixed point in the setup of fuzzy metric spaces which become a topic of interest for many mathematician working in this area. (for example see [3, 15, 2, 17]). In this paper, we study and establish the existence theorems for $G - f$ -contraction mapping in the new set up of complete fuzzy metric spaces endowed with a directed graph.

Let $(M, X, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space and Δ be the diagonal of the Cartesian product $X \times X$. Let G be a directed graph, such that the set $V(G)$ of its vertices coincides with X and $\Delta \subset E(G)$, where $E(G)$ is the set of edges of the graph G . Assume also that G has no parallel edges and, thus one can identify G with the pair $(V(G), E(G))$. Also, denote by

Received: 29.08.2017. In revised form: 22.06.2018. Accepted: 15.07.2018

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H10, 47H09.

Key words and phrases. *coupled coincidence point, coupled common fixed point, fuzzy metric spaces, G-f-contraction mappings.*

Corresponding author: P. Kumam; poom.kum@kmutt.ac.th

G^{-1} the graph obtained from G by reversing the direction of the edges. Thus,

$$E(G^{-1}) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : (y, x) \in E(G)\}.$$

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we present some basic definitions and concepts related to the main results of this article. In the sequel, \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all positive integers and \mathbb{R} the set of all real numbers.

Definition 2.1. [13] A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous t -norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $*$ is associative and commutative;
- (2) $*$ is continuous;
- (3) $a * 1 = a$ for every $a \in [0, 1]$;
- (4) $a * b \leq c * d$ if $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.2. [9] A t -norm $*$ is said to be of H - type if the family of functions $\{*^m(a)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is equicontinuous at $a = 1$, where $*^2(a) = a * a$, $*^{m+1}(a) = a * (*^m(a))$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, a \in [0, 1]$. The t -norm $*_M = \min$ is an example of t -norm of H - type, but there are other t -norms $*$ of H - type (see[9]). Obviously, $*$ is a H - type t norm if and only if for any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\delta(\lambda) \in (0, 1)$ such that $*^m(a) > 1 - \lambda$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, when $a > 1 - \delta$.

Definition 2.3. [6] A triplet $(X, M, *)$ is said to be a fuzzy metric space, if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t -norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s > 0$:

- (M_1) $M(x, y, t) > 0$,
- (M_2) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (M_3) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$,
- (M_4) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$,
- (M_5) $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous.

In view of (M_1) and (M_2), it is worth pointing out that $0 < M(x, y, t) < 1$ for all $t > 0$, provided $x \neq y$. In view of Definition (2.3), George and Veermani [6] introduced the concept of Hausdorff topology on fuzzy metric spaces and showed that every metric space induces a fuzzy metric space. In fact, we can fuzzify metric spaces into fuzzy metric spaces in a natural way as is shown by the following example. In other words, every metric induces a fuzzy metric.

Example 2.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space and define $a * b = ab$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$. Also define $M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then $(X, M, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space, called standard fuzzy metric space induced by (X, d) .

Definition 2.4. [6] Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space, then

- (i) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent and converges to say x if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$, for all $t > 0$;
- (ii) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \epsilon$, for all $t > 0$ and $n, m \geq n_0$;
- (iii) a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X is a convergent sequence.

Remark 2.1. (see [8]).

- (a) For all $x, y \in X$, $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is non - decreasing.

- (b) It is easy to prove that if $x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y, t_n \rightarrow t$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, y_n, t_n) = M(x, y, t)$.
- (c) In a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$, whenever $M(x, y, t) > 1 - r$ for $x, y \in X, t > 0, 0 < r < 1$, we can find a $t_0, 0 < t_0 < t$ such that $M(x, y, t_0) > 1 - r$.
- (d) For any $r_1 > r_2$, we can find r_3 such that $r_1 * r_3 \geq r_2$ and for any r_4 we can find r_5 such that $r_3 * r_5 \geq r_4$, where $r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5 \in (0, 1)$.

Lemma 2.1. [8] M is a continuous function on $X \times X \times (0, \infty)$.

Definition 2.5. [14] Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space. M is said to satisfy the n -property on $X \times X \times (0, \infty)$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [M(x, y, k^n t)]^{n^p} = 1$, whenever $x, y \in X, k > 1$ and $p > 0$.

Lemma 2.2. [10] Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space and M satisfies the n -property; then $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Our aim in this paper is to prove a coupled coincidence point theorem for two mappings in a complete fuzzy metric space endowed with graph.

Definition 2.6. [7] Let X be a nonempty set. An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point of the mapping $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$ if $T(x, y) = x$ and $T(y, x) = y$.

Definition 2.7. [7] An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled coincidence point of the mappings $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ if $T(x, y) = fx$ and $T(y, x) = fy$.

Let us denote the set of all coupled coincidence points of T and f as $CCoin(Tf)$.

Definition 2.8. [7] An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled common fixed point of the mappings $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ if $T(x, y) = fx = x$ and $T(y, x) = fy = y$.

Definition 2.9. [7] Let X be a nonempty set. The mappings $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ are called commutative if $f(T(x, y)) = T(fx, fy)$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.10. [1, 7] A function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is called G -continuous if

- (i) for all $x, x^* \in X$ and for any sequence $\{n_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers with $\{f^{n_i} x\} = \{x_{n_i}\} \rightarrow x^*$, and $(f^{n_i} x, f^{n_i+1} x) = (x_{n_i}, x_{n_i+1}) \in E(G)$, implies $f(f^{n_i} x) = f(x_{n_i}) \rightarrow fx^*$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$,
- (ii) for all $y, y^* \in X$ and for any sequence $\{n_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers with $\{f^{n_i} y\} = \{y_{n_i}\} \rightarrow y^*$ and $(f^{n_i} y, f^{n_i+1} y) = (y_{n_i}, y_{n_i+1}) \in E(G^{-1})$, implies $f(f^{n_i} y) = f(y_{n_i}) \rightarrow fy^*$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.11. [1] A function $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is G -continuous if for all $(x, y), (x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ and for any sequence $\{n_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers with $\{x_{n_i}\} \rightarrow x^*, \{y_{n_i}\} \rightarrow y^*$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and $(T^{n_i}(x, y), T^{n_i+1}(x, y)) = (x_{n_i}, x_{n_i+1}) \in E(G), (T^{n_i}(y, x), T^{n_i+1}(y, x)) = (y_{n_i}, y_{n_i+1}) \in E(G^{-1})$ implies $T(T^{n_i}(x, y), T^{n_i}(y, x)) = T(x_{n_i}, y_{n_i}) \rightarrow T(x^*, y^*), T(T^{n_i}(y, x), T^{n_i}(x, y)) = T(y_{n_i}, x_{n_i}) \rightarrow T(y^*, x^*)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we discuss certain definitions and lemmas which will be necessary for establishing the results of the next section.

Suppose that $(X, M, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space endowed with a directed graph G . Let us consider the mappings $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$. Define the set $(X \times X)_{Tf}$ as $(X \times X)_{Tf} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : (fx, T(x, y)) \in E(G) \text{ and } (fy, T(y, x)) \in E(G^{-1})\}$. We also call the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X as Picard sequences if $T(x_n, y_n) = fx_{n+1}$ and $T(y_n, x_n) = fy_{n+1}$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Definition 3.12. The mapping $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is called a $G - f$ -contraction if

- (i) for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, T is f -edge preserving, i.e., $(fx, fu) \in E(G)$ and $(fy, fv) \in E(G^{-1})$ then $(T(x, y), T(u, v)) \in E(G)$ and $(T(y, x), T(v, u)) \in E(G^{-1})$;
- (ii) for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ such that $(fx, fu) \in E(G)$ and $(fy, fv) \in E(G^{-1})$, $M(T(x, y), T(u, v), \alpha t) \geq [M(fx, fu, t) * M(fy, fv, t)]$, where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is called the contraction constant of T .

Lemma 3.3. Let $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a f -edge preserving and $T(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, then for every Picard sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ in X the following holds:

- (i) if $(x_0, y_0) \in (X \times X)_{Tf}$, then $(T(x_n, y_n), T(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) \in E(G)$ and $(T(y_n, x_n), T(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \in E(G^{-1})$;
- (ii) if $(x_0, y_0) \in (X \times X)_{Tf}$, then $(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \in (X \times X)_{Tf}$

Proof. Let x_0, y_0 be two arbitrary points in X . Since $T(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$, we can choose x_n, y_n in X such that $f(x_1) = T(x_0, y_0)$ and $f(y_1) = T(y_0, x_0)$. Continuity this process, we can construct sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$(3.1) \quad f(x_{n+1}) = T(x_n, y_n) \text{ and } f(y_{n+1}) = T(y_n, x_n) \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

- (i) Let $(x_0, y_0) \in (X \times X)_{Tf}$ i.e. $(fx_0, T(x_0, y_0)) \in E(G)$ and $(fy_0, T(y_0, x_0)) \in E(G^{-1})$ which imply $(fx_0, fx_1) \in E(G)$ and $(fy_0, fy_1) \in E(G^{-1})$. Now, by the f -edge preserving property of T , we get that $(T(x_0, y_0), T(x_1, y_1)) \in E(G)$ and $(T(y_0, x_0), T(y_1, x_1)) \in E(G^{-1})$. Continuity in this way, we set $(T(x_n, y_n), T(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) \in E(G)$ and $(T(y_n, x_n), T(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \in E(G^{-1}) \forall n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
- (ii) Let $(x_0, y_0) \in (X \times X)_{Tf}$, then from (i) we have $(T(x_n, y_n), T(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) \in E(G)$ and $(T(y_n, x_n), T(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \in E(G^{-1})$. Thus, by the definition of $(X \times X)_{Tf}$, we have $(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \in (X \times X)_{Tf}$. \square

Lemma 3.4. Suppose $(X, M, *)$ is a complete fuzzy metric space endowed with a directed graph G with $*$ is a continuous t -norms of H -type. Let $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a $G - f$ -contraction with contraction constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $T(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$. Also let $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ be Picard sequences in X . Then, for each $(x_0, y_0) \in (X \times X)_{Tf}$ there exist $x^*, y^* \in X$ such that $f x_n \rightarrow x^*$ and $f y_n \rightarrow y^*$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $(x_0, y_0) \in (X \times X)_{Tf}$. Then by Lemma 3.3, we have $(T(x_n, y_n), T(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) \in E(G)$ and $(T(y_n, x_n), T(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \in E(G^{-1}) \forall n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ i.e. $(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ and $(fy_n, fy_{n+1}) \in E(G^{-1}) \forall n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Since $*$ is a t -norm of H -type, then for any $\lambda > 0$, there exists a $\theta > 0$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad \underbrace{(1 - \theta) * (1 - \theta) * \dots * (1 - \theta)}_{k\text{-times}} \geq 1 - \lambda, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is continuous and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} M(fx_0, fx_1, t_0) \geq 1 - \theta, \\ M(fy_0, fy_1, t_0) \geq 1 - \theta. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, for any $t > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_0 < t$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad t > \sum_{i=n_0}^{\infty} \alpha^i(t_0),$$

As F is a $G - f$ -contraction, so we have $M(fx_1, fx_2, \alpha(t_0)) = M(T(x_0, y_0), T(x_1, y_1), \alpha(t_0))$

and $M(fy_1, fy_2, \alpha(t_0)) = M(T(y_0, x_0), T(y_1, x_1), \alpha(t_0)) \geq M(fx_0, fx_1, t_0) * M(fy_0, fy_1, t_0)$.
 Similarly, we can also get

$$\begin{aligned} M(fx_2, fx_3, \alpha^2(t_0)) &= M(T(x_1, y_1), T(x_2, y_2), \alpha^2(t_0)) \\ &\geq M(fx_1, fx_2, \alpha(t_0)) * M(fy_1, fy_2, \alpha(t_0)) \\ &= M(T(x_0, y_0), T(x_1, y_1), \alpha(t_0)) \\ &\quad * M(T(y_0, x_0), T(y_1, x_1), \alpha(t_0)) \\ &\geq M(fx_0, fx_1, t_0) * M(fy_0, fy_1, t_0) \\ &\quad * M(fy_0, fy_1, t_0) * M(fx_0, fx_1, t_0) \\ &= [M(fx_0, fx_1, t_0)]^2 * [M(fy_0, fy_1, t_0)]^2. \\ M(fy_2, fy_3, \alpha^2(t_0)) &= M(T(y_1, x_1), T(y_2, x_2), \alpha^2(t_0)) \\ &\geq M(fy_1, fy_2, \alpha(t_0)) * M(fx_1, fx_2, \alpha(t_0)) \\ &= M(T(y_0, x_0), T(y_1, x_1), \alpha(t_0)) \\ &\quad * M(T(x_0, y_0), T(x_1, y_1), \alpha(t_0)) \\ &\geq M(fy_0, fy_1, t_0) * M(fx_0, fx_1, t_0) \\ &\quad * M(fx_0, fx_1, t_0) * M(fy_0, fy_1, t_0) \\ &= [M(fy_0, fy_1, t_0)]^2 * [M(fx_0, fx_1, t_0)]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in the same way, we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, \alpha^n(t_0)) &\geq [M(fx_0, fx_1, t_0)]^{2^{n-1}} * [M(fy_0, fy_1, t_0)]^{2^{n-1}}, \\ M(fy_n, fy_{n+1}, \alpha^n(t_0)) &\geq [M(fy_0, fy_1, t_0)]^{2^{n-1}} * [M(fx_0, fx_1, t_0)]^{2^{n-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

So, from (3.3) and (3.4), for $m > n \geq n_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(fx_n, fx_m, t) &\geq M(fx_n, fx_m, \sum_{i=n_0}^{\infty} \alpha^i(t_0)) \geq M(fx_n, fx_m, \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \alpha^i(t_0)) \\ &\geq M(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, \alpha^n(t_0)) * M(fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+2}, \alpha^{n+1}(t_0)) \\ &\quad * \dots * M(fx_{m-1}, fx_m, \alpha^{m-1}(t_0)) \\ &\geq [M(fy_0, fy_1, t_0)]^{2^{n-1}} * [M(fx_0, fx_1, t_0)]^{2^{n-1}} \\ &\quad * [M(fy_0, fy_1, t_0)]^{2^n} * [M(fx_0, fx_1, t_0)]^{2^n} \\ &\quad * \dots * [M(fy_0, fy_1, t_0)]^{2^{m-2}} * [M(fx_0, fx_1, t_0)]^{2^{m-2}} \\ &= [(M(fy_0, fy_1, t_0))]^{2^{(m-n)(m+n-3)}} * [(M(fx_0, fx_1, t_0))]^{2^{(m-n)(m+n-3)}} \\ &\geq (1 - \theta) * (1 - \theta) * \dots * (1 - \theta) \geq 1 - \lambda \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(3.5) \quad M(fx_n, fx_m, t) > 1 - \lambda,$$

for all $m, n \in N$ with $m > n \geq n_0$ and $t > 0$. Hence, $\{fx_n\}_{n \in N}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Similarly, we can get that $\{fy_n\}_{n \in N}$ is also a Cauchy sequence. Also, $(X, M, *)$ is complete. So, there exist, (say) $x^*, y^* \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = x^*$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fy_n = y^*$. \square

We now discuss our main results.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $(X, M, *)$ is a complete fuzzy metric space endowed with a directed graph G with $*$ is a continuous t -norms of H -type. Let $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a G - f -contraction with contraction constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $T(X \times X) \subseteq f(X)$. Let f be G -continuous and commutes with T . Also, T is G -continuous. Then $CCoin(Tf) \neq \phi$ iff $(X \times X)_{Tf} \neq \phi$.

Proof. Suppose that $CCoin(Tf) \neq \phi$. Then there exists some $(x^*, y^*) \in CCoin(Tf)$, i.e., $fx^* = T(x^*, y^*)$ and $fy^* = T(y^*, x^*)$. So, $(fx^*, T(x^*, y^*)) = (fx^*, fx^*) \in \Delta \subset E(G)$ and $(fy^*, T(y^*, x^*)) = (fy^*, fy^*) \in \Delta \subset E(G^{-1})$ which means $(x^*, y^*) \in (X \times X)_{Tf}$ i.e. $(X \times X)_{Tf} \neq \phi$. Next, let us assume that $(X \times X)_{Tf} \neq \phi$. Then there exists $(x_0, y_0) \in (X \times X)_{Tf}$, i.e., $(fx_0, T(x_0, y_0)) \in E(G)$ and $(fy_0, T(y_0, x_0)) \in E(G^{-1})$. Then, by Lemma (3.3), we have a sequence $\{n_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers such that $(T(x_{n_i}, y_{n_i}), T(x_{n_{i+1}}, y_{n_{i+1}})) \in E(G)$ and $(T(y_{n_i}, x_{n_i}), T(y_{n_{i+1}}, x_{n_{i+1}})) \in E(G^{-1})$. Using (3.1), we have

$$(3.6) \quad (fx_{n_{i+1}}, fx_{n_{i+2}}) \in E(G) \text{ and } (fy_{n_{i+1}}, fy_{n_{i+2}}) \in E(G^{-1}).$$

Also, from Lemma (3.4), we have

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_{n_i} = x^* \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fy_{n_i} = y^*$$

But f is G -continuous, so we get that

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(fx_{n_i}) = fx^* \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(fy_{n_i}) = fy^*$$

Using (3.4) and the commutativity of T and f gives us

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} f(fx_{n_{i+1}}) &= f(T(x_{n_i}, y_{n_i})) \text{ and } f(fy_{n_{i+1}}) = f(T(y_{n_i}, x_{n_i})) \\ \text{i.e. } f(fx_{n_{i+1}}) &= (T(fx_{n_i}, fy_{n_i})) \text{ and } f(fy_{n_{i+1}}) = (T(fy_{n_i}, fx_{n_i})) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we show that $fx^* = T(x^*, y^*)$ and $fy^* = T(y^*, x^*)$. Let T be G -continuous. Then from (3.6), (3.7) and (3.9), we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(fx_{n_{i+1}}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(fx_{n_i}, fy_{n_i})$ i.e. $fx^* = T(x^*, y^*)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(fy_{n_{i+1}}) = T(fy_{n_i}, fx_{n_i})$ i.e. $fy^* = T(y^*, x^*)$. Thus, (x^*, y^*) is coupled coincidence point of the mapping T and f , i.e., $CCoin(Tf) \neq \phi$. \square

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem (3.1) hold. Besides, let for every $(x, y), (x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ there exist $(u, v) \in X \times X$ such that $(T(x, y), T(u, v)) \in E(G)$, $(T(y, x), T(v, u)) \in E(G^{-1})$ and $(T(x^*, y^*), T(u, v)) \in E(G)$, $(T(y^*, x^*), T(v, u)) \in E(G^{-1})$. Then T and f have unique coupled common fixed point.

Proof. Let (x, y) and (x^*, y^*) be coupled coincidence points of T and f , i.e.,

$$(3.10) \quad fx = T(x, y) \text{ and } fy = T(y, x)$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad fx^* = T(x^*, y^*) \text{ and } fy^* = T(y^*, x^*)$$

By hypothesis, we have

$$(3.12) \quad (T(x, y), T(u, v)) \in E(G), \text{ and } (T(y, x), T(v, u)) \in E(G^{-1})$$

$$(3.13) \quad (T(x^*, y^*), T(u, v)) \in E(G), \text{ and } (T(y^*, x^*), T(v, u)) \in E(G^{-1})$$

Set $T(u_n, v_n) = fu_{n+1}$, $u_0 = u$, and $T(v_n, u_n) = fv_{n+1}$, $v_0 = v$. Then, using (3.10) and (3.11); (3.12) and (3.13) we have $(fx, fu_1) \in E(G)$, $(fy, fv_1) \in E(G^{-1})$ and $(fx^*, fu_1) \in E(G)$, $(fy^*, fv_1) \in E(G^{-1})$. But T is f -edge preserving, so $(T(x, y), T(u_1, v_1)) \in E(G)$, $(T(y, x), T(v_1, u_1)) \in E(G^{-1})$ and $(T(x^*, y^*), T(u_1, v_1)) \in E(G)$, $(T(y^*, x^*), T(v_1, u_1)) \in E(G^{-1})$ i.e. $(fx, fu_2) \in E(G)$, $(fy, fv_2) \in E(G^{-1})$ and $(fx^*, fu_2) \in E(G)$, $(fy^*, fv_2) \in E(G^{-1})$ Using the f -edge preserving property of T repeatedly, we

obtain $(fx, fu_n) \in E(G)$, $(fy, fv_n) \in E(G^{-1})$ and $(fx^*, fu_n) \in E(G)$, $(fy^*, fv_n) \in E(G^{-1})$ Since $*$ is a t - norm of H - type, then for any $\lambda > 0$, there exists a $\theta > 0$ such that

$$(3.14) \quad \underbrace{(1 - \theta) * (1 - \theta) * \dots * (1 - \theta)}_{k\text{-times}} \geq 1 - \lambda, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is continuous and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $M(fx, fx^*, t_0) \geq 1 - \theta, M(fy, fy^*, t_0) \geq 1 - \theta$. On the other hand, for any $t > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_0 < t$ such that $t > \sum_{i=n_0}^{\infty} \alpha^i(t_0)$, and for $t_0 > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(fx, fx^*, t_0) &\geq M\left(fx, fu_{n+1}, \frac{t_0}{2}\right) * M\left(fu_{n+1}, fx^*, \frac{t_0}{2}\right) \\ &= M\left(T(x, y), T(u_n, v_n), \frac{t_0}{2}\right) * M\left(T(u_n, v_n), T\left(x^*, y^*, \frac{t_0}{2}\right)\right) \\ &\geq M\left(fx, fu_n, \frac{t_0}{2^2}\right) * M\left(fy, fv_n, \frac{t_0}{2^2}\right) * M\left(fu_n, fx^*, \frac{t_0}{2^2}\right) * M\left(fv_n, fy^*, \frac{t_0}{2^2}\right) \\ &= M\left(T(x, y), T(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}), \frac{t_0}{2^2}\right) * M\left(T(y, x), T(v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}), \frac{t_0}{2^2}\right) \\ &\quad * M\left(T(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}), T(x^*, y^*), \frac{t_0}{2^2}\right) * M\left(T(v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}), T(y^*, x^*), \frac{t_0}{2^2}\right) \\ &\geq \left[M\left(fx, fu_{n-2}, \frac{t_0}{2^3}\right)\right]^2 * \left[M\left(fy, fv_{n-2}, \frac{t_0}{2^3}\right)\right]^2 * M\left[\left(fu_{n-2}, fx^*, \frac{t_0}{2^3}\right)\right]^2 \\ &\quad * \left[M\left(fv_{n-2}, fy^*, \frac{t_0}{2^3}\right)\right]^2 \\ &\vdots \\ &\geq \left[M\left(fx, fu_0, \frac{t_0}{2^{n+1}}\right)\right]^{2^n} * \left[M\left(fy, fv_0, \frac{t_0}{2^{n+1}}\right)\right]^{2^n} * \left[M\left(fu_0, fx^*, \frac{t_0}{2^{n+1}}\right)\right]^{2^n} \\ &\quad * \left[M\left(fv_0, fy^*, \frac{t_0}{2^{n+1}}\right)\right]^{2^n} \\ \text{i.e } &\geq (1 - \theta) * (1 - \theta) * \dots * (1 - \theta) \geq 1 - \lambda \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(3.15) \quad fx = fx^* \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Similarly,

$$(3.16) \quad fy = fy^*.$$

Let $fx = fx^* = m$ and $fy = fy^* = n$. Then, using commutativity of T and f along with (3.10) gives $f(fx) = f(T(x, y)) = T(fx, fy)$ and $f(fy) = f(T(y, x)) = T(fy, fx)$ i.e. $fm = T(m, n)$ and $fn = T(n, m)$. Thus, (m, n) is a coupled coincidence point. So, repeating the earlier argument for (x, y) and (m, n) , $fx = fm$ and $fy = fn$ i.e. $m = fm$ and $n = fn$. Thus, $m = fm = T(m, n)$ and $n = fn = T(n, m)$. So, (m, n) is coupled common fixed point of T and f . Finally, we prove that the coupled common fixed point of T and f is unique. Let us suppose that (p, q) is another coupled common fixed point of T and f . Then

$$(3.17) \quad p = gp = T(p, q) \text{ and } q = gq = T(q, p).$$

But, from (3.15) and (3.16), we have $fp = fm = m$ and $fq = fn = n$. So, from (3.17), we have $p = m$ and $q = n$. Hence the coupled common fixed point of T and f is unique. \square

Acknowledgments. The authors express their heartfelt gratitude to the reviewers for their excellent comments and suggestions which have immensely improved the quality and presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chifu, C. and Petruşel, G., *New results on coupled fixed point theory in metric spaces endowed with a directed graph*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **151** (2014), 1–13
- [2] Cho, Y. J., Moreno, J. M., Roldán, A. and Roldán, C., *Coupled coincidence point theorems in (intuitionistic) fuzzy normed spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl., **104** (2013), No. 1, 1–11
- [3] Choudhury, B. S. and Das, K. P., *Coupled coincidence point results for compatible mappings in partially ordered fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **222** (2013), 84–97
- [4] Erceg, M. A., *Metric space in fuzzy set theory*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **69** (1976), 205–230
- [5] Eshi, D., Das, P. K. and Debnath, P., *Coupled coincidence and coupled common fixed point theorems on a metric space with a graph*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **37** (2016), 1–14
- [6] George, A. and Veeramani, P., *On some results in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **64** (1994), 395–399
- [7] Gnana Bhaskar, T. and Lakshmikantham, V., *Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, Nonlinear Anal., **65** (2006), No. 7, 1379–1393
- [8] Grabiec, M., *Fixed points in fuzzy metric space*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **27** (1988), 385–389
- [9] Hadžić, O. and Pap, E., *Fixed point theory in probabilistic metric spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (2001)
- [10] Hu, X., *Common coupled fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2011** (2011), Article ID 363716, 14 pp.
- [11] Jungck, G., *Commuting mappings and fixed points*, Amer. Math. Mon., **4** (1976), No. 83, 261–263
- [12] Kaleva, O. and Seikkala, S., *On fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **12** (1984), 215–229
- [13] Kramosil, I. and Michalek, J., *Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces*, Kybernetika, **15** (1975), 326–334
- [14] Sedghi, S., Altun, I. and Shobe, N., *Fixed point theorems for contractions in fuzzy metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **72** (2010), 1298–1304
- [15] Sintunavarat, W., Cho, Y. J. and Kumam, P., *Coincidence Point Theorems for Contractions without Commutative Condition in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Normed Spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Appl., **81** (2011), 1–13
- [16] Subramanyam, P. V., *A common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces*, Inf. Sci., **83** (1995), 109–112
- [17] Wang, S. H., Abdou, A. A. N. and Cho, Y. J., *Coupled common fixed point theorems for φ -contractions in probabilistic metric spaces and applications*, Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst., **12** (2015), No. 6, 95–108
- [18] Zadeh, L. A., *Fuzzy sets*, Inf. Control, **8** (1965), 338–353

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
MUBAN CHOMBUENG RAJABHAT UNIVERSITY (MCRU)
46 M.3, CHOMBUENG, RATCHABURI 70150 THAILAND
Email address: phumin.su28@gmail.com

²KING MONGKUT'S UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY THONBURI (KMUTT)
THEORETICAL AND COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE CENTER (TACS)
SCIENCE LABORATORY BUILDING, FACULTY OF SCIENCE
126 PRACHA-UTHIT ROAD, BANG MOD, THUNG KHURU, BANGKOK 10140 THAILAND

³KING MONGKUT'S UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY THONBURI (KMUTT) &
DEPARTMENT OF MEDICAL RESEARCH
CHINA MEDICAL UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL
CHINA MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, TAICHUNG, TAIWAN
Email address: poom.kum@kmutt.ac.th

⁴DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS & HUMANITIES
S. V. NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
SURAT-395007 GUJARAT, INDIA
Email address: dg@ashd.svnit.ac.in